# Wyoming Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Element 1 of the Congressional guidelines for State Wildlife Action Plans (SWAPs) specifies that each state must provide "information on the distribution and abundance of species of wildlife, including low and declining populations as the state wildlife agency deems appropriate, that are indicative of the diversity and health of the state's wildlife." These species have been termed Species of Greatest Conservation Needs or SGCN.

#### **Identifying SGCN**

Over 800 species of wildlife exist in Wyoming. This figure does not include plants and terrestrial invertebrates, which do not fall within the Wyoming Game and Fish Department's (WGFD) jurisdiction. SGCN designation is intended to identify species whose conservation status warrants increased management attention, and funding, as well as consideration in conservation, land use, and development planning in Wyoming. SGCN designation can be derived from known population or habitat threats or a lack of sufficient information to adequately assess a species' status.

The WGFD's SGCN designation process is based upon its Native Species Status (NSS) classification system. This system compares population and limiting factor variables using a 16 cell matrix (Table 1).

The y-axis consists of population variables, which range on a continuum from populations declining with extirpation possible (row A) to populations that are widespread and expanding (row D). After identifying the appropriate row for a species population, the most appropriate limiting factor column is selected from the xaxis, ranging from limiting factors that are severe and worsening (column a) to limiting factors that are moderate and not likely to increase (column d). Limiting factors include habitat, human activity levels, genetics, invasive species, disease, environmental contaminants, and climate change (Table 2). Additional limiting factors may be identified in the future. The matrix cell established by the intersection of the selected row and column identifies the NSS rank for a species. As a species moves from a placement closest to the upper left corner of the matrix (Aa/NSS1) toward the lower right corner (Dd/NSS7) the species' population status in Wyoming is considered more secure. Some combinations of population status and limiting factors are unlikely to occur and are not assigned an NSS rank. Notes on the SGCN designation are included with each species account.

This system cannot be used for classifying some species because necessary information is lacking. These species are placed in a separate status category as NSS Unknown (NSSU) until additional information is obtained. Species that receive an NSS rank of NSS1, NSS2, NSS3, NSS4, or NSSU were recommended to the Wyoming Game and Fish Commission to receive SGCN designation for the 2017 SWAP. NSSU species were recommended to receive the SGCN designation because obtaining a greater understanding regarding population numbers and distributions of these species is necessary in determining their conservation status, including responding to petitions for listing under the Endangered Species Act. Some species with naturally low numbers and limited distributions were not recommended to receive SGCN status if both the following qualifications were met:

- 1. The species in Wyoming is not experiencing known population declines or increasing threats, and
- 2. The species' population is abundant and secure throughout its range.

Only species that are legally considered wildlife in Wyoming, and are thought to breed within the state, were evaluated for SGCN status. Wyoming Statute 23-1-101 (a) (xiii) defines "wildlife" as all wild mammals, birds, fish, amphibians, reptiles, crustaceans and mollusks, and wild bison designated by the Wyoming Game and Fish Commission and the Wyoming Livestock Board within Wyoming. Plants and invertebrates (excluding crustaceans and mollusks) are outside the jurisdictional authority of the WGFD and were not considered for SGCN status.

The SGCN designation was applied at the most appropriate taxonomic level based on current management practices (see Appendix B for a description of taxonomic approach for mammals and birds). This was usually the species level. Examples of exceptions at the subspecies level included the Preble's jumping mouse, four subspecies of cutthroat trout,<sup>1</sup> and a number of reptiles and amphibians. Most mollusks and crustaceans were organized at the genus, family, or order level, based on shared morphology, habitats, threats, and limited information.<sup>2</sup> Basic life history information, population survey methods, and identification techniques for these mollusks and crustaceans is extremely limited. Consequently, addressing the conservation of these species at a lower taxonomic level is impractical until additional information is available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bonneville, Yellowstone, Snake River, and Colorado River.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mollusk groups: aquatic snails, land snails, oreohelix mountain snails, pill clams, and stagnicola pondsnails. Crustacean groups: shrimp.

# Table 1. 2017 SWAP Native Species Status Matrix

		Limiting Factors				
		a. EXTREME Limiting factors are severe and continue to increase in severity	b. SEVERE Limiting factors are severe and not increasing significantly	c. MODERATE Limiting factors are moderate and appear likely to increase in severity	d. MINIMAL Limiting factors are moderate and not likely to increase in severity	
	A. IMPERILED Population size or distribution is restricted or declining and extirpation is possible	<u>Aa</u> NSS1	Ab NSS2	Ac NOT APPLICABLE	Ad NOT APPLICABLE	
n Status	<b>B.</b> <b>VULNERABLE</b> Population size or distribution is restricted or declining but extirpation is not imminent	<u>Ba</u> NSS2	Bb NSS3	Bc NSS4	Bd NOT APPLICABLE	
Population Status	C. STABLE Population size and distribution is stable and the species is widely distributed	Ca NOT APPLICABLE	Cb NSS4	Cc NSS5	Cd NSS6	
	D. EXPANDING Populations are expanding in number and/or distribution and the species is widely distributed	Da NOT APPLICABLE	Db NOT APPLICABLE	Dc NSS6	Dd NSS7	

# Table 2. Description of SWAP NSS Matrix Limiting Factors

	a.	b.	с.	d.
	EXTREME	SEVERE	MODERATE	MINIMAL
Limiting Factors	Limiting factors are severe	Limiting factors are severe	Limiting factors are moderate	Limiting factors are moderate
	and continue to increase in	and not increasing	and appear likely to increase	and not likely to increase in
	severity	significantly	in severity	severity
	Deteriorating	Restricted	Vulnerable	Stable
Habitat	Significant ongoing and	Significant loss of habitat	Habitat is vulnerable but not	Habitat is secure and/or
	increasing loss of habitat or	C	currently restricted; increases	widespread
	extremely limited habitat		in habitat loss likely	
	Highly sensitive	Sensitive	Adaptive	Tolerant
Human activity	Disturbance significantly and	Disturbance significantly	Disturbance currently results	Species routinely occupies
Human activity	increasingly impacting	impacting populations	in moderate population	disturbed environments and
	populations		reductions; additional losses	habitats closely associated
			likely	with humans
	Deteriorating	Restricted	Vulnerable	Stable
Genetics	Species significantly declining	Unaltered genetic base is	Unaltered genetic base is	Desired genetic base is secure
Genetics	in genetic purity or ongoing	severely restricted	currently stable but vulnerable	and widespread
	hybridizations	geographically or genetically	to hybridization or loss of genetic diversity	
	Deteriorating	Restricted	Vulnerable	Stable
Invasive species	Invasive species causing	Invasive species causing	Invasive species impacts	No current or expected
invasive species	significant and increasing	significant population impacts	moderate but expected to	impacts from invasive species
	population impacts and loss of habitat	or loss of habitat	increase in severity	
Others				
Disease				
Contaminants				
Climate change				

#### Changes in SGCN from 2005

The re-evaluation of SGCN for the revised 2017 SWAP resulted in 229 species receiving SGCN designation (Table 3). This included 80 birds, 51 mammals, 28 fish, 9 amphibians, 24 reptiles, 8 crustaceans, and 29 mollusks.<sup>3</sup>

#### SGCN Prioritization and Conservation Tiers

Due to resource limitations, it is not possible to provide equal attention to all of Wyoming's SGCN and achieve quantifiable conservation results. SWAPs are required to be coordinated with federal, state, and local agencies. Increasingly, these entities are looking to the SWAP for guidance in directing wildlife conservation activities.

By itself, the WGFD's NSS ranking system has limitations in conveying conservation priority. First, the NSS system does not take into account the issue of peripheral ranges. A species could be common and secure throughout its range, but receive a high NSS rank solely because Wyoming is on the periphery of its range. The NSS ranking system does not differentiate these species from species that have a substantial portion of their range in Wyoming and are facing increasing threats, or from species that have limited ranges in the state, but for which Wyoming is likely to play a significant role in national or international conservation. Secondly, NSS rank does not take into account science and wildlife management limitations or economic, social, or political factors, which are necessary to consider when designing conservation strategies. Lastly, the NSSU designation deviates from the numerical designation given to other NSS ranks. No differentiation is made between species where population data is lacking and threat levels are known to be increasing, and species with lacking survey data that are not believed to be facing increasing threats.

<sup>3</sup> Includes five groups of mollusks and one group of crustaceans. In the 2010 SWAP, 180 species receiving the SGCN designation. This included 56 birds, 46 mammals, 30 fish, 8 amphibians, 21 reptiles, 5 crustaceans, and 14 mollusks. To address these shortcomings, an SGCN conservation prioritization system was developed. The system was designed to provide a clear and transparent mechanism to focus internal and external conservation efforts toward species where there is the greatest likelihood of preventing future listings under the Endangered Species Act and for which conservation activities will provide the greatest benefits for native species, natural habitats, and the state.

The following six variables were approved by the Wyoming Game and Fish Commission (1/28/2010) to evaluate the conservation priority of SGCN. Descriptions for each variable are found in Appendix A.

- 1. WGFD NSS rank.
- 2. Wyoming's contribution to the species' overall conservation.
- 3. Regulatory/monetary impacts of the species' listing under the Endangered Species Act.
- 4. Urgency of conservation action.
- 5. Ability to implement effective conservation actions.
- 6. The species' ecological or management role as keystone, indicator, or umbrella species.

Numerical scores were assigned to each of these variables and summed to provide a total score. SGCN were placed into one of three tiers based on their total score: Tier I – highest priority, Tier II - moderate priority, and Tier III lowest priority. Prioritization scores were assigned by two or more WGFD biologists who have considerable knowledge about the SGCN. If the difference in total scores by any two individuals resulted in a species being placed in different tiers, then the relevant variables were discussed to reach consensus about the appropriate tier for the species. The tier for any SGCN may be reviewed annually if circumstances change or new data becomes available.

Wyoming State Wildlife Action Plan - 2017

Species ranked NSS1 – NSS4 were treated differently than NSSU species. This was due to the lack of sufficient information about NSSU species to adequately assess some prioritization variables and also because of an absence of a numerical NSS rank. The prioritization system for NSS1 – NSS4 and NSSU is as follows:

#### NSS1 – NSS4

- The NSS rank of the species is subtracted from 5 and multiplied by 6: [(5-NSS)×6]. This would result in scores of NSS1=24, NSS2=18, NSS3=12, NSS4=6.
- The species is assigned a score of 1–10 based on the variable "Wyoming's contribution to the species' overall conservation"; 10 being the highest contribution and 1 being the lowest contribution. The WYNDD G rank (global chance of extinction) and Wyoming Conservation Contribution score were consulted in determining this score.
- 3. The species is assigned a score of 1–5; 5 being highest and 1 the lowest for each of the following variables:
  - a. Regulatory/monetary impacts of the species' listing under the Endangered Species Act.
  - b. Urgency of conservation action.
  - c. Ability to implement effective conservation actions.

d. The species' ecological or management role as a keystone, indicator, or umbrella species.

A species ranked NSS 1 – NSS4 has a maximum of 54 points. Species with a total score of 1–18 are Tier III, 19–36 are Tier II, 37–54 are Tier I.

#### NSSU

- NSSU species are assigned a score of 1–12 based on the variable "Wyoming's contribution to the species' overall conservation"; 12 being the highest contribution and 1 being the lowest contribution.
- 2. Next, a score of 1–6 is assigned for each of the following variables; 6 being the highest and 1 the lowest:
  - a. Regulatory/monetary impacts of the species' listing under the Endangered Species Act.
  - b. Urgency of conservation action

An NSSU species can have a maximum of 24 points. Species with a total score of 1–8 are Tier III, 9–16 are Tier II, and 17–24 are Tier I.

### TABLE 3. – Wyoming 2017 SGCN

(SGCN are organized by taxa, conservation, and priority tier and then alphabetized by common name).

Taxa Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	NSS Rank	NSS Cell	Tier
Birds	Common Loon	Gavia immer	1	Aa	Ι
	Burrowing Owl	Athene cunicularia	U	U	Ι
	Mountain Plover	Charadrius montanus	U	U	Ι
	Northern Goshawk	Accipiter gentilis	U	U	Ι
	Trumpeter Swan	Cygnus buccinator	2	Ba	II
	American Bittern	Botaurus lentiginosus	3	Bb	II
	Ash-throated Flycatcher	Myiarchus cinerascens	3	Bb	II
	Bald Eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	3	Bb	II
	Black Tern	Chlidonias niger	3	Bb	II
	Black-crowned Night-Heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	3	Bb	II
	Boreal Owl	Aegolius funereus	3	Bb	II
	Bushtit	Psaltriparus minimus	3	Bb	II
	Caspian Tern	Hydroprogne caspia	3	Bb	II
	Cattle Egret	Bubulcus ibis	3	Bb	II
	Forster's Tern	Sterna forsteri	3	Bb	II
	Harlequin Duck	Histrionicus histrionicus	3	Bb	II
	Juniper Titmouse	Baeolophus ridgwayi	3	Bb	II
	Long-billed Curlew	Numenius americanus	3	Bb	II
	Peregrine Falcon	Falco peregrinus	3	Bb	II
	Pygmy Nuthatch	Sitta pygmaea	3	Bb	II
	Snowy Egret	Egretta thula	3	Bb	II
	Woodhouse's Scrub-Jay	Aphelocoma woodhouseii	3	Bb	II
	White-faced Ibis	Plegadis chihi	3	Bb	II
	Williamson's Sapsucker	Sphyrapicus thyroideus	3	Bb	II
	American White Pelican	Pelecanus erythrorhynchos	4	Bc	II
	Baird's Sparrow	Ammodramus bairdii	4	Bc	II
	Black-billed Cuckoo	Coccyzus erythropthalmus	4	Bc	II
	Black-throated Gray Warbler	Setophaga nigrescens	4	Bc	II
	Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus	4	Bc	II
	Brewer's Sparrow	Spizella breweri	4	Bc	II
	Calliope Hummingbird	Selasphorus calliope	4	Bc	II

New species not identified in 2010 SWAP Includes multiple species

Taxa Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	NSS Rank	NSS Cell	Tier
Birds	Chestnut-collared Longspur	Calcarius ornatus	4	Bc	II
	Clark's Nutcracker	Nucifraga columbiana	4	Bc	II
	Columbian Sharp-tailed Grouse	Tympanuchus phasianellus columbianus	4	Cb	II
	Ferruginous Hawk	Buteo regalis	4	Cb	II
	Golden Eagle	Aquila chrysaetos	4	Bc	II
	Grasshopper Sparrow	Ammodramus savannarum	4	Bc	II
	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	4	Bc	II
	Greater Sage-Grouse	Centrocercus urophasianus	4	Bc	II
	Loggerhead Shrike	Lanius ludovicianus	4	Bc	II
	MacGillivray's Warbler	Geothlypis tolmiei	4	Bc	II
	McCown's Longspur	Rhynchophanes mccownii	4	Bc	II
	Red Crossbill	Loxia curvirostra	4	Bc	II
	Red-eyed Vireo	Vireo olivaceus	4	Bc	II
	Red-headed Woodpecker	Melanerpes erythrocephalus	4	Bc	II
	Rufous Hummingbird	Selasphorus rufus	4	Bc	II
	Sage Thrasher	Oreoscoptes montanus	4	Bc	II
	Sagebrush Sparrow	Artemisiospiza nevadensis	4	Bc	II
	Short-eared Owl	Asio flammeus	4	Bc	II
	Black Rosy-Finch	Leucosticte atrata	U	U	II
	Black-backed Woodpecker	Picoides arcticus	U	U	II
	Black-chinned Hummingbird	Archilochus alexandri	U	U	II
	Brown-capped Rosy-Finch	Leucosticte australis	U	U	II
	Clark's Grebe	Aechmophorus clarkii	U	U	II
	Dickcissel	Spiza americana	U	U	II
	Franklin's Gull	Leucophaeus pipixcan	U	U	II
	Gray Vireo	Vireo vicinior	U	U	II
	Great Gray Owl	Strix nebulosa	U	U	II
	Lewis's Woodpecker	Melanerpes lewis	U	U	II
	Northern Pygmy-Owl	Glaucidium gnoma	U	U	II
	Scott's Oriole	Icterus parisorum	U	U	II
	Swainson's Hawk	Buteo swainsoni	U	U	II
	Upland Sandpiper	Bartramia longicauda	U	U	II
	Virginia's Warbler	Oreothlypis virginiae	U	U	II
	Western Grebe	Aechmophorus occidentalis	U	U	II
	Yellow-billed Cuckoo	Coccyzus americanus	U	U	II
	Willow Flycatcher	Empidonax traillii	3	Bb	III

Taxa Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	NSS Rank	NSS Cell	Tier
Birds	American Kestrel	Falco sparverius	4	Bc	III
	American Pipit	Anthus rubescens	4	Bc	III
	Bewick's Wren	Thryomanes bewickii	4	Bc	III
	Blue Grosbeak	Passerina caerulea	4	Bc	III
	Blue-gray Gnatcatcher	Polioptila caerulea	4	Bc	III
	Canyon Wren	Catherpes mexicanus	4	Bc	III
	Common Nighthawk	Chordeiles minor	4	Bc	III
	Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	4	Bc	III
	Flammulated Owl	Psiloscops flammeolus	U	U	III
	Merlin	Falco columbarius	U	U	III
	Purple Martin	Progne subis	U	U	III
	Snowy Plover	Charadrius nivosus	U	U U	III
	Virginia Rail	Rallus limicola	U	U U	III
Mammals	Black-footed Ferret		1	Aa	I
Wallinais	Canada Lynx	Mustela nigripes Lynx canadensis	1	Aa	I
	Wyoming Pocket Gopher	Thomomys clusius	2	Ab	I
	American Pika	Ochotona princeps	2	Ba	II
	Northern Long-eared Myotis	Myotis septentrionalis	2	Ba	II
	Canyon Deermouse	Peromyscus crinitus	3	Bb	II
	Cliff Chipmunk	Teromyscus crimins Tamias dorsalis	3	Bb	II
	Dwarf Shrew	Sorex nanus	3	Bb	II
	Eastern Spotted Skunk		3	Bb	II
	Fringed Myotis	Spilogale putorius	3	Bb	II
	- · ·	Myotis thysanodes	3	Bb	II
	Idaho Pocket Gopher Little Brown Myotis	Thomomys idahoensis Myotis lucifugus	3	Bb	II
	Northern River Otter	Lontra canadensis	3	Bb	
	Pallid Bat		3	Bb	II
		Antrozous pallidus	3		
	Piñon Deermouse	Peromyscus truei		Bb	II
	Plains Harvest Mouse Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	Reithrodontomys montanus Zapus hudsonius preblei	3	Bb Bb	II
	Pygmy Rabbit	Brachylagus idahoensis	3	Bb	II
	Sand Hills Pocket Gopher	Geomys lutescens	3	Bb	II
	Townsend's Big-eared Bat	Corynorhinus townsendii	3	Bb	II
	Water Vole	Microtus richardsoni	3	Bb	II
	Wolverine	Gulo gulo	3	Bb	II
	Bighorn Sheep	Ovis canadensis	4	Bc	II

Taxa Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	NSS Rank	NSS Cell	Tier
Mammals	Black-tailed Prairie Dog	Cynomys ludovicianus	4	Cb	II
	Moose	Alces americanus	4	Bc	II
	Northern Flying Squirrel	Glaucomys sabrinus	4	Cb	II
	Sagebrush Vole	Lemmiscus curtatus	4	Cb	II
	Swift Fox	Vulpes velox	4	Cb	II
	Western Small-footed Myotis	Myotis ciliolabrum	4	Cb	II
	White-tailed Prairie Dog	Cynomys leucurus	4	Cb	II
	Abert's Squirrel	Sciurus aberti	4	Bc	III
	Eastern Red Bat	Lasiurus borealis	4	Bc	III
	Long-eared Myotis	Myotis evotis	4	Cb	III
	Long-legged Myotis	Myotis volans	4	Cb	III
	Meadow Jumping Mouse	Zapus hudsonius	4	Bc	III
	Olive-backed Pocket Mouse	Perognathus fasciatus	4	Cb	III
	Spotted Bat	Euderma maculatum	4	Bc	III
	Spotted Ground Squirrel	Xerospermophilus spilosoma	4	Bc	III
	Uinta Chipmunk	Tamias umbrinus	4	Bc	III
	Yellow-pine Chipmunk	Tamias amoenus	4	Bc	III
	Yuma Myotis	Myotis yumanensis	4	Cb	III
	American Pygmy Shrew	Sorex hoyi	U	U	III
	Great Basin Pocket Mouse	Perognathus mollipilosus	U	U	III
	Hayden's Shrew	Sorex haydeni	U	U	III
	Hispid Pocket Mouse	Chaetodipus hispidus	U	U	III
	Least Weasel	Mustela nivalis	U	U	III
	Plains Pocket Mouse	Perognathus flavescens	U	U	III
	Preble's Shrew	Sorex preblei	U	U	III
	Ringtail	Bassariscus astutus	U	U	III
	Silky Pocket Mouse	Perognathus flavus	U	U	III
	Western Spotted Skunk	Spilogale gracilis	U	U	III
Fish	Bluehead Sucker	Catostomus discobolus	1	Aa	Ι
	Flannelmouth Sucker	Catostomus latipinnis	1	Aa	Ι
	Hornyhead Chub	Nocomis biguttatus	1	Aa	Ι
	Kendall Warm Springs Dace	Rhinichthys osculus thermalis	1	Aa	Ι
	Roundtail Chub	Gila robusta	1	Aa	Ι
	Colorado River Cutthroat Trout	Oncorhynchus clarkii pleuriticus	2	Ba	II
	Finescale Dace	Chrosomus neogaeus	2	Ba	II
	Northern Pearl Dace	Margariscus nachtriebi	2	Ba	II

Wyoming State Wildlife Action Plan - 2017

Taxa Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	NSS Rank	NSS Cell	Tier
Fish	Sturgeon Chub	Macrhybopsis gelida	2 1 <b>X</b>	Ab	II
	Suckermouth Minnow	Phenacobius mirabilis	2	Ab	II
	Western Silvery Minnow	Hybognathus argyritis	2	Ab	II
	Bonneville Cutthroat Trout	Oncorhynchus clarkii utah	3	Bb	II
	Burbot	Lota lota	3	Bb	II
	Goldeye	Hiodon alosoides	3	Bb	II
	Iowa Darter	Etheostoma exile	3	Bb	II
	Northern Leatherside Chub		3	Bb	II
		Lepidomeda copei			
	Northern Plains Killifish	Fundulus kansae	3	Bb	II
	Orangethroat Darter	Etheostoma spectabile	3	Bb	II
	Plains Minnow	Hybognathus placitus	3	Bb	II
	Plains Topminnow	Fundulus sciadicus	3	Bb	II
	Sauger	Sander canadensis	3	Bb	II
	Shovelnose Sturgeon	Scaphirhynchus platorynchus	3	Bb	II
	Snake River Cutthroat Trout	Oncorhynchus clarkii spp.	3	Bb	II
	Yellowstone Cutthroat Trout	Oncorhynchus clarkii bouvieri	3	Bb	II
	Bigmouth Shiner	Notropis dorsalis	4	Cb	III
	Brassy Minnow	Hybognathus hankinsoni	4	Bc	III
	Common Shiner	Luxilus cornutus	4	Bc	III
	Flathead Chub	Platygobio gracilis	4	Bc	III
Amphibians	Western Toad	Anaxyrus boreas	1	Aa	Ι
	Wyoming Toad	Anaxyrus baxteri	1	Aa	Ι
	Wood Frog	Lithobates sylvaticus	2	Ba	II
	Columbia Spotted Frog	Rana luteiventris	3	Bb	II
	Great Basin Spadefoot	Spea intermontana	4	Bc	II
	Northern Leopard Frog	Lithobates pipiens	4	Bc	II
	Plains Spadefoot	Spea bombifrons	4	Bc	II
	Great Plains Toad	Anaxyrus cognatus	U	U	II
	Western Tiger Salamander	Ambystoma mavortium	4	Bc	III
Reptiles	Midget Faded Rattlesnake	Crotalus oreganus concolor	1	Aa	Ι
_	Northern Tree Lizard	Urosaurus ornatus wrighti	1	Aa	II
	Eastern Spiny Softshell	Apalone spinifera spinifera	2	Ba	II
	Great Basin Gophersnake	Pituophis catenifer deserticola	2	Ba	II
	Northern Rubber Boa	Charina bottae	3	Bb	II
	Pale Milksnake	Lampropeltis triangulum multistriata	3	Bb	II

Wyoming State Wildlife Action Plan - 2017

Taxa Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	NSS Rank	NSS Cell	Tier
Reptiles	Smooth Greensnake	Opheodrys vernalis	3	Bb	II
	Greater Short-horned Lizard	Phrynosoma hernandesi	4	Bc	II
	Black Hills Red-bellied Snake	Storeria occipitomaculata pahasapae	U	U	II
	Desert Striped Whipsnake	Coluber taeniatus taeniatus	U	U	II
	Northern Many-lined Skink	Plestiodon multivirgatus multivirgatus	U	U	II
	Plains Hog-nosed Snake	Heterodon nasicus	U	U	II
	Prairie Lizard	Sceloporus consobrinus	U	U	II
	Prairie Racerunner	Aspidoscelis sexlineata viridis	U	U	II
	Plateau Fence Lizard	Sceloporus tristichus	4	Bc	III
	Prairie Rattlesnake	Crotalus viridis	4	Bc	III
	Western Painted Turtle	Chrysemys picta bellii	4	Bc	III
	Great Basin Skink	Plestiodon skiltonianus utahensis	U	U	III
	Great Plains Earless Lizard	Holbrookia maculata maculate	U	U	III
	Plains Box Turtle	Terrapene ornata ornata	U	U	III
	Plains Black-headed Snake	Tantilla nigriceps	U	U	III
	Plains Gartersnake	Thamnophis radix	U	U	III
	Red-sided Gartersnake	Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis	U	U	III
	Valley Gartersnake	Thamnophis sirtalis fitchi	U	U	III
Crustaceans	Pilose Crayfish	Pacifastacus gambelii	2	Ab	II
	Ringed Crayfish	Orconectes neglectus	3	Bb	II
	Constricted Fairy Shrimp	Branchinecta constricta	U	U	II
	Mackin Fairy Shrimp	Streptocephalus mackini	U	U	II
	Calico/Papershell Crayfish	Orconectes immunis	4	Bc	III
	Devil Crayfish	Cambarus diogenes	U	U	III
	Beavertail Fairy Shrimp	Thamnocephalus platyurus	U	U	III
	Fairy, Tadpole, and Clam Shrimp (many species)		U	U	III
Mollusks	Plain Pocketbook	Lampsilis cardium	1	Aa	Ι
	Green River Pebblesnail	Fluminicola coloradoensis	U	U	Ι
	Mountainsnails (many species)		U	U	Ι
	California Floater	Anodonta californiensis	1	Aa	II
	Cylindrical Papershell	Anodontoides ferussacianus	2	Ab	II
	Jackson Lake Springsnail	Pyrgulopsis robusta	2	Ba	II

Taxa Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	NSS Rank	NSS Cell	Tier
	Cave Physa	Physa spelunca	4	Bc	II
	Cooper's Rocky Mountainsnail	Oreohelix strigosa cooperi	4	Bc	II
	Aquatic Snails (many species)		U	U	II
	Land Snails (many species)		U	U	II
	Pygmy Mountainsnail	Oreohelix pygmaea	U	U	II
	Yavapai Mountainsnail	Oreohelix yavapai	U	U	II
	Ash Gyro	Gyraulus parvus	U	U	III
	Creeping Ancylid	Ferrissia rivularis	U	U	III
	Dusky Fossaria	Fossaria dalli	U	U	III
	Forest Disc	Discus whitneyi	U	U	III
	Giant Floater	Pyganodon grandis	U	U	III
	Marsh Rams-horn	Planorbella trivolvis	U	U	III
	Multirib Vallonia	Vallonia gracilicosta	U	U	III
	Pewter Physa	Physa acuta	U	U	III
	Pill or Fingernail Clams (many species)		U	U	III
	Prairie Fossaria	Fossaria bulimoides	U	U	III
	Quick Gloss	Zonitoides arboreus	U	U	III
	Rocky Mountain Mountainsnail	Oreohelix strigosa	U	U	III
	Stagnicola Pond Snails (many species)		U	U	III
	Subalpine Mountainsnail	Oreohelix subrudis	U	U	III
	Tadpole Physa	Physa gyrina	U	U	III
	Umbilicate Sprite	Promenetus umbilicatellus	U	U	III
	Western Glass-snail	Vitrina pellucida	U	U	III

#### SGCN Accounts and Database

Each SGCN is included in the SWAP and has a species account that provides information on the species and its conservation needs. A database was created to store this information as part of the 2010 revision of the SWAP. The database is intended to advance SGCN conservation efforts by facilitating the updating, searching, reporting, tracking, and sharing of information.

New for the 2017 SWAP revision, mammal and birds species accounts were authored by WGFD and WYNDD biologists. Wildlife Management sections within the species accounts were solely authored by the WGFD. Drafts of mammal and bird species accounts were reviewed by the WGFD's Statewide Nongame Bird and Mammal Program Supervisor. Fish, reptile, amphibian, mollusk and crustacean species accounts were are continually updated by WGFD fish and herptile biologists and reviewed by the Fisheries Management Coordinator.

At present, fish, reptile, amphibian, mollusk and crustacean species account includes the following information:

- The species' common and scientific name.
- Abundance Abundant, Common, Uncommon, Unknown.
- Status WGFD NSS rank with an explanation of matrix row (limiting factor) and column (population status) classification, NatureServe G rank (global chance of extinction), and WYNDD S rank (state chance of extinction).
- Introduction information on the species' continental and Wyoming distributions and history in Wyoming, including current and past management activities, legal status, and life history information.
- Habitat habitat locations and characteristics.
- Problems list of threats to the species or its habitat.

- Conservation Action actions needed for the long term conservation of the species in Wyoming.
- Monitoring/Research information on both existing and needed monitoring and research to evaluate the species' population status and the effectiveness of conservation actions.
- Recent developments recent conservation activities, research, policy direction, or legal decisions that have bearing on the future conservation of the species.
- Reference literature cited within the species account, as well as leading research and conservation plans.
- Range and Distribution Maps WYNDD and WGFD worked collaboratively to update range and distribution maps for SGCN.

At present, bird and mammal species accounts include the following information:

- The species's common and scientific name
- Abundance Abundant, Common, Uncommon, Unknown.
- Status WGFD NSS rank with an explanation of matrix row (limiting factor) and column (population status) classification, NatureServe G rank (global chance of extinction), and WYNDD S rank (state chance of extinction).
- Taxonomy Information including a discussion of the most recent taxonomic organization. Bird taxonomy is current through July 2016 and is based on the 57th American Ornithologists' Union Supplement (Chesser R. T. et. al. 2016) and mammal taxonomy is current through October 2014 and is based on the Texas Tech 2014 Revised Checklist of North American Mammals North of Mexico (Bradley et al. 2014).
- Description Information describing noteworthy features of continental- and state-scale distribution.

- Habitat This section describes habitat associations of the taxon, with emphasis on Wyoming habitats andhow habitat use here differs from elsewhere in the taxon's range.
- Phenology A brief summary of key phenological characteristics of the taxon.
- Abundance Provides estimates of abundance at national and statewide scales.
- Population Trends This section discusses trends in populations within Wyoming, and how these relate to national trends.
- Intrinsic Vulnerability This section discusses aspects of the taxon's life history that may make it sensitive to impacts from Extrinsic Stressors, thus affecting its persistence through time.
- Extrensic Stressors This section discusses the type, degree, and severity of current and likely potential stressors, as well as how those stressors might affect the taxon's persistence in Wyoming.
- Key Activities in Wyoming A brief summary of recent, current, or pending projects pertaining directly to the target taxon in Wyoming (or, if appropriate, in nearby areas).
- Ecological Information Needs This section provides a brief list of priority information needs that would best enhance our ecological understanding of the target taxon in Wyoming.
- Management in Wyoming This section is authored solely by the WGFD and describes current management practices, decisions, and priorities employed by that agency for the taxon in Wyoming, including a brief summary of current or recent management or conservation actions pertaining directly to the target taxon in Wyoming (or, if appropriate, throughout thetaxon's range).

individual species in Wyoming. The first step in creating SGCN range maps was to reference a set of North American range maps compiled by NatureServe

(http://www.natureserve.org/getData/animalD ata.jsp). These maps were essentially handdrawn polygons representing a compilation of published continental-scale range maps for each species. These maps were then modified to fit high-resolution, 10-digit watershed (HUC) boundaries from the National Hydrography Dataset (Simley and Carswell 2009). This step provided a common spatial unit—the 10-digit HUC—for all SGCN range maps.

The HUC-based range maps were then reviewed and modified by WYNDD zoologists to accommodate local knowledge and documented occurrences maintained in the WYNDD Biotics Database and the WGFD Wildlife Observation System (WOS). A series of meetings was then convened to allow state and regional experts to provide detailed comments and modifications. The occurrence of a species within each watershed was classified into five categories: Known Recent Resident, Suspected Recent Resident, Accidental Occupant, Historical Resident, or Never a Resident. For SWAP SGCN range mapping purposes, "Known Recent Resident" and "Suspected Recent Resident" HUCs were considered to be within a species' range,<sup>4</sup> while "Accidental Occupant," "Historical Occupant," and "Never a Resident" HUCs were considered outside a species' range. Species ranges were mapped as the combined boundaries of "Known Recent Resident" and "Suspected Recent Resident" HUCs. Additional

SGCN Range Maps

For the purposes of the SWAP, range was defined as the best estimate of the total geographic space thought to be occupied by an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Known Recent Resident" indicates that the species is known to occur in a watershed based on recently documented observations and/or the knowledge of expert range mapping participants. Observations made in 1985 or later qualified as recent. "Suspected Recent Resident" indicates that range mapping participants were not aware of any recent (i.e., 1985 or later) observations of a species in a watershed, but they believed the species to occur in the watershed at the time of mapping based on species characteristics and probable suitability of habitat within the watershed.

information about the SGCN range mapping process can be found in Keinath et al. (2010a).

#### SGCN Distribution Maps

For the purposes of the SWAP, distribution is defined as a spatial subset of range. It refers to environments within a species' range that are suitable for that species' occupation. In contrast to "range," which considers species presence based solely on geographic space, "distribution" considers habitats where species could occur based on measured environmental characteristics. Given incomplete knowledge of species occurrence for most SGCN, species distributions were estimated by modeling suitable environments. The distribution model for a given SGCN was created by first attributing points of known occurrence for that species with multiple environmental measurements (e.g., elevation, mean annual precipitation, vegetation type), then by extrapolating this data to identify similar environments across Wyoming using established statistical techniques (e.g., Beauvais et al. 2006).

Points of known occurrence were obtained from the WYNDD Biotics Database, the WGFD WOS, and several ancillary datasets compiled by WYNDD specifically for this effort. These sources resulted in roughly 270,000 SGCN locations, which were systematically evaluated and filtered for accuracy and consistency following methods developed at WYNDD (Keinath et al. 2010b). Environmental measurements were derived from a variety of publically available sources and generally fell within six major categories: climate, hydrology, land cover, landscape structure, substrate, and terrain. Details on these sources of information and how they were applied to distribution maps can be found in Keinath et al. (2010b).

Maximum Entropy methods were used to select important environmental variables and summarize the environment at points of known SGCN occurrence (Phillips et al. 2006, Phillips and Dudik 2008, Keinath et al. 2010b). The result is a continuous model that estimates, for 30-meter cells across Wyoming, the probability of that cell being suitable habitat for the SGCN in question. To create maps for the SWAP, a binary threshold was specified that divided the continuous output into two categories: predicted presence and predicted absence.

The quality of distribution models was evaluated using multiple methods, both quantitative and qualitative, including prediction accuracy based on ten-fold cross-validation, statistics derived from receiver-operating characteristic analyses, evaluations of input data quality, and the expert opinion of biologists regarding how well final models reflected their understanding of species' distributions (Fielding and Bell 1997, Freeman and Moisen 2008). Validation statistics are provided for each SGCN by Keinath et al. (2010b).

Range maps tend to overestimate where a species occurs, since range polygons generally include some unsuitable habitat. In contrast, locations of documented occurrence usually drastically underestimate where a species occurs, particularly when systematic survey efforts are lacking, as is the case for most SGCN. For example, some small-mammal and reptile SGCN have ranges encompassing more than half of Wyoming, while there are only a handful of documented occurrences in the state. Distribution models are intended to bridge this gap by using occurrence data to quantify the environments where a species is known to occur and spatially map similar areas within that species' range. Thus, distribution maps identify areas where a species could potentially occur based on current information and should not be interpreted as depicting known occurrence. Models are only as good as the data used to create them, so models with few known occurrences and/or poor validation statistics (Keinath et al. 2010b) should be used with caution. Further, SGCN distribution models were created at the state-level scale and are only suitable for analyses conducted at a similar scale, such as identifying coarsely-defined areas of conservation concern or quantifying state-wide patterns of potential distribution. With the exception of SGCN added in the 2017 SWAP

revision distribution maps are largely those that were presented in the 2010 SWAP.

#### **SGCN** Monitoring

High priority is placed on completing sufficient inventories on those SGCN whose status could not be adequately documented in Wyoming. Bird and mammal SGCN are reviewed annually by the Terrestrial Nongame Section of the WGFD. Species with sufficient distribution and general abundance data to indicate status are included in the "inventories adequate" ranking total. However, any species that has limiting factors which appear to be increasing in severity, or that has been petitioned for listing under the ESA must have a system implemented for monitoring population trends before it is included in the adequate ranking category. If such a monitoring program is lacking, WGFD develops proposals and solicits needed funding but does not include them in the adequate count

## Literature Cited

- BEAUVAIS, G. P., D. KEINATH, P. HERNANDEZ, L. MASTER, AND R. THURSTON. 2006. Element distribution modeling: a primer. University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY.
- Bradley, R. D., L. K. Ammerman, R. J. Baker, L. C. Bradley, J. A. Cook, R. C. Dowler, C. Jones, D. J. Schmidly, F. B. Stangl, Jr., R. A. Van Den Bussche, and B. Würsig. 2014. Revised checklist of North American mammals north of Mexico, 2014. Occasional Papers, Museum of Texas Technical University, Number 327, Lubbock, USA.
- Chesser, R. T., K. J. Burns, C. Cicero, J. L. Dunn,
  A.W. Kratter, I. J. Lovette, P. C. Rasmussen, J. V.
  Remsen, J. D. Rising, D. F. Stotz, and K. Winker.
  2016. Fifty-seventh Supplement to the American Ornithologists' Union Check-list of North
  American Birds. The Auk: Ornithological
  Advances 133:544–560.
- FIELDING, A. H. AND J. F. BELL. 1997. A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation presence/absence models. Environmental Conservation 24:38–49.

FREEMAN, E. A. AND G. G. MOISEN. 2008. A comparison of the performance of threshold criteria for binary classification in terms of predicted prevalence and kappa. Ecological Modeling 217:48–58.

- KEINATH, D., M. ANDERSEN, AND G. BEAUVAIS. 2010a. Range maps for Wyoming species of greatest conservation need. Wyoming Natural Diversity Database, University of Wyoming Laramie, WY.
- KEINATH, D.A., M.D. ANDERSEN AND G.P. BEAUVAIS.
  2010b. Range and modeled distribution of Wyoming's species of greatest conservation need.
  Report prepared by the Wyoming Natural Diversity Database, Laramie Wyoming for the Wyoming Game and Fish Department, Cheyenne, Wyoming and the U.S. Geological Survey, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- PHILLIPS, S. J., R. P. ANDERSON, AND R. E. SCHAPIRE. 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecological Modelling 190:231–259.
- PHILLIPS, S. J. AND M. DUDIK. 2008. Modeling of species distributions with Maxent: new extensions and a comprehensive evaluation. Ecography 31:161–175.
- SIMLEY, J.D. AND W. J. JR. CARSWELL. 2009. The national map – hydrography: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2009-3054.

## Appendix A SGCN Prioritization Variable Descriptions

Descriptions are not intended to be exclusive, but to serve as a guide regarding the type of information that should be considered in providing a prioritization score.

**WGFD NSS Rank** – the Wyoming Game and Fish Department (WGFD) Native Species Status (NSS) rank is an evaluation of the Wyoming population status of a species, including its size and distribution, versus limiting factors such as habitat availability and intensity of threats. NSS rank also indentifies species where there is a lack of information to adequately assess conservation status. **Regulatory/Monetary Impacts** – extent of potential regulatory or monetary impacts of a species' listing under the Endangered Species Act (ESA).

Consideration could include:

- 1. Size of the species' range and overlap with other land uses.
- 2. Current economic contribution of the species (both consumptive and non-consumptive).
- 3. Type of restrictions necessary to address the species' conservation needs.

**Urgency of Conservation Action** – accounts for issues associated with the immediacy of the need for conservation action. This variable would capture issues that either occurred subsequent to the designation of the species' NSS rank or that were not considered. These issues may include:

- 1. New threats.
- 2. Increases in severity of existing threats.
- 3. New data that show a significant, persistent decline in the species' population, distribution, or habitat.
- 4. Likelihood and immediacy of potential ESA listing.
- 5. Funding or partnership opportunities that are time limited.

Wyoming's Contribution to the Species' Overall Conservation – this variable would address the significance of the role that Wyoming would likely play in the species' overall conservation. It would take into consideration:

- 1. The Wyoming Natural Diversity Database (WYNDD) G rank (global chance of extinction) and Wyoming Conservation Contribution score.
- 2. The proportion of the species' overall range that is in Wyoming.
- 3. The health and size of the species' population in Wyoming compared to those in other portions of its range.

4. Population status and level of conservation activity in surrounding states and other portions of the species' range.

#### Ability to Implement Effective Conservation

Actions – the ability to achieve quantifiable beneficial outcomes in stopping or reversing population declines for the species in Wyoming. This variable includes an evaluation of statutory, scientific, or technological limitations in reversing leading population and habitat threats.

The species' ecological or management role as a keystone, indicator species, or umbrella species. Indicator species are those species whose population status is a good indicator of the overall health of the habitat it occupies. A keystone species is a species that plays a significant role in shaping and defining the habitat in which it lives. Umbrella species are species selected for making conservation-related decisions, typically because protecting these species indirectly protects the many other species that make up the ecological community of its habitat.

## Appendix B

# Taxonomy of SGCN Bird and Mammals

#### Mammals

The Wyoming Game and Fish Department uses the Revised Checklist of North American Mammals North of Mexico (Bradley et al. 2014) as the taxonomic reference for mammals in Wyoming. The checklist, first published in 1973, undergoes periodic review and summarizes taxonomic changes that have occurred in the recognized mammalian fauna of North America. According to the checklist, the taxonomy of several mammalian groups remains unresolved at the species level; consequently it is difficult to provide support for elevating subspecies to specific status. Therefore, the WGFD gives no consideration to specific subspecies in Wyoming at this time, except for the Preble's meadow jumping mouse (Zapus

*hudsonius preblei*). The taxonomy issue was resolved following the publication of the checklist by King et al. (2006); consequently this subspecies warrants specific status in this revised SWAP.

Bradley, R.D., L.K. Ammerman, R.J. Baker, J.A. Cook, R.C. Dowler, C. Jones, D.J. Schmidley, F.B. Stangl, R.A. Van Den Bussche, and B. Wursig. 2014. Revised checklist of North American mammals north of Mexico, 2014. Museum of Texas Tech University. Occasional papers 327.

King, T.L., M. S. Eackles, and C.C. Young. 2006. Microsatellite DNA markers for assessing phylogeographic and population structure in Preble's meadow jumping mice (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*) and cross-amplification among neighbouring taxa. Molecular Ecology 6:670-673.

#### Birds

The American Ornithologists' Union (AOU) Check-list of North American Birds, 7th Edition (AOU 1983) is the official source on the taxonomy of the birds of North and Middle America. In addition, AOU Supplements to the Check-list provide annual updates based on the most recent scientific findings, and are published each July in the scientific journal The Auk. The Check-list of North American Birds and its supplements are produced by the AOU's North American Classification Committee (NACC), whose mission is to keep abreast of the systematics and distribution of the birds of North and Middle America in order to create a standard classification. The NACC favors using more than one area of evidence over single data sets for taxonomic changes at species and higher levels (e.g., multiple genetic loci, or genes plus other traits), and prefers to act conservatively in its treatments of taxonomy and nomenclature. Thus, without supporting data, the NACC may reject proposals that cause instability or that suggest taxonomic change without strong substantiation.

The Wyoming Game and Fish Department uses the AOU Check-list of North American Birds, along with its annual supplements, as the definitive source for avian scientific and common names, species codes, subspecies delineations, and order in which species appear on the official State list. This document recognizes the fifty-seventh supplement of the AOU checklist (AOU 2016). Currently, there are no occurrences of avian subspecies in Wyoming that would alter the Species of Greatest Conservation Need Tier ranking.

American Ornithologists' Union. 1983. Checklist of North American Birds. 7th edition. American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C.

American Ornithologists' Union. 2016. Fiftyseventh supplement to the American Ornithologists' Union check list of North American Birds. Auk 133:544-560