

Wyoming Game and Fish Department Mule Deer Hunter Attitudes Survey 2023

Brittany Cangialosi, M.S.

With the assistance of:

Michael Dorssom, M.A.

Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center University of Wyoming 1000 E. University Avenue, Department 3592 Laramie, Wyoming 82071 307.766.2189 | wysac@uwyo.edu www.uwyo.edu/wysac WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-2311

1 UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

ABOUT THIS REPORT

This publication was produced for the Wyoming Game and Fish Department.

CITATION

WYSAC (2023). *WGFD Hunter Attitudes Survey, 2023* by Cangialosi, B & Dorssom, M. (WYSAC Technical Report No. SRC-2311). Laramie: Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center, University of Wyoming.

Short Reference: WYSAC (2023), WGFD Hunter Attitudes Survey, 2023.

© 2023 WYOMING SURVEY & ANALYSIS CENTER



Contents

Contents	3
List of Tables	4
Introduction	6
Methods	7
Questionnaire Development	7
Sampling Frame, Sample Design, and Sample Size	7
Mode of Data Collection	7
Survey Administration	8
Response Rates	8
Data Compilation and Analysis	8
Complete Survey Results	9
State-wide Frequencies	9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Years Hunted Wyoming	9
Table 2: Hunt Area Regions Hunted Most Often	10
Table 3: Importance of Hunting Mule Deer	11
Table 4: Trophy, Meat, or Recreational Hunter	12
Table 5: One Most Important Element	13
Table 6: Quality of Mule Deer Hunting Over Time	14
Table 7: Satisfaction with Overall Quality of Mule Deer Hunt	15
Table 8: Reasons for Satisfaction with Mule Deer Hunting	16
Table 9: Reasons for Dissatisfaction with Mule Deer Hunting	17
Table 10: Impacts on Level of Satisfaction on Mule Deer Hunting	18
Table 11: Adequate Number of Bucks in Hunt Area	19
Table 12: Minimum Number of Points on One Side for Trophy Buck	20
Table 13: Minimum Antler Spread for Trophy Buck	21
Table 14: Crowding from Other Hunters	22
Table 15: Crowding from Non-hunters	23
Table 16: Management of Mule Deer Hunting Opportunities	24
Table 17: Satisfaction with Current Approach to Managing Hunting Opportunities	25
Table 18: Preference for General or Limited Quota Seasons	26
Table 19: Separate Mule Deer and White-tailed Deer Licenses	28
Table 20: Limits on the Number of Resident Hunters in the Field	29
Table 21: Acceptability of Limited Quota Seasons in all Hunt Areas	30
Table 22: Hunt Area Regions for First Choice General Hunt Area	31
Table 23: Opinions on Requiring Resident General License Holders to Select One Region to Hunt	32
Table 24: Mandatory Harvest Reporting	33
Table 25: Mandatory Harvest Enforcement	34
Table 26: Support for Seasons Designed to Reduce CWD Levels	35
Table 27: Acceptable Female Harvest	36
Table 28: Weapon of Choice Season	37
Table 29: County Demographics	38
Table 30: Perceptions of Mule Deer Populations	39
Table 31: Contribution to Mule Deer Decreasing Population: Hunting Regulations	40
Table 32: Contribution to Mule Deer Decreasing Population: Hunting Success	41
Table 33: Contribution to Mule Deer Decreasing Population: Elk Populations	42
Table 34: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Predation	43
Table 35: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Populations: White-tailed Deer Populations	44
Table 36: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Habitat Changes	45

WGFD HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY

Table 37: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Weather	46
Table 38: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Recreation Disturbance	47
Table 39: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Human Development	48
Table 40: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Vehicle Collisions	49
Table 41: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Threats to Winter Range	50
Table 42: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Threats to Migratory	51
Table 43: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Increasing Disease	52
Table 44: Belief about Elk Population Numbers	53
Table 45: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Hunting Regulations	54
Table 46: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Hunting Success	55
Table 47: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Land Access	56
Table 48: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Predation	57
Table 49: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Feral Horse Populations	58
Table 50: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Habitat Changes	59
Table 51: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Weather	60
Table 52: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Recreation Disturbance	61
Table 53: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Vehicle Collisions	62
Table 54: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Threats to Winter Range	63
Table 55: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Threats to Migratory Corridors	64
Table 56: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Disease	65
Table 57: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Don't know/ Not Sure	66
Table 58: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Many Things Occurring at Once	67
Table 59: Mule Deer Population Management Priorities	68
Table 60: Management Actions to Increase Mule Deer Populations	69
Table 61: Elk Population Management Priorities	71
Table 62: Management Actions to Increase Elk Populations	72
Table 63: Management Actions to Decrease Elk Populations	74

Introduction

The Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming (UW) was commissioned by the Wyoming Game and Fish Department (WGFD) to conduct a survey of resident mule deer hunters to learn about hunters' opinions, attitudes, and values related to mule deer hunting and management. This survey allows hunters a chance to have their voices heard on a variety of topics related to mule deer management in Wyoming. Further, individuals were given the chance to respond to several additional questions developed by researchers at the University of Wyoming on the topics of elk and mule deer management. Results from this survey will be utilized to inform stakeholders at WGFD about resident opinions on mule deer hunting and management in the state of Wyoming.

WGFD Mule Deer Hunter Attitudes Survey 2023 Facts

Start and End Dates

August 2023 – September 2023

Completed Surveys

10,183 Total

Online via passcode – 1,195 (11.7%)

Email link from personal invite- 8,988 (88.3%)

Valid Response Rate

11.8%

Methods

Questionnaire Development

The survey instrument was developed in coordination with WGFD. Major themes proposed by WGFD to be included in the questionnaire were:

- License & Hunting Patterns
- Hunt Quality
- Beliefs toward Populations
- Current Management Actions
- Proposed Management Actions
- Demographics

A series of questions were also proposed by University of Wyoming researchers working closely with WGFD. This set of questions focused on deer and elk population management in the state.

Once questions were decided upon, the questionnaire was programmed for web administration with Qualtrics, a leading platform for creating and distributing web-based surveys.

Sampling Frame, Sample Design, and Sample Size

The population of interest for this survey was defined as anyone who purchased or applied for a mule deer license in the last 3 years. Because of the high number of valid email addresses associated with license holders and applicants, a census of all hunters within the population of interest was attempted. After the full list of license holders and applicants was supplied by WGFD, it was "cleaned" for valid email and/or mailing addresses and deduplicated. The total population resulting from these efforts included 77,762 individual hunters, of which 69,459 had valid email addresses.

Mode of Data Collection

The online (or web) mode was the only option for completing the survey. Each potential respondent was assigned a unique passcode for accessing the survey. The passcode was entered manually upon reaching the login page of the survey, or automatically by clicking a link directly from an email invitation.

7 | UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

Survey Administration

Data collection began in August 2023 and closed in September of 2023.

The survey administration protocols included the following steps:

- First, an invite email was emailed to all individuals who had a valid email. These email invitations explained the purpose and importance of the survey and solicited participation via a personal link. These individuals received email invitations only with the first email invite sent in August 2023. A second reminder email was sent at the end of August 2023 and a final reminder email was sent in September 2023.
- Second, an invite letter was mailed to all households without a valid email address. These individuals received one invite letter. This letter was authored and signed by WYSAC, and sent in a stamped first class envelope via USPS. It explained the purpose and importance of the survey and solicited participation. The URL address of the online version of the survey and a unique access code was provided. This invite letter went out in August 2023.

Response Rates

By the close of data collection, a total of 10,183 completed surveys were obtained with a valid response rate of 11.8%. Of those, 1,195 were completed online via passcodes and 8,988 were completed via the link included in the individual's personal invite. Of that total number of responses, 7,487 indicated hunting Mule Deer in Wyoming in the past three years, and were thus included in the frequency analysis outlined in the next section (Complete Survey Results).

Data Compilation and Analysis

The data were analyzed in SPSS to obtain frequencies and crosstabs to provide WGFD information on hunter opinions and attitudes. For crosstab analyses, age categories were created to group individuals across age ranges. Again, only those individuals who selected that they *had* hunted in the last 3 years were included in data analysis.

Complete Survey Results

State-wide Frequencies

In the following tables, the percentage distributions and raw frequency counts of responses to all questions on the survey are presented. Questionnaire items are presented in the order they appeared on the survey; question text is presented verbatim. For all questions, No answer/Refused and Don't know/Not sure responses are excluded from the valid percent calculations. Also included in each table are frequency distributions by Age.

Q1. How many years, out of the last 3 years, did you hunt mule deer in Wyoming?

Table 1: Years Hunted Wyoming

	All Ag		Age Categories						
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
1 year	1543	20.6%	22.0%	19.2%	16.6%	20.0%	22.9%	23.2%	20.6%
2 years	1220	16.3%	19.8%	13.9%	15.9%	16.8%	15.3%	17.5%	16.3%
All 3 years	4724	63.1%	58.2%	66.9%	67.5%	63.2%	61.8%	59.2%	63.1%
Total	7487								

WGFD MULE DEER HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY

Q2. In which hunt area in Wyoming have you hunted mule deer the most often in the past 3 years? (Please enter only one hunt area number.) [Regional data presented]

	All A	All Ages			Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Casper	949	12.8%	13.2%	14.1%	13.4%	11.9%	13.1%	12.2%	12.8%			
Cody	1007	13.6%	12.5%	10.6%	11.9%	12.6%	16.2%	15.9%	13.6%			
Green River	709	9.6%	12.0%	7.2%	8.8%	11.3%	9.2%	10.0%	9.6%			
Jackson	1004	13.6%	16.9%	17.6%	14.7%	14.0%	11.5%	11.0%	13.6%			
Lander	631	8.5%	7.4%	6.5%	7.1%	7.7%	7.9%	12.5%	8.5%			
Laramie	1286	17.4%	13.5%	19.7%	17.8%	15.9%	18.9%	16.8%	17.4%			
Pinedale	843	11.4%	12.5%	11.9%	12.7%	12.7%	10.5%	9.4%	11.4%			
Sheridan	961	13.0%	12.0%	12.4%	13.7%	13.9%	12.8%	12.3%	13.0%			
Total	7390	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Missing	97	1.3%										
Total	7487											

Table 2: Hunt Area Regions Hunted Most Often

WGFD HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY

Q3. How important is it to you, personally, to hunt mule deer in Wyoming every year?

Table 3: Importance of Hunting Mule Deer

	All Ages			Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Very important	3998	54.0%	50.6%	54.1%	55.0%	57.6%	55.1%	49.9%	54.0%		
Moderately important	2289	30.9%	33.5%	31.3%	29.9%	27.6%	30.9%	33.7%	30.9%		
A little important	802	10.8%	12.7%	10.9%	10.6%	10.7%	10.1%	11.3%	10.8%		
Not at all important	317	4.3%	3.2%	3.7%	4.4%	4.2%	3.9%	5.1%	4.3%		
Total	7406	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know/Not sure	76										
No answer	5										
Total	7487										

WGFD MULE DEER HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY

Q4. Do you consider yourself primarily a trophy, meat, or recreational hunter? (Choose the one best answer.)

Table 4: Trophy, Meat	, or Recreational Hunter
-----------------------	--------------------------

	All Ag		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Trophy hunter	1997	27.3%	26.7%	29.6%	32.4%	31.4%	25.3%	20.0%	27.3%	
Meat hunter	3655	50.0%	51.1%	52.9%	49.9%	47.6%	51.3%	49.1%	50.0%	
Recreational hunter	1662	22.7%	22.2%	17.5%	17.7%	21.1%	23.4%	30.9%	22.7%	
Total	7314	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Don't know/Not sure	147									
No answer	26									
Total	7487									

Q5. What <u>one</u> element is <u>most important</u> to you for a quality mule deer hunt?

Table 5: One Most Important Element

	All Ag	ges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Harvest an animal	1569	21.1%	28.8%	22.1%	22.1%	18.4%	19.6%	21.1%	21.1%		
Solitude	530	7.1%	3.4%	8.6%	8.6%	7.9%	6.8%	5.5%	7.1%		
Recreation	357	4.8%	3.4%	3.5%	3.5%	3.7%	4.4%	8.2%	4.8%		
Opportunity to spend time with family / companions	1543	20.7%	23.7%	17.7%	19.8%	25.9%	18.0%	20.4%	20.7%		
Presence of large antlered bucks	1338	18.0%	16.6%	17.0%	20.6%	18.6%	19.6%	14.6%	18.0%		
Outdoor experience	1729	23.2%	17.8%	22.3%	19.4%	20.8%	27.4%	27.0%	23.2%		
Other	381	5.1%	6.3%	8.9%	6.0%	4.7%	4.3%	3.1%	5.1%		
Total	7447	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know/Not sure	36										
No answer	4										
Total	7487										

WGFD MULE DEER HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY

Q6. Has the quality of your mule deer hunting in Wyoming improved, remained the same, or gotten worse in the past 3 years?

	All A		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Improved	261	3.7%	6.4%	5.0%	4.4%	2.7%	3.2%	2.9%	3.7%	
Remained the same	2251	31.8%	33.8%	37.4%	33.9%	29.9%	29.4%	30.3%	31.8%	
Gotten worse	4557	64.5%	59.7%	57.6%	61.8%	67.4%	67.4%	66.8%	64.5%	
Total	7069	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Don't know/Not sure	402									
No answer	16									
Total	7487									

Table 6: Quality of Mule Deer Hunting Over Time

Q7. How satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the overall quality of your mule deer hunting experience the last time you hunted in Wyoming?

	All A	ges		Age Categories						
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Extremely satisfied	958	12.8%	12.6%	15.3%	12.4%	11.0%	13.9%	12.5%	12.8%	
Somewhat satisfied	2030	27.1%	29.5%	29.0%	27.7%	24.7%	23.7%	29.7%	27.1%	
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	1434	19.2%	21.7%	20.2%	19.3%	18.1%	16.4%	21.0%	19.2%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	1825	24.4%	24.4%	22.4%	24.1%	25.8%	28.0%	21.6%	24.4%	
Extremely dissatisfied	1240	16.6%	11.8%	13.1%	16.4%	20.4%	18.1%	15.3%	16.6%	
Total	7487	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Q8a. What were the reasons you were satisfied with the quality of your mule deer hunting experience in Wyoming? (Mark all that apply.) [Asked to those indicating some level of satisfaction in Q7.]

	All A	ges		_	Aş	ge Categori	es	_	
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Plenty of mule deer	502	16.8%	23.6%	24.8%	22.9%	17.7%	12.1%	8.3%	16.8%
Harvest success	1091	36.5%	54.6%	43.6%	41.3%	36.6%	30.5%	28.5%	36.5%
Trophy bucks available	403	13.5%	18.4%	20.9%	19.2%	15.4%	7.4%	6.4%	13.5%
Not crowded / not too many hunters	806	27.0%	27.0%	24.6%	28.3%	25.2%	27.3%	28.2%	27.0%
Good location/hunting environment	1171	39.2%	51.1%	51.3%	44.3%	37.2%	32.8%	31.2%	39.2%
Enjoy hunting	1838	61.5%	70.1%	72.5%	69.4%	61.6%	55.7%	50.7%	61.5%
Enjoy the outdoors / the scenery / getting away / good rec experience	1760	58.9%	69.5%	74.2%	65.6%	59.6%	52.1%	46.5%	58.9%
Good time with friends / family / good companionship	1324	44.3%	65.5%	57.9%	52.7%	43.7%	33.9%	32.4%	44.3%
Other	57	1.9%	1.1%	2.4%	2.7%	2.2%	1.5%	1.2%	1.9%
Total	2988								
Skipped	4499								
Don't know/Not sure	4	0.1%			0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Total	7487								

Table 8: Reasons	s for Satisfaction	with Mule Deer Hunting
------------------	--------------------	------------------------

Please see open end supplemental report for listing of other specified. On check all that apply questions, valid percent may add up to more than 100%.

Q8b. What were the reasons you were dissatisfied with the quality of your mule deer hunting experience in Wyoming? (Mark all that apply.) [Asked to those indicating some level of dissatisfaction in Q7.]

	All A	ges			Aş	ge Categori	es		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Not enough mule deer	2159	70.4%	80.7%	74.5%	70.2%	71.4%	66.3%	69.4%	70.4%
Did not harvest	519	16.9%	24.7%	20.0%	20.1%	15.5%	14.8%	14.0%	16.9%
Not enough trophy bucks	1039	33.9%	39.3%	43.9%	39.9%	33.9%	31.5%	23.9%	33.9%
Poor quality of deer / unhealthy deer	666	21.7%	28.0%	33.6%	24.8%	21.6%	16.8%	16.1%	21.7%
Crowded / too many hunters	1417	46.2%	56.7%	62.7%	58.6%	50.1%	36.9%	28.6%	46.2%
Bad location / hunting environment	85	2.8%	4.7%	4.2%	3.3%	2.0%	2.5%	2.2%	2.8%
Poor access (e.g., less places to hunt, no permission, land being bought)	689	22.5%	26.7%	27.9%	21.7%	24.8%	19.8%	19.9%	22.5%
Dissatisfied with hunting regulations / management	799	26.1%	27.3%	33.6%	30.8%	28.2%	22.6%	18.6%	26.1%
Other	300	9.8%	8.7%	13.6%	11.9%	7.8%	9.0%	8.9%	9.8%
Total	3065								
Skipped	4422								
Don't know/Not sure	2	0.1%			0.2%		0.2%		
Total	7487								

Table 9: Reasons for Dissatisfaction with Mule Deer Hunting

17 | UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

Q8c. Which of the following impacts your level of satisfaction with the quality of your mule deer hunting experience in Wyoming? (*Mark all that apply.*) [Asked to those indicating a neutral level of satisfaction in Q7.]

	All A	ages			А	ge Categorie	es		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Amount of mule deer	746	52.0%	62.2%	71.1%	56.1%	50.0%	48.3%	40.2%	52.0%
Harvest success	342	23.8%	36.7%	41.7%	25.7%	20.9%	19.6%	14.8%	23.8%
Trophy buck availability	337	23.5%	28.9%	33.2%	27.4%	24.4%	23.0%	13.7%	23.5%
Quality of deer / health of deer	644	44.9%	44.4%	58.8%	45.9%	43.4%	46.1%	37.4%	44.9%
Number of hunters	558	38.9%	46.7%	57.2%	49.8%	43.4%	28.3%	22.1%	38.9%
Location/hunting environment	321	22.4%	24.4%	28.9%	24.4%	18.6%	20.9%	20.5%	22.4%
Access (e.g., places to hunt, permission)	434	30.3%	31.1%	40.1%	40.3%	26.7%	26.5%	21.6%	30.3%
Enjoyment of hunting	499	34.8%	28.9%	36.4%	34.7%	26.4%	40.9%	37.7%	34.8%
Hunting regulations / management	179	12.5%	17.8%	25.1%	15.8%	8.5%	10.4%	6.0%	12.5%
Enjoyment of the outdoors / the scenery / getting away / good rec experience	585	40.8%	38.9%	46.0%	40.9%	36.0%	44.3%	39.6%	40.8%
Time with friends / family / companionship	427	29.8%	42.2%	37.4%	33.0%	27.1%	30.0%	21.9%	29.8%
Other	34	0.5%	1.1%	1.1%	4.6%	1.6%	3.0%	1.6%	2.4%
Total	1434								
Skipped	6053								
Don't know/Not sure	9	0.6%		0.5%		1.2%	0.9%	0.8%	0.6%
Total	7487								

Table 10: Impacts on Level of Satisfaction on Mule Deer Hunting

Q9. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: "The number of bucks has been adequate over the past 3 years in the hunt area where you most often hunted mule deer in Wyoming."

	All A	ges			Aş	ge Categori	es		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Agree	1251	16.7%	19.1%	18.7%	18.4%	15.3%	15.3%	16.0%	16.7%
Neither agree nor disagree	2124	28.4%	30.0%	32.9%	28.9%	26.7%	26.5%	28.2%	28.4%
Disagree	4099	54.8%	51.0%	48.4%	52.7%	58.0%	58.3%	55.8%	54.8%
Total	7474	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
No answer	13								
Total	7487								

Table 11: Adequate Number of Bucks in Hunt Area

Q10. What is the minimum number of points on one side that a buck should have for you to consider a deer a trophy buck?

	All A	ges			Ag	ge Categori	es		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
1	73	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%	1.7%	1.0%	1.0%	0.5%	1.0%
2	109	1.5%	1.2%	1.8%	1.6%	1.4%	1.2%	1.6%	1.5%
3	712	9.7%	12.5%	12.6%	12.5%	10.2%	6.9%	6.9%	9.7%
4	4886	66.8%	63.9%	66.8%	66.2%	68.9%	68.3%	65.0%	66.8%
5	1275	17.4%	17.0%	15.4%	15.1%	15.1%	18.8%	21.5%	17.4%
6	221	3.0%	4.4%	2.2%	2.2%	3.1%	3.1%	3.7%	3.0%
7	14	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
8	28	0.4%		0.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Total	7318	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
No answer	169								
Total	7487								

Table 12: Minimum Number of Points on	n One Side for Trophy Buck
---------------------------------------	----------------------------

WYOMING GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT MULE DEER HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY 2023

Q11. What is the minimum antler spread, in inches, that a buck should have for you to consider a deer a trophy buck?

	All A	ges			Aį	ge Categori	es		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Less than 20 inches	802	11.9%	13.9%	15.2%	13.0%	9.8%	11.7%	10.5%	11.9%
20 inches	685	10.1%	15.6%	13.7%	10.8%	9.2%	7.9%	9.1%	10.1%
21 inches	41	0.6%	1.9%	0.6%	0.4%	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%
22 inches	284	4.2%	5.2%	5.7%	3.9%	3.6%	3.2%	4.7%	4.2%
23 inches	55	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%
24 inches	1250	18.5%	15.3%	15.0%	20.5%	19.9%	18.8%	17.9%	18.5%
25 inches	688	10.2%	9.6%	11.8%	12.3%	10.6%	9.6%	7.7%	10.2%
26 inches	841	12.5%	10.4%	12.0%	11.9%	13.7%	13.1%	12.1%	12.5%
27 inches	193	2.9%	6.0%	3.2%	2.6%	2.8%	2.7%	2.3%	2.9%
28 inches	983	14.6%	11.2%	9.9%	12.8%	16.6%	16.5%	16.1%	14.6%
29 inches	43	0.6%	1.1%	0.9%	0.6%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%
30 inches	685	10.1%	4.9%	8.5%	8.9%	8.3%	11.1%	14.2%	10.1%
More than 30 inches	201	3.0%	3.8%	2.2%	1.6%	3.5%	3.7%	3.4%	3.0%
Total	6751	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Skipped	736								
Total	7487								

Table 13: Minimum Antler Spread for Trophy Buck

21 | UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

Q12. To what extent did you feel crowded by other hunters while hunting mule deer in Wyoming over the past 3 years?

	All A	ges		_	Aş	ge Categori	ies	_	
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Not at all crowded	1381	18.5%	12.1%	9.1%	13.4%	15.1%	20.9%	30.2%	18.5%
Slightly crowded	2381	31.8%	36.5%	31.7%	31.0%	30.3%	32.3%	32.4%	31.8%
Moderately crowded	2105	28.1%	29.0%	31.6%	28.7%	29.0%	27.8%	25.1%	28.1%
Very crowded	1615	21.6%	22.5%	27.6%	26.9%	25.5%	18.9%	12.3%	21.6%
Total	7482	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
No answer	5								
Total	7487								

 Table 14: Crowding from Other Hunters

Q13. To what extent did you feel crowded by <u>non-hunters</u> (e.g., hikers, bikers, cross-country skiers, etc.) while hunting mule deer in Wyoming over the past 3 years?

	All A	ges		_	Aį	ge Categori	ies	_	_
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Not at all crowded	4639	62.2%	59.9%	60.7%	62.5%	59.8%	61.4%	65.8%	62.2%
Slightly crowded	1718	23.0%	25.1%	24.0%	22.3%	24.2%	23.5%	21.3%	23.0%
Moderately crowded	759	10.2%	10.6%	9.8%	9.9%	10.9%	10.2%	9.9%	10.2%
Very crowded	346	4.6%	4.3%	5.5%	5.4%	5.1%	4.9%	3.0%	4.6%
Total	7462	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
No answer	25								
Total	7487								

Table 15: Crowding from Non-hunters

Q14. Do you think the Wyoming Game and Fish Department (WGFD) is doing an excellent, good, fair, or poor job of managing the mule deer hunting opportunities in the hunt area where you most often hunted mule deer in Wyoming in the past 3 years?

	All A	ges			Aį	ge Categori	ies		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Excellent	672	9.4%	10.5%	7.4%	9.5%	8.8%	9.5%	10.7%	9.4%
Good	2124	29.8%	26.9%	30.6%	28.2%	26.4%	31.1%	33.5%	29.8%
Fair	2428	34.1%	38.4%	34.5%	35.1%	33.3%	30.7%	35.3%	34.1%
Poor	1896	26.6%	24.2%	27.5%	27.3%	31.5%	28.6%	20.6%	26.6%
Total	7120	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Don't know/Not sure	364								
No answer	3								
Total	7487								

Table 16: Management of Mule Deer Hunting Opportunities

Q15. How satisfied are you with WGFD's current approach for managing hunting opportunities, using a combination of general and limited quota hunt areas?

	All A	ges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Extremely satisfied	949	12.7%	12.1%	14.6%	13.7%	10.8%	11.4%	13.6%	12.7%		
Somewhat satisfied	2582	34.6%	34.5%	35.2%	32.8%	31.1%	34.0%	39.4%	34.6%		
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	1876	25.2%	29.0%	22.9%	24.5%	25.0%	25.4%	26.1%	25.2%		
Somewhat dissatisfied	1325	17.8%	16.9%	18.8%	18.3%	20.8%	19.0%	13.5%	17.8%		
Extremely dissatisfied	725	9.7%	7.5%	8.6%	10.7%	12.3%	10.3%	7.4%	9.7%		
Total	7457	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
No answer	30										
Total	7487										

Table 17: Satisfaction with	Current Approach to Manag	ing Hunting Opportunities

WYOMING GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT MULE DEER HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY 2023

Q16. Do you prefer general license seasons or limited quota seasons?

	All A	ges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
General license seasons	3273	45.4%	46.0%	40.6%	44.0%	42.2%	45.4%	51.8%	45.4%		
Limited quota seasons	2414	33.5%	30.4%	34.5%	33.4%	36.7%	35.0%	30.0%	33.5%		
No preference	1521	21.1%	23.5%	25.0%	22.6%	21.1%	19.7%	18.2%	21.1%		
Total	7208	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know/Not sure	263										
No answer	16										
Total	7487										

Table 18: Preference for General or Limited Quota Seasons

Q17. What do you like about general license seasons?

Please see the supplemental report for listing of open-end responses.

Q18. What do you like about limited quota seasons?

Please see the supplemental report for listing of open-end responses.

Q19. How much do you support or oppose separate licenses for mule deer and white-tailed deer?

	All A	ges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Strongly support	1937	27.0%	24.2%	33.1%	30.3%	30.6%	25.8%	19.3%	27.0%		
Somewhat support	1288	17.9%	20.2%	17.8%	19.6%	17.0%	17.4%	17.1%	17.9%		
Neither support nor oppose	2196	30.6%	33.5%	29.7%	29.7%	28.6%	31.3%	32.1%	30.6%		
Somewhat oppose	729	10.1%	9.6%	8.0%	8.9%	9.3%	11.2%	12.4%	10.1%		
Strongly oppose	1037	14.4%	12.6%	11.4%	11.6%	14.6%	14.3%	19.1%	14.4%		
Total	7187	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know/Not sure	298										
No answer	2										
Total	7487										

Table 19: Separate Mule Deer and White-tailed Deer Licenses

WYOMING GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT MULE DEER HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY 2023

Q20. How much would you support or oppose <u>limiting the number of resident hunters</u> in the field to reduce crowding in Wyoming, even if it makes it less likely that you would draw a license?

	All A	ges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Strongly support	862	11.7	10.6%	11.4%	10.6%	13.9%	12.7%	10.5%	11.7%		
Somewhat support	1307	17.7	14.3%	15.3%	18.5%	18.7%	18.4%	17.8%	17.7%		
Neither support nor oppose	816	11.1	12.6%	9.1%	10.3%	9.4%	11.9%	13.2%	11.1%		
Somewhat oppose	1269	17.2	19.2%	19.8%	17.1%	14.6%	16.2%	18.4%	17.2%		
Strongly oppose	3113	42.3	43.3%	44.3%	43.4%	43.3%	40.8%	40.1%	42.3%		
Total	7367	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know/Not sure	93										
No answer	27										
Total	7487										

Table 20: Limits on the Number of Resident Hunters in the Field

WYOMING GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT MULE DEER HUNTER ATTITUDES SURVEY 2023

Q21. How acceptable or unacceptable would limited quota seasons in all hunt areas in Wyoming be to you?

	All A	ges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Very acceptable	1066	14.7%	12.8%	15.1%	15.0%	16.9%	16.0%	11.7%	14.7%		
Somewhat acceptable	1478	20.3%	20.4%	20.4%	20.6%	22.1%	21.4%	17.7%	20.3%		
Neither acceptable nor unacceptable	691	9.5%	13.3%	8.6%	9.3%	8.6%	9.9%	9.6%	9.5%		
Somewhat unacceptable	1338	18.4%	22.1%	18.8%	18.0%	17.0%	17.7%	19.5%	18.4%		
Very unacceptable	2690	37.0%	31.4%	37.2%	37.1%	35.4%	34.9%	41.3%	37.0%		
Total	7263	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know/Not sure	176										
No answer	48										
Total	7487										

Table 21: Acceptability of Limited Quota Seasons in all Hunt Areas

Q22. If you had to choose <u>only one</u> general hunt area to hunt in, which area would be your first choice? [Hunt Area Regions Listed]

	All A	ges			Aş	ge Categori	es		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Casper	817	11.7%	12.6%	10.9%	12.0%	9.3%	12.7%	12.6%	11.7%
Cody	910	13.0%	11.3%	10.5%	11.1%	12.2%	15.3%	15.2%	13.0%
Green River	681	9.7%	10.5%	8.0%	8.7%	10.8%	10.7%	9.7%	9.7%
Jackson	1138	16.2%	20.4%	22.0%	18.5%	17.0%	12.9%	12.2%	16.2%
Lander	685	9.8%	7.9%	6.7%	9.1%	9.8%	9.5%	12.6%	9.8%
Laramie	1124	16.0%	12.6%	17.6%	15.6%	14.9%	17.5%	16.1%	16.0%
Pinedale	839	12.0%	13.4%	12.9%	13.3%	13.5%	10.1%	10.2%	12.0%
Sheridan	818	11.7%	11.5%	11.5%	11.6%	12.5%	11.3%	11.4%	11.7%
Total	7012	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Missing	475								
Total	7487								

Table 22: Hunt Area Regions for First Choice General Hunt Area

Q23. Currently resident general license holders can hunt in any general hunt area statewide. Would you support or oppose requiring resident general license holders to select a single region of the state to hunt?

	All A	ges		_	Aş	ge Categori	ies	_	
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Strongly support	1067	14.6%	13.5%	18.0%	14.6%	17.0%	15.2%	10.7%	14.6%
Somewhat support	1285	17.6%	21.9%	17.8%	21.6%	17.8%	16.2%	13.7%	17.6%
Neither support nor oppose	786	10.8%	12.0%	10.2%	10.1%	10.0%	10.7%	12.0%	10.8%
Somewhat oppose	957	13.1%	14.0%	11.5%	12.2%	12.4%	12.3%	15.8%	13.1%
Strongly oppose	3214	44.0%	38.5%	42.5%	41.6%	42.8%	45.6%	47.8%	44.0%
Total	7309	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Don't know/Not sure	83								
No answer	95								
Total	7487								

Q24. How much would you support or oppose mandatory harvest reporting, meaning every license holder is required to complete a harvest survey (regardless of whether they harvested an animal)?

	All A	ges			Aş	ge Categori	ies		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Strongly support	2627	36.2%	32.9%	41.0%	40.5%	35.5%	36.3%	31.1%	36.2%
Somewhat support	1497	20.6%	22.8%	18.8%	18.6%	21.1%	20.8%	22.5%	20.6%
Neither support nor oppose	1575	21.7%	21.5%	22.6%	20.7%	21.4%	22.0%	22.3%	21.7%
Somewhat oppose	645	8.9%	11.7%	7.7%	7.3%	8.3%	8.8%	11.0%	8.9%
Strongly oppose	906	12.5%	11.1%	9.8%	12.9%	13.7%	12.2%	13.2%	12.5%
Total	7250	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Don't know/Not sure	92								
No answer	145								
Total	7487								

Table 24: Mandatory Harvest Reporting

Q24a. If you support mandatory harvest reporting, how do you suggest WGFD enforce the mandatory requirement? (Select all that apply.) [Asked of those that indicate support in Q24.]

Table 25: Mandatory Harvest Enforcement

	All A	ges			Ag	ge Categori	es		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Non-compliant hunters forfeit the ability to purchase another hunting license for a set period of time	2492	61.0%	58.0%	54.9%	64.7%	64.1%	63.4%	56.9%	61.0%
Non-compliant hunters are assessed a fine	1026	25.1%	30.2%	35.7%	27.5%	23.0%	19.9%	21.7%	25.1%
Other (please suggest)	759	18.6%	19.8%	21.1%	19.2%	18.7%	18.3%	16.2%	18.6%
Do not support mandatory harvest reporting	232	5.7%	5.7%	4.2%	3.2%	3.9%	4.9%	11.3%	5.7%
Total	4084								
Skipped	3403								
Total	7487								

Please see open end supplemental report for listing of other specified. On check all that apply questions, valid percent may add up to more than 100%.

Q25. How much do you support seasons designed to reduce CWD levels through harvesting deer at greater rates to reduce density?

	All A	ges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Strongly support	1579	23.2%	22.2%	22.9%	22.5%	21.5%	23.4%	25.3%	23.2%		
Somewhat support	2337	34.3%	35.3%	31.6%	30.9%	33.5%	34.9%	38.6%	34.3%		
Neither support nor oppose	1599	23.4%	23.3%	25.4%	24.5%	24.2%	22.8%	21.4%	23.4%		
Somewhat oppose	565	8.3%	8.8%	8.1%	8.5%	8.4%	9.1%	7.3%	8.3%		
Strongly oppose	740	10.9%	10.4%	12.1%	13.7%	12.4%	9.8%	7.3%	10.9%		
Total	6820	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know/Not sure	519										
No answer	148										
Total	7487										

Table 26: Support for Seasons Designed to Reduce CWD Levels

Q26. Which of the following are acceptable reasons to harvest female mule deer for density reductions?

Table 27: Acceptable Female Harvest

	All Ages		Age Categories						
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Youth opportunity	3945	53.8%	61.0%	59.0%	62.9%	57.6%	49.8%	41.6%	53.8%
Disease management	4580	62.5%	67.0%	69.5%	63.5%	63.6%	59.7%	58.4%	62.5%
Damage	2158	29.5%	27.3%	32.7%	30.4%	29.2%	30.3%	27.0%	29.5%
Habitat conditions	3583	48.9%	41.3%	48.8%	46.2%	47.3%	50.5%	53.0%	48.9%
Food	2889	39.4%	48.6%	43.3%	45.6%	40.9%	38.0%	29.9%	39.4%
None	639	8.7%	8.1%	8.8%	9.0%	11.1%	7.7%	7.5%	8.7%
Total	7327	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Don't know / not sure	835								
No answer	160								
Total	7487								
Q27. Should the Department consider a weapon of choice season, meaning mule deer hunters would be restricted to a specific weapon type and season structure (bow only or rifle only)?

	All A	ges		Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Yes	2307	31.5%	33.6%	31.3%	30.5%	31.1%	33.5%	30.5%	31.5%			
No	4192	57.2%	55.2%	56.5%	55.0%	58.5%	56.9%	59.0%	57.2%			
Don't know / not sure	835	11.4%	11.2%	12.2%	14.5%	10.3%	9.6%	10.5%	11.4%			
Total	7334	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
No answer	153											
Total	7487											

Table 28: Weapon of Choice Season

Q28. In which county do you live?

Table 29: County Demographics

	A11 /	Ages		Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Albany	394	5.3%	5.1%	6.8%	6.0%	4.6%	4.8%	4.8%	5.3%			
Big Horn	203	2.7%	4.1%	1.6%	2.4%	2.0%	3.1%	3.5%	2.7%			
Campbell	410	5.5%	4.6%	4.7%	7.0%	6.3%	5.4%	4.1%	5.5%			
Carbon	308	4.1%	3.9%	3.8%	3.8%	4.2%	4.2%	4.5%	4.1%			
Converse	190	2.5%	3.1%	3.1%	2.9%	2.0%	2.8%	2.0%	2.5%			
Crook	103	1.4%	1.4%	0.6%	1.5%	1.0%	1.4%	1.9%	1.4%			
Fremont	583	7.8%	6.5%	5.0%	6.6%	7.4%	7.0%	11.6%	7.8%			
Goshen	111	1.5%	0.5%	2.3%	1.0%	1.4%	1.6%	1.7%	1.5%			
Hot Springs	79	1.1%	0.2%	1.1%	0.7%	0.8%	1.0%	1.8%	1.1%			
Johnson	124	1.7%	1.0%	1.2%	1.4%	1.8%	2.1%	1.8%	1.7%			
Laramie	698	9.3%	8.0%	7.7%	9.5%	9.1%	12.6%	8.0%	9.3%			
Lincoln	519	6.9%	9.7%	8.8%	6.6%	6.6%	6.3%	6.3%	6.9%			
Natrona	733	9.8%	7.7%	13.7%	10.4%	10.0%	9.0%	8.1%	9.8%			
Niobrara	31	0.4%		0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%			
Park	579	7.7%	5.6%	5.8%	8.0%	7.9%	8.9%	8.0%	7.7%			
Platte	110	1.5%	1.0%	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%	1.8%	1.5%			
Sheridan	421	5.6%	5.3%	6.4%	5.4%	5.5%	5.7%	5.6%	5.6%			
Sublette	268	3.6%	3.6%	3.9%	3.2%	4.1%	3.5%	3.4%	3.6%			
Sweetwater	699	9.3%	10.4%	8.8%	9.4%	10.9%	7.5%	9.6%	9.3%			
Teton	181	2.4%	1.2%	2.9%	3.4%	2.7%	2.1%	1.5%	2.4%			
Uinta	332	4.4%	7.5%	4.7%	4.3%	4.8%	3.9%	3.8%	4.4%			
Washakie	135	1.8%	1.4%	0.9%	1.4%	2.2%	1.8%	2.5%	1.8%			
Weston	102	1.4%	0.7%	0.6%	1.5%	0.9%	1.8%	1.8%	1.4%			
Don't know/ Refused	174	2.3%	7.5%	3.6%	1.9%	2.0%	1.7%	1.5%	2.3%			
Total	7487	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Thanks you so much for your time answering these questions from Wyoming Game and Fish Department.

Are you interested in answering a few more questions from the University of Wyoming related to elk and mule deer management?

[The following questions listed as "UW" were asked only of those that opted into this set of questions.]

Q1UW. Over the past 10 years, I believe that mule deer populations in Wyoming have been:

Table 30: Perceptions of Mule Deer Populations

	A11 A	Ages			Aş	ge Categori	les		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Increasing substantially	16	0.3%	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%
Increasing moderately	318	6.2%	11.7%	9.0%	5.8%	4.8%	5.3%	5.7%	6.2%
Not changing	577	11.2%	13.9%	11.1%	12.4%	11.2%	11.5%	9.2%	11.2%
Decreasing moderately	2319	45.0%	42.9%	49.1%	48.3%	41.8%	44.0%	43.1%	45.0%
Decreasing substantially	1927	37.4%	31.2%	30.2%	33.3%	42.0%	39.0%	41.6%	37.4%
Total	5157	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Opted out / Skipped	2330								
Total	7487								

Q2UWa. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Hunting regulations (length or timing of season, number of licenses, antler point restrictions).]

	All A	lges		Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Least (1)	1183	30.1%	29.3%	28.9%	31.2%	30.7%	28.8%	30.5%	30.1%			
2	809	20.6%	19.8%	19.7%	22.2%	19.4%	19.0%	22.1%	20.6%			
3	846	21.6%	24.0%	26.8%	21.1%	19.8%	21.1%	20.5%	21.6%			
4	586	14.9%	11.4%	13.2%	16.2%	16.0%	15.9%	13.4%	14.9%			
Most (5)	501	12.8%	15.6%	11.4%	9.2%	14.0%	15.1%	13.4%	12.8%			
Total	3925	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Don't know / not sure	138											
Opted out / Skipped	3424											
Total	7487											

Table 31: Contribution to Mule Deer Decreasing Population: Hunting Regulations

Q2UWb. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Hunting success (changes in hunting technology, safety in bear country).]

	All A	Ages		Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Least (1)	1094	28.3%	29.4%	27.7%	31.0%	26.4%	27.7%	27.6%	28.3%			
2	1143	29.5%	36.2%	35.7%	31.4%	28.8%	26.8%	25.7%	29.5%			
3	998	25.8%	18.4%	22.0%	22.3%	29.6%	28.9%	26.9%	25.8%			
4	426	11.0%	9.8%	9.4%	11.1%	9.3%	11.6%	12.9%	11.0%			
Most (5)	211	5.4%	6.1%	5.1%	4.2%	5.9%	5.0%	6.9%	5.4%			
Total	3872	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Don't know / not sure	195											
Opted out / Skipped	3420											
Total	7487											

Q2UWc. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Elk populations.]

	All A	Ages		Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Least (1)	1224	31.7%	35.2%	30.0%	32.3%	30.2%	34.1%	30.8%	31.7%			
2	796	20.6%	21.0%	22.7%	21.4%	21.5%	20.2%	18.2%	20.6%			
3	917	23.8%	16.0%	25.4%	24.3%	23.9%	22.8%	24.6%	23.8%			
4	545	14.1%	16.0%	12.6%	14.0%	14.6%	13.2%	15.2%	14.1%			
Most (5)	374	9.7%	11.7%	9.3%	8.0%	9.8%	9.7%	11.2%	9.7%			
Total	3856	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Don't know / not sure	197											
Opted out / Skipped	3434											
Total	7487											

Table 33: Contribution to Mule Deer Decreasing Population: Elk Populations

Q2UWd. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Predation by mountain lions, wolves, coyotes, and bears.]

	All A	ares			Ac	ge Categori	les		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Least (1)	354	8.9%	9.0%	8.5%	9.6%	8.9%	8.2%	9.1%	8.9%
2	603	15.2%	16.2%	16.8%	16.9%	16.0%	13.0%	13.4%	15.2%
3	850	21.4%	22.8%	23.1%	21.6%	21.2%	18.8%	22.4%	21.4%
4	1117	28.1%	28.1%	28.8%	28.5%	30.4%	28.1%	25.4%	28.1%
Most (5)	1048	26.4%	24.0%	22.7%	23.4%	23.5%	31.9%	29.7%	26.4%
Total	3972	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Don't know / not sure	107								
Opted out / Skipped	3408								
Total	7487								

Table 34: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Predation

Q2UWe. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[White-tailed deer populations.]

	All A	Ages		Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Least (1)	696	18.3%	26.7%	18.8%	18.8%	19.1%	16.1%	16.9%	18.3%			
2	884	23.2%	23.0%	26.5%	28.1%	21.6%	20.1%	20.3%	23.2%			
3	1131	29.7%	24.8%	28.2%	29.1%	31.4%	32.0%	28.5%	29.7%			
4	728	19.1%	16.1%	17.1%	17.4%	17.7%	21.5%	21.8%	19.1%			
Most (5)	373	9.8%	9.3%	9.4%	6.6%	10.3%	10.3%	12.6%	9.8%			
Total	3812	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Don't know / not sure	256											
Opted out / Skipped	3419											
Total	7487											

Table 35: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Populations: White-tailed Deer Populations

Q2UWf. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Changes in the amount of habitat and habitat quality.]

	All A	a mes			٨	ge Categori			
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Least (1)	331	8.3%	9.1%	7.0%	9.4%	8.8%	7.4%	8.1%	8.3%
2	619	15.5%	21.3%	16.5%	15.8%	14.8%	16.7%	12.9%	15.5%
3	1004	25.1%	29.9%	26.0%	24.4%	26.1%	26.2%	22.4%	25.1%
4	1051	26.2%	16.5%	25.4%	26.9%	26.0%	25.6%	28.6%	26.2%
Most (5)	999	25.0%	23.2%	25.0%	23.4%	24.3%	24.2%	28.0%	25.0%
Total	4004	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Don't know / not sure	66								
Opted out / Skipped	3417								
Total	7487								

Table 36: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Habitat Changes

Q2UWg. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Increasing frequency of droughts and harsh winters.]

	All A	Ages	Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Least (1)	145	3.6%	3.0%	1.4%	2.5%	3.5%	5.4%	4.6%	3.6%		
2	324	8.1%	8.4%	6.5%	5.8%	9.6%	7.8%	10.1%	8.1%		
3	828	20.6%	19.3%	19.4%	21.7%	19.9%	22.1%	19.8%	20.6%		
4	1234	30.7%	25.3%	30.7%	32.6%	30.5%	31.3%	29.5%	30.7%		
Most (5)	1485	37.0%	44.0%	42.0%	37.4%	36.5%	33.4%	36.0%	37.0%		
Total	4016	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know / not sure	59										
Opted out / Skipped	3412										
Total	7487										

Table 37: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Weather

Q2UWh. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Recreation disturbance (shed/antler hunting, hiking, horseback, ATV).]

	All A	lges		Age Categories						
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Least (1)	754	18.9%	22.2%	17.0%	20.4%	18.7%	19.7%	17.0%	18.9%	
2	1049	26.2%	28.7%	28.3%	26.5%	27.0%	24.7%	25.0%	26.2%	
3	1036	25.9%	29.9%	26.1%	24.4%	26.5%	25.0%	26.9%	25.9%	
4	727	18.2%	12.6%	18.8%	18.5%	17.9%	19.3%	17.8%	18.2%	
Most (5)	433	10.8%	6.6%	9.8%	10.2%	9.9%	11.3%	13.2%	10.8%	
Total	3999	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Don't know / not sure	70									
Opted out / Skipped	3418									
Total	7487									

Table 38: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Recreation Disturbance

Q2UWi. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Human development (energy [oil and gas, wind, solar], housing, subdivision, roads).]

	All A	lges		Age Categories						
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Least (1)	607	15.1%	16.2%	14.5%	15.9%	14.6%	18.2%	12.3%	15.1%	
2	797	19.9%	20.4%	20.9%	20.1%	21.7%	18.8%	18.2%	19.9%	
3	854	21.3%	20.4%	19.9%	22.4%	22.1%	21.3%	20.4%	21.3%	
4	892	22.2%	22.8%	23.1%	22.1%	21.1%	21.3%	23.6%	22.2%	
Most (5)	862	21.5%	20.4%	21.5%	19.5%	20.5%	20.5%	25.5%	21.5%	
Total	4012	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Don't know / not sure	48									
Opted out / Skipped	3427									
Total	7487									

Table 39: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Human Development

Q2UWj. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Vehicle collisions.]

	All A	Ages		Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Least (1)	546	13.7%	14.0%	13.3%	12.0%	14.4%	14.1%	14.8%	13.7%			
2	933	23.5%	26.2%	26.3%	23.9%	23.2%	21.4%	22.8%	23.5%			
3	1234	31.0%	31.1%	26.3%	31.6%	30.0%	33.2%	32.1%	31.0%			
4	791	19.9%	22.0%	20.1%	20.7%	21.1%	18.8%	18.4%	19.9%			
Most (5)	474	11.9%	6.7%	13.9%	11.8%	11.4%	12.4%	11.8%	11.9%			
Total	3978	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Don't know / not sure	83											
Opted out / Skipped	3426											
Total	7487											

Table 40: Contribution to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Vehicle Collisions

Q2UWk. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Threats to winter range.]

	All A	lges			As	ge Categor	ies		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Least (1)	197	4.9%	7.8%	4.0%	5.5%	5.1%	4.9%	4.2%	4.9%
2	484	12.1%	12.7%	12.6%	13.5%	12.8%	11.6%	10.4%	12.1%
3	926	23.2%	22.3%	21.6%	23.6%	25.1%	25.3%	20.5%	23.2%
4	1265	31.7%	36.1%	30.3%	32.4%	29.3%	30.6%	34.1%	31.7%
Most (5)	1113	27.9%	21.1%	31.5%	24.9%	27.7%	27.5%	30.8%	27.9%
Total	3985	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100.0%
Don't know / not sure	84								
Opted out / Skipped	3418								
Total	7487								

Table 41: Contributions to Decreasing Mu	le Deer Population: Threats to Winter Range
--	---

Q2UWl. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Threats to migratory corridors.]

	All A	løes			As	ge Categori	ies		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Least (1)	224	5.7%	8.0%	4.2%	6.5%	6.4%	5.7%	4.5%	5.7%
2	479	12.1%	15.3%	14.5%	11.6%	12.8%	11.6%	10.5%	12.1%
3	945	23.9%	24.5%	21.7%	24.3%	25.2%	26.0%	21.6%	23.9%
4	1235	31.2%	30.7%	29.8%	32.8%	30.7%	30.4%	31.7%	31.2%
Most (5)	1072	27.1%	21.5%	29.8%	24.8%	24.8%	26.4%	31.7%	27.1%
Total	3955	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Don't know / not sure	106								
Opted out / Skipped	3426								
Total	7487								

Table 42: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Thre	ats to Migratory
--	------------------

Q2UWm. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing mule deer population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Increasing prevalence of diseases like chronic wasting disease.]

	All A	lges			As	ge Categori	es		
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Least (1)	215	5.4%	6.1%	7.1%	7.0%	7.5%	4.6%	1.7%	5.4%
2	609	15.4%	17.2%	15.5%	20.2%	15.9%	13.5%	11%	15.4%
3	1211	30.7%	36.2%	34.5%	31.0%	33.7%	29.7%	25%	30.7%
4	1127	28.5%	24.5%	26.4%	26.7%	26.6%	30.3%	33%	28.5%
Most (5)	789	20.0%	16.0%	16.5%	15.1%	16.4%	21.9%	29%	20.0%
Total	3951	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100.0%
Don't know / not sure	112								
Opted out / Skipped	3424								
Total	7487								

Table 43: Contributions to Decreasing Mule Deer Population: Increasing Disease

Q3UW. Over the past 10 years, I believe that elk populations in Wyoming have been:

	All A	ages		Age Categories					
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total
Increasing substantially	752	15.0%	15.8%	14.6%	15.0%	15.0%	12.5%	17.2%	15.0%
Increasing moderately	2151	42.8%	36.4%	46.7%	44.5%	41.1%	43.4%	41.2%	42.8%
Not changing	1118	22.3%	27.2%	24.3%	22.5%	22.6%	23.7%	18.3%	22.3%
Decreasing moderately	788	15.7%	17.5%	11.5%	14.2%	16.4%	16.3%	18.2%	15.7%
Decreasing substantially	211	4.2%	3.1%	3.0%	3.9%	4.8%	4.1%	5.1%	4.2%
Total	5020	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Opted out / Skipped	2467								
Total	7487								

Table 44: Belief about Elk Population Numbers

Q4UWa. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Hunting regulations (length or timing of season, number of licenses, antler point restrictions).]

	All A	lges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Least (1)	291	30.7%	23.3%	25.0%	36.8%	34.9%	26.8%	28.6%	30.7%		
2	222	30.7%	20.9%	17.0%	20.9%	24.2%	21.9%	28.6%	23.4%		
3	192	30.7%	25.6%	22.7%	18.4%	20.4%	24.0%	16.9%	20.2%		
4	132	30.7%	14.0%	20.5%	10.4%	12.4%	15.3%	14.5%	13.9%		
Most (5)	112	30.7%	16.3%	14.8%	13.4%	8.1%	12.0%	11.3%	11.8%		
Total	949	30.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know / not sure	33										
Opted out / Skipped	6505										
Total	7487										

Table 45: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Hunting Regulations

Q4UWb. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Hunting success (changes in hunting technology, safety in bear country).]

	All A	lges	Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Least (1)	230	24.3%	31.1%	17.4%	29.6%	22.5%	20.7%	25.1%	24.3%		
2	282	29.7%	24.4%	25.6%	30.7%	31.9%	26.6%	32.1%	29.7%		
3	262	27.6%	28.9%	37.2%	21.1%	29.8%	33.2%	23.5%	27.6%		
4	109	11.5%	11.1%	10.5%	12.6%	11.5%	13.0%	9.9%	11.5%		
Most (5)	65	6.9%	4.4%	9.3%	6.0%	4.2%	6.5%	9.5%	6.9%		
Total	948	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know / not sure	33										
Opted out / Skipped	6506										
Total	7487										

Table 46: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Hunting Success

Q4UWc. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Land access (elk moving onto private lands during hunting season).]

	All A	Ages	Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Least (1)	112	11.6%	13.3%	18.0%	14.3%	12.9%	8.5%	8.3%	11.6%		
2	128	13.3%	13.3%	13.5%	15.3%	14.4%	9.6%	13.5%	13.3%		
3	186	19.3%	26.7%	16.9%	19.4%	20.6%	21.3%	16.3%	19.3%		
4	237	24.6%	22.2%	25.8%	26.0%	21.1%	25.5%	25.4%	24.6%		
Most (5)	301	31.2%	24.4%	25.8%	25.0%	30.9%	35.1%	36.5%	31.2%		
Total	964	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know / not sure	22										
Opted out / Skipped	6501										
Total	7487										

Table 47: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Land Access

Q4UWd. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Predation by mountain lions, wolves, coyotes, and bears.]

	All A	Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Least (1)	63	6.6%	11.6%	4.7%	5.5%	8.9%	6.9%	5.2%	6.6%	
2	127	13.2%	20.9%	10.5%	15.0%	16.1%	13.8%	8.8%	13.2%	
3	158	16.4%	16.3%	17.4%	16.5%	15.6%	14.8%	17.9%	16.4%	
4	215	22.4%	18.6%	31.4%	20.0%	18.2%	22.2%	25.1%	22.4%	
Most (5)	398	41.4%	32.6%	36.0%	43.0%	41.1%	42.3%	43.0%	41.4%	
Total	961	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Don't know / not sure	28									
Opted out / Skipped	6498									
Total	7487									

Table 48: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Predation

Q4UWd. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Feral horse populations.]

	All A	All Ages			Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total				
Least (1)	348	38.2%	41.9%	33.7%	37.6%	41.2%	37.1%	38.1%	38.2%				
2	233	25.6%	11.6%	20.5%	27.8%	26.4%	28.7%	25.1%	25.6%				
3	169	18.6%	25.6%	19.3%	19.1%	15.9%	19.7%	17.7%	18.6%				
4	89	9.8%	7.0%	14.5%	8.8%	8.8%	7.9%	11.7%	9.8%				
Most (5)	72	7.9%	14.0%	12.0%	6.7%	7.7%	6.7%	7.4%	7.9%				
Total	911	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
Don't know / not sure	74												
Opted out / Skipped	6502												
Total	7487												

Table 49: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Feral Horse Populations

Q4UWe. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Changes in amount of habitat and habitat quality.]

	All A	aee		Age Categories									
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total				
Least (1)	103	10.7%	2.3%	10.2%	12.5%	12.6%	11.5%	8.9%	10.7%				
2	185	19.3%	34.1%	14.8%	19.5%	18.3%	15.2%	21.9%	19.3%				
3	326	33.9%	38.6%	34.1%	33.5%	34.6%	35.6%	31.6%	33.9%				
4	227	23.6%	15.9%	28.4%	20.5%	25.1%	22.5%	25.5%	23.6%				
Most (5)	120	12.5%	9.1%	12.5%	14.0%	9.4%	15.2%	12.1%	12.5%				
Total	961	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
Don't know / not sure	24												
Opted out / Skipped	6502												
Total	7487												

Table 50: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Habitat Changes

Q4UWf. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Increasing frequency of droughts and harsh winters.]

	All A	All Ages			Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total				
Least (1)	90	9.3%	13.3%	4.6%	9.1%	8.2%	10.4%	10.3%	9.3%				
2	172	17.7%	17.8%	14.9%	22.2%	17.3%	14.1%	18.2%	17.7%				
3	258	26.6%	22.2%	23.0%	23.7%	25.0%	30.2%	29.2%	26.6%				
4	270	27.8%	33.3%	33.3%	27.8%	28.6%	26.0%	25.7%	27.8%				
Most (5)	181	18.6%	13.3%	24.1%	17.2%	20.9%	19.3%	16.6%	18.6%				
Total	971	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
Don't know / not sure	14												
Opted out / Skipped	6502												
Total	7487												

Table 51: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Weather

Q4UWg. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Recreation disturbance (shed/antler hunting, hiking, horseback, ATV).]

	All A	Ages	Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Least (1)	175	18.1%	15.9%	15.7%	23.9%	21.2%	16.4%	13.6%	18.1%		
2	265	27.4%	45.5%	25.8%	21.8%	32.8%	24.3%	27.2%	27.4%		
3	253	26.2%	18.2%	31.5%	24.9%	23.7%	25.4%	29.2%	26.2%		
4	159	16.4%	6.8%	20.2%	17.3%	14.6%	19.0%	15.6%	16.4%		
Most (5)	115	11.9%	13.6%	6.7%	12.2%	7.6%	14.8%	14.4%	11.9%		
Total	967	1.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know / not sure	14										
Opted out / Skipped	6506										
Total	7487										

Q4UWh. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Vehicle collisions.]

	All A	Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Least (1)	307	32.3%	35.6%	25.3%	34.7%	35.1%	29.6%	32.2%	32.3%	
2	334	35.2%	37.8%	29.9%	38.7%	35.6%	31.2%	36.4%	35.2%	
3	198	20.8%	15.6%	25.3%	19.6%	16.5%	25.3%	21.3%	20.8%	
4	83	8.7%	8.9%	14.9%	5.5%	9.3%	11.3%	6.7%	8.7%	
Most (5)	28	2.9%	2.2%	4.6%	1.5%	3.6%	2.7%	3.3%	2.9%	
Total	950	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Don't know / not sure	30									
Opted out / Skipped	6507									
Total	7487									

Table 53: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Vehicle Collisions

Q4UWi. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Threats to winter range.]

	All A	oes	Age Categories									
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Least (1)	79	8.2%	8.9%	9.1%	11.0%	8.2%	7.4%	6.1%	8.2%			
2	159	16.5%	15.6%	9.1%	23.5%	17.3%	11.1%	17.1%	16.5%			
3	274	28.4%	26.7%	27.3%	28.0%	27.0%	34.9%	25.6%	28.4%			
4	249	25.8%	31.1%	35.2%	20.5%	29.6%	19.6%	27.6%	25.8%			
Most (5)	203	21.1%	17.8%	19.3%	17.0%	17.9%	27.0%	23.6%	21.1%			
Total	964	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Don't know / not sure	15											
Opted out / Skipped	6508											
Total	7487											

Table 54: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Population: Threats to Winter Range

Q4UWj. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Threats to migratory corridors.]

	A 11 A			Age Categories									
	All A Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total				
Least (1)	92	9.6%	11.4%	4.6%	13.2%	9.2%	9.6%	8.7%	9.6%				
2	186	19.5%	13.6%	18.4%	24.9%	18.9%	18.1%	18.2%	19.5%				
3	280	29.4%	29.5%	34.5%	31.5%	30.1%	29.8%	24.8%	29.4%				
4	238	24.9%	27.3%	24.1%	19.3%	26.0%	23.4%	29.8%	24.9%				
Most (5)	158	16.6%	18.2%	18.4%	11.2%	15.8%	19.1%	18.6%	16.6%				
Total	954	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
Don't know / not sure	27												
Opted out / Skipped	6506												
Total	7487												

Q4UWj. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Increasing prevalence of diseases like chronic wasting disease.]

	All A	lges	Age Categories								
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Least (1)	99	10.5%	16.7%	13.6%	13.3%	8.2%	11.4%	7.1%	10.5%		
2	269	28.5%	23.8%	23.9%	32.1%	32.0%	26.5%	26.9%	28.5%		
3	301	31.9%	19.0%	36.4%	28.1%	33.5%	33.5%	33.2%	31.9%		
4	168	17.8%	23.8%	19.3%	13.8%	18.6%	17.3%	19.3%	17.8%		
Most (5)	106	11.2%	16.7%	6.8%	12.8%	7.7%	11.4%	13.4%	11.2%		
Total	943	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Don't know / not sure	39										
Opted out / Skipped	6505										
Total	7487										

Table 56: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Disease

Q4UWk. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Don't know/ Not sure.]

	A 11 - A			Age Categories								
	All A Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Least (1)	220	46.7%	47.6%	48.9%	50.9%	48.2%	42.6%	42.7%	46.7%			
2	77	16.3%	14.3%	22.2%	16.1%	19.1%	17.0%	10.1%	16.3%			
3	111	23.6%	28.6%	15.6%	21.4%	20.9%	28.7%	27.0%	23.6%			
4	30	6.4%		8.9%	6.3%	6.4%	3.2%	10.1%	6.4%			
Most (5)	33	7.0%	9.5%	4.4%	5.4%	5.5%	8.5%	10.1%	7.0%			
Total	471	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Don't know / not sure	230											
Opted out / Skipped	6786											
Total	7487											

Table 57: Contributions to Decreasing Elk Populations: Don't know/ Not Sure

Q4UWl. For each factor below, please indicate how much you feel that factor contributes to the decreasing elk population in Wyoming, with 1 being the least contribution and 5 being the most contribution.

[Many things occurring at once.]

	All A	lges			Aş	ge Categori	ies					
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total			
Least (1)	75	9.4%	5.4%	7.2%	12.6%	10.4%	8.5%	8.1%	9.4%			
2	83	10.4%	10.8%	10.8%	12.0%	12.9%	11.8%	5.4%	10.4%			
3	240	30.1%	29.7%	22.9%	35.4%	28.8%	30.7%	29.0%	30.1%			
4	215	27.0%	21.6%	26.5%	22.3%	26.4%	27.5%	32.8%	27.0%			
Most (5)	184	23.1%	32.4%	32.5%	17.7%	21.5%	21.6%	24.7%	23.1%			
Total	797	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Don't know / not sure	56											
Opted out / Skipped	6634											
Total	7487											

Table 58: Contributions to Decreasing	g Elk Population: Ma	lany Things Occu	irring at Once
---------------------------------------	----------------------	------------------	----------------

QUW5. Out of the following list, select the management goal that you think should be prioritized.

	All A	ages			Ag	ge Categori	ies		
	Frequency	Valid	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and	Total
	requency	Percent		25 10 51				older	Iotai
Mule deer populations in									
Wyoming should be	3993	79.6%	78.2%	83.3%	80.1%	80.9%	78.5%	77.2%	79.6%
increased.									
Mule deer populations in									
Wyoming should be	1002	20.0%	20.4%	16.3%	19.6%	18.8%	21.1%	22.5%	20.0%
maintained.									
Mule deer populations in									
Wyoming should be	20	0.4%	1.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
reduced.									
Total	5015	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Opted out / Skipped	2472								
Total	7487								

 Table 59: Mule Deer Population Management Priorities

QUW6. Out of the following list, select the 3 management actions that you would recommend Wyoming Game and Fish Department takes to increase mule deer populations.

	All A	lges	Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Reduce white-tailed deer populations.	671	16.8%	13.6%	16.9%	15.6%	17.3%	18.1%	17.2%	16.8%	
Work with partners to reduce feral horse populations.	548	13.7%	16.5%	19.5%	15.5%	12.8%	10.0%	11.9%	13.7%	
Reduce predation pressure by removing predators like wolves, mountain lions, bears, and coyotes.	1926	48.3%	51.7%	41.5%	45.8%	51.2%	51.0%	49.4%	48.3%	
Supplemental feeding of mule deer during the winter.	1106	27.7%	38.6%	32.0%	30.1%	27.4%	27.0%	21.3%	27.7%	
Supplemental feeding of elk during the winter.	364	9.1%	8.5%	7.6%	7.4%	8.9%	11.1%	10.5%	9.1%	
Reduce mule deer harvest (shortening length of seasons, antler point restrictions, limited quota, reducing number of tags).	1347	33.8%	38.6%	34.7%	35.1%	37.4%	34.6%	26.9%	33.8%	

Table 60: Management Actions to Increase Mule Deer Populations

69 | UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

Increase elk harvest									
(increase number of elk	554	13.9%	14.8%	13.4%	13.5%	14.6%	12.8%	14.7%	13.9%
tags, lengthen season,	554	13.970	14.070	13.470	13.370	14.070	12.070	14.//0	13.970
etc.)									
Habitat enhancement									
(habitat treatments,	1377	34.5%	33.0%	32.8%	34.7%	31.6%	35.1%	37.7%	34.5%
wildfires, manage	1377	54.570	55.070	52.070	34.770	51.070	33.170	57.770	J H.J 70
noxious weeds).									
Reduce recreation									
pressure (shed/antler	392	9.8%	6.3%	11.7%	9.0%	10.1%	11.0%	9.0%	9.8%
hunting, hiking,	572	2.070	0.570	11.//0	2.070	10.170	11.070	2.070	2.070
horseback, ATV).									
Manage for diseases like	1163	29.2%	29.0%	25.2%	26.4%	26.3%	28.0%	38.1%	29.2%
chronic wasting diseases.	1105	27.270	27.070	23.270	20.470	20.370	20.070	50.170	27.270
Build wildlife crossings.	798	20.0%	21.0%	19.7%	23.3%	19.8%	18.3%	18.1%	20.0%
Protect migration	1143	28.7%	14.8%	32.0%	29.8%	27.6%	27.7%	30.0%	28.7%
corridors.	1145	20.770	14.070	52.070	27.070	27.070	27.770	30.070	20.770
Convert fencing to	346	8.7%	8.0%	10.4%	8.0%	8.1%	8.7%	9.0%	8.7%
wildlife-friendly fencing.	540	0.770	0.070	10.470	0.070	0.1/0	0.//0	9.070	0.//0
Total	3989	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Opted out / Skipped	3498								
Total	7487								

QUW7. Out of the following list, select the management goal that you think should be prioritized.

	A11 A	Iges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Elk populations in Wyoming should be increased.	1279	25.6%	28.6%	26.0%	25.6%	25.2%	25.9%	24.8%	25.6%		
Elk populations in Wyoming should be maintained.	3115	62.3%	62.1%	62.4%	61.9%	60.6%	62.9%	63.6%	62.3%		
Elk populations in Wyoming should be reduced.	606	12.1%	9.4%	11.6%	12.5%	14.2%	11.2%	11.6%	12.1%		
Total	5000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Opted out / Skipped	2487										
Total	7487										

Table 61: Elk Population Management Priorities

QUW8. Out of the following list, select the 3 management actions that you would recommend Wyoming Game and Fish Department takes to increase elk populations.

	All A	Ages	Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total	
Work with partners to reduce feral horse populations.	192	14.9%	21.5%	26.2%	15.5%	12.3%	11.2%	11.7%	14.9%	
Reduce predation pressure by removing predators like wolves, mountain lions, bears, and coyotes.	838	65.1%	63.1%	57.9%	65.6%	70.3%	62.2%	67.3%	65.1%	
Supplemental feeding of elk during the winter.	581	45.1%	63.1%	42.1%	44.0%	50.0%	45.4%	44.8%	45.1%	
Reduce elk harvest (reduce number of elk tags, shorten season, etc.)	153	11.9%	40.0%	12.8%	13.4%	11.4%	9.6%	11.7%	11.9%	
Habitat enhancement (habitat treatments, wildfires, manage noxious weeds).	567	44.0%	41.5%	49.4%	43.6%	34.7%	49.0%	45.2%	44.0%	
Reduce recreation pressure (shed/antler hunting, hiking, horseback, ATV).	207	16.1%	13.8%	15.2%	18.9%	16.1%	15.5%	14.6%	16.1%	

Table 62: Management Actions to Increase Elk Populations

Manage for diseases like chronic wasting diseases.	433	33.6%	35.4%	28.0%	31.3%	34.7%	32.3%	39.1%	33.6%
Build wildlife crossings.	234	18.2%	24.6%	20.1%	21.3%	17.4%	15.9%	14.9%	18.2%
Protect migration corridors.	404	31.4%	27.7%	29.3%	28.2%	28.4%	38.2%	33.1%	31.4%
Convert fencing to wildlife-friendly fencing.	140	10.9%	15.4%	12.2%	12.4%	11.0%	9.2%	8.9%	10.9%
Total	1288	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Opted out / Skipped	6199								
Total	7487								

QUW9. Out of the following list, select the 3 management actions that you would recommend Wyoming Game and Fish Department takes to decrease elk populations.

	All A	ges		Age Categories							
	Frequency	Valid Percent	18 to 24	25 to 34	35 to 44	45 to 54	55 to 64	65 and older	Total		
Increase elk harvest (increase number of tags, lengthen season, revise timing of weapon use).	1273	75.6%	85.5%	80.2%	74.9%	75.8%	74.6%	72.3%	75.6%		
Change non- resident/resident split.	497	29.5%	26.3%	23.0%	26.9%	32.6%	27.3%	36.2%	29.5%		
Haze elk onto public lands to increase harvest.	752	44.7%	51.3%	42.9%	47.2%	46.5%	47.0%	37.9%	44.7%		
Enroll more lands in access areas or incentivize private lands access.	1339	79.6%	76.3%	81.1%	80.5%	75.2%	80.3%	81.6%	79.6%		
Allow agency elk cull.	120	7.1%	3.9%	6.0%	8.5%	6.9%	5.7%	8.5%	7.1%		
Remove feed grounds.	185	11.0%	14.5%	15.7%	11.3%	12.4%	8.9%	7.6%	11.0%		
Allow large carnivores to persist to redistribute elk populations.	177	10.5%	9.2%	13.4%	10.8%	9.1%	10.5%	10.2%	10.5%		
Total	1683	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
Opted out / Skipped	5804										
Total	7487										

Table 63: Management Actions to Decrease Elk Populations

Thank you so much for taking the time to complete this survey. For news and updates, please visit the Wyoming Game & Fish Department website at https://wgfd.wyo.gov!

[See Wyoming Game and Fish Department Mule Deer Hunter Attitudes Survey 2023 Supplemental Open-Ends Report for a list of open-end responses to survey questions.]