2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: PR309 - PUMPKIN BUTTES |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 23 |  | PREPARED BY: ERIKA |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR309 - Hunter Success \% PR309 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days Per Animal Harvested
$\square$ PR309-Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


## 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary

for Pronghorn Herd PR309-PUMPKIN BUTTES

| Year | Pre Pop | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 30,285 | 254 | 568 | 822 | 27\% | 1,313 | 43\% | 915 | 30\% | 3,050 | 2,918 | 19 | 43 | 63 | $\pm 4$ | 70 | $\pm 5$ | 43 |
| 2010 | 28,655 | 248 | 536 | 784 | 27\% | 1,294 | 44\% | 867 | 29\% | 2,945 | 2,740 | 19 | 41 | 61 | $\pm 4$ | 67 | $\pm 5$ | 42 |
| 2011 | 27,762 | 172 | 284 | 456 | 25\% | 796 | 44\% | 563 | 31\% | 1,815 | 2,713 | 22 | 36 | 57 | $\pm 5$ | 71 | $\pm 6$ | 45 |
| 2012 | 26,685 | 195 | 188 | 383 | 25\% | 672 | 44\% | 479 | 31\% | 1,534 | 2,748 | 29 | 28 | 57 | $\pm 6$ | 71 | $\pm 7$ | 45 |
| 2013 | 24,305 | 183 | 317 | 500 | 22\% | 1,129 | 49\% | 695 | 30\% | 2,324 | 2,050 | 16 | 28 | 44 | $\pm 4$ | 62 | $\pm 5$ | 43 |
| 2014 | 24,494 | 134 | 199 | 333 | 18\% | 853 | 46\% | 682 | 37\% | 1,868 | 2,097 | 16 | 23 | 39 | $\pm 4$ | 80 | $\pm 6$ | 58 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS PUMPKIN BUTTES PRONGHORN HERD (PR309)

| Hunt Area | Type | Dates <br> Open | Seasons Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 1,750 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 1,300 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| Archery |  | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 |  |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 18,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~21,900
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~21,000

## Herd Unit Issues

The postseason population objective for the Pumpkin Buttes Pronghorn Herd Unit is 18,000 pronghorn. The management strategy is recreational management. The objective and management strategy were last revised in 1989 and are scheduled for review in 2015. The largest issue with achieving adequate harvest in this herd is access, as most of the pronghorn are found on private lands.

During the early to mid-2000's, extensive coal bed methane development occurred in the herd unit and resulted in a network of roads and other development associated with the infrastructure required to support coal bed methane extraction. This development has tapered off and in some portions of this herd unit wells are being abandoned and reclaimed. Proper reclamation will be integral in keeping habitat intact. Portions of this herd unit are experiencing increased activity pertaining to conventional oil well drilling and production, with many wells transitioning from the planning to development stage. In the southern part of this herd unit there is also uranium mining that is occurring. Although this herd unit has experienced various forms of energy development, it still contains excellent pronghorn habitat.

## Weather

Weather throughout 2013 and into 2014 was optimal for rangeland conditions in this area. The growing season commenced with plentiful rainfall and ideal conditions to produce ample forage. The winter of 2013-2014 was moderate with not much for snow accumulation, or prolonged
snow cover. The winter of 2014-15 was mild with minimal snow and frequent above average temperatures. The Palmer Drought Index indicates that throughout 2014, the conditions in the Powder River drainage were "moderately moist". During the majority of these two winters, the ground was open, with minimal snowpack. As a result over winter survival was likely high.

## Habitat

The Schoonover Wyoming Big Sage habitat transect is located within this herd unit. The utilization is typically very light on this transect. In the fall of 2014 the transect survey showed the average leader growth to be 2.1 cm , slightly lower than the 2.7 cm 10 year average. It is unknown why the growth was lower than the preceding 10-year average, as conditions were favorable for optimal growth.

## Field Data

This herd has the potential for rapid growth as has been seen in years past. Historically there have been years where 80+ fawns per 100 does have been classified. High fawn to doe ratios coupled with limited access and low harvest have allowed this herd to exceed the management objective in the past. In 2014 the fawn to doe ratio was 80, up substantially from 62 in 2013. Conversely, the buck ratio was 39, which is the lowest it has been since 1980, or the first year on record, with the preceding 5 year average at 56 . As this is a predominantly private land area, landowner post-season surveys are considered. In 2014, 67\% of respondents felt that pronghorn numbers were at the desired level.

## Harvest

In 2014 there were 3,050 licenses available, 1,750 Type 1 and 1,300 Type 6. Both license types were sold out by the close of the season. Hunter success in this herd unit has averaged $94 \%$ over the preceding 5 years. 2014 had an overall success rate of $88 \%$. It is felt that this area received more pressure than is typical in 2014. A high volume of non-resident hunter phone calls were received, with numerous people stating that they didn't draw where they typically do. As there were plentiful licenses after the draw, people noticed this and likely purchased licenses without having access to private land. In years past, licenses have not always sold out, and it is probable that in 2014 there were a fair number of people that were unable to harvest an animal due to very limited public access.

## Population

The "Constant Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (CJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd (AIC value 151). The model appears to generally represent the population and trend and is considered a fair model. The 2014 postseason population estimate was 21,900 . The last line transect survey was conducted in this herd unit in June of 2013, which resulted in an estimated population of 14,300 pronghorn at that time. Line transects were also flown in 2006 and 2009, with estimates of 32,900 and 18,000, respectively. Unfortunately, there is not information present to calculate the Standard Error for the 2006 line transect. Until this information is found, this line transect estimate is of little use to this model, except to evaluate the model on the point estimates.

## Management Strategy

The traditional season in this hunt area has been the entire month of October. This season time and length seems to be adequate to allow a reasonable harvest. The number of Type 1 and Type 6 licenses were not changed. The majority (78\%) of landowners that responded to the survey indicated that they feel pronghorn are either around where they should be or are higher than they would like to see. According to both the model and field observations and data, this population peaked in 2006 at $\sim 31,000$ animals.

If we attain the projected harvest of 2,375 and near normal fawn recruitment, it is projected by the model that the population will slightly decline.
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PH309 - Pumpkin Buttes
HA 23
Revised - 3/87

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR318 - CRAZY WOMAN |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 22, 113 |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 3} \text { Average }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 4}}$ | PREPARED BY: DAN THIELE |
| Population: | 12,719 | 10,590 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 ~ P r o p o s e d ~}$ |
| Harvest: | 1,780 | 1,835 | 9,753 |
| Hunters: | 1,781 | 1,980 | 1,550 |
| Hunter Success: | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ | 1,700 |
| Active Licenses: | 2,002 | 2,195 | $91 \%$ |
| Active License Success: | $89 \%$ | $84 \%$ | 1,900 |
| Recreation Days: | 6,368 | 6,862 | $82 \%$ |
| Days Per Animal: | 3.6 | 3.7 | 5,600 |
| Males per 100 Females | 63 | 60 | 3.6 |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 77 | 98 |  |

Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) :
11000 (8800-13200)
Management Strategy:
Recreational
Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective:
Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend:
-3.7\%
2
Model Date:
2/23/2015
Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):

|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Females $\geq 1$ year old: | $20 \%$ |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | $25 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | $1 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Total: | $15 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | $-2 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

## Population Size - Postseason



Harvest


Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR318 - Hunter Success \% $\quad \square \begin{aligned} & \text { PR318 - Active License Success }\end{aligned}$


## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR318-Active Licenses


Days Per Animal Harvested


## Preseason Animals per 100 Females



2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR318-CRAZY WOMAN

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Fem } \end{gathered}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 16,288 | 355 | 1,031 | 1,386 | 30\% | 1,945 | 42\% | 1,303 | 28\% | 4,634 | 2,537 | 18 | 53 | 71 | $\pm 3$ | 67 | $\pm 3$ | 39 |
| 2010 | 16,328 | 153 | 808 | 961 | 28\% | 1,392 | 41\% | 1,054 | 31\% | 3,407 | 2,727 | 11 | 58 | 69 | $\pm 4$ | 76 | $\pm 5$ | 45 |
| 2011 | 15,256 | 100 | 395 | 495 | 21\% | 936 | 40\% | 888 | 38\% | 2,319 | 3,889 | 11 | 42 | 53 | $\pm 4$ | 95 | $\pm 7$ | 62 |
| 2012 | 13,875 | 172 | 371 | 543 | 25\% | 911 | 41\% | 743 | 34\% | 2,197 | 3,069 | 19 | 41 | 60 | $\pm 5$ | 82 | $\pm 6$ | 51 |
| 2013 | 11,638 | 64 | 344 | 408 | 22\% | 818 | 44\% | 635 | 34\% | 1,861 | 2,745 | 8 | 42 | 50 | $\pm 5$ | 78 | $\pm 6$ | 52 |
| 2014 | 12,608 | 124 | 321 | 445 | 23\% | 743 | 39\% | 727 | 38\% | 1,915 | 3,790 | 17 | 43 | 60 | $\pm 5$ | 98 | $\pm 8$ | 61 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS CRAZY WOMAN PRONGHORN HERD (PR318)

| Hunt Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 1,000 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 | 800 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn valid on private |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | land in that portion of Area 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | north of Crazy Woman Creek |
|  |  | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 |  |  | Unused Area 22 Type 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | licenses valid in the entire area |
| 113 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 2 | Óct. 11 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 200 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Sep. 30 |  |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 |  | No change |
|  |  |  |
| 113 | 1 | -50 |
|  | 2 | -50 |
|  | 6 | -150 |
| Herd Unit Total | $\mathbf{1 \& 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 11,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~10,600
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~9,750

## Herd Unit Issues

The Crazy Woman Pronghorn Herd Unit post-season population objective was reviewed in 2013 and revised to 11,000 pronghorn. The management strategy remains recreational management.

Area 22 is largely private land with limited public land hunting opportunities. Therefore, access to hunt is largely determined by landowners. Increased outfitter leasing of ranches typically results in more restrictive access. Area 113 contains a large amount of inaccessible public land. A cooperative agreement between private landowners, the BLM and the WGFD ended in 2008 when one of the remaining two landowners withdrew from the program. In 2012, the Mieke Ranch sold most of its property which has significantly reduced hunter access. Even with the expansive outfitting industry, at the herd unit level increasing numbers of hunters are finding
hunting opportunity. This may be due in part to GPS technology that allows hunters to readily identify public and private land boundaries.

## Weather

Weather in the area of the Crazy Woman Herd Unit during 2014 was favorable after 2013 was very dry though the most of the year. Fall moisture in 2013 provided pronghorn a nutritional boost followed by a relatively mild winter. Precipitation in 2014 was above normal with abundant precipitation in June and August. The Palmer Drought Index for Climate Division 5 (Powder, Little Missouri and Tongue drainages) showed "moderately moist" conditions for January 2014 and progressed to "very moist" in August and September. August precipitation was $250 \%$ of normal. Winter weather conditions were relatively mild with interspersed periods of very warm temperatures. Precipitation for March and April 2015 was $64 \%$ of normal resulting in a "mid range" Palmer Drought Index rating.

## Habitat

There is one Wyoming big sagebrush transect in this herd unit. Production measured in October 2014 averaged 22 mm per leader compared to 8 mm per leader in 2013 and a five year average of 4.7 mm per leader. Winter utilization during the 2014-15 winter was light (less than $5 \%$ of leaders browsed) as pronghorn and mule deer were dispersed over winter/yearlong range. Winter conditions were normal so above average mortality was not observed. Complete shrub monitoring results are available in the appendix, Shrub Monitoring Report for the Sheridan Region.

## Field Data

Classifications in 2014 yielded a fawn ratio of $98: 100$ and a buck ratio of 60:100. Fawn production and survival was excellent due to the abundant 2013 fall moisture, mild winter weather and excellent spring 2014 moisture. The fawn ratio set a six year high and compares to the five year average of 77:100. It was the highest fawn ratio since 1989. Buck ratios in this herd often exceed the 60:100 threshold designated for special management although high buck ratios are not managed for. Buck ratios equaled or exceeded 60:100 in four of the past six years, including 2014. Buck ratios at the hunt area scale varied considerably with Area 22 at 75:100 and Area 113 at 33:100.

The annual postseason landowner survey was conducted following the hunting season with responses showing that $74 \%$ of landowners at the herd unit scale are satisfied with current pronghorn numbers. The five year trend shows a strong indication that this population is decreasing, reflecting the trend of the population model. A line transect survey flown in 2010 produced an end of year population estimate of 13,163 pronghorn, the highest estimate to date. Hunter satisfaction was high with Areas 22 and 113 hunters reporting $82 \%$ and $67 \%$ positive responses, respectively.

## Harvest Data

The 2014 harvest survey reported the second highest total harvest for the six year period and third highest since 1985. Buck harvest decreased for the second year in a row while doe/fawn harvest increased to the second highest harvest of the six year period. Hunter numbers remained very high as all license types sold out for the first time in recent history. Interest in hunting northeast Wyoming hunt areas has increased as license quotas have become more conservative in
other areas of the state. Hunter success and active license success improved over 2013 but were well below the 2009 to 2012 success rates. Hunter effort improved, decreasing to 3.7 day per harvest compared to 4.1 days per harvest in 2013. Multiple hunter comments were received from Area 113 complaining about the lack of access to the large parcels of public land and low pronghorn numbers. This reflects decreasing hunter success for all license types, especially the Type 2 hunter success of $74 \%$ as well as the lower hunter satisfaction.

## Population

This population is estimated at 10,600 pronghorn, $4 \%$ below the new objective of 11,000 pronghorn. This population objective corresponds well with the $72 \%$ of responding landowners who are satisfied with the current population. The population estimate was generated with the newly adopted EXCEL spreadsheet model. The Semi-Constant Juvenile/Semi-Constant Adult (SCJ/SCA) model was chosen as it produced the lowest AIC value (59) and results are consistent with harvest and landowner survey trends. The model attempts to track three line transect surveys over the last 10 years. The 2010 line transect estimate is the highest to date but the model does not track though the confidence interval. The model indicates this population has decreased about $38 \%$ from its 2005 high of just over 17,000 pronghorn and about $27 \%$ since 2009. Widely fluctuating buck ratios due to inadequate classification samples and conversion from aerial to ground surveys likely complicate modeling efforts. The model is considered a fair model due to inadequate classification samples and lack of independent survival estimates.

## Management Summary

The population model is considered a fair model as the population trend and estimate appear reasonable. Harvest data, landowner surveys and WGFD field observations confirm the trend represented in the model. A decrease of 100 Area 22 Type 6 licenses occurred in 2014. Reductions are proposed for Area 113 due to the low buck ratio (33:100), low hunter success (Type 1 and $2=77 \%$ and Type $6=79 \%$ ) and negative hunter comments regarding lack of access to public land. The proposal will reduce the number of leftover licenses which are contributing to the hunter access problem. A reduction in the Area 22 quotas was considered but the very high 2014 fawn ratio should maintain a stable segment of the population in Area 22. More conservative seasons will be warranted if the population continues to decrease. If projected harvest is achieved a postseason population of 9,750 pronghorn is projected.


|  | MODELS SUMMARY | Fit | Relative AICc |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CJ,CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival | 89 | 98 |
| SCJ,SCA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival | 50 | 59 |
| TSJ,CA | Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival | 53 |  |






 $\square \rightarrow$ Total Males - - Females




2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR321-LEITER |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 10, 15-16 | 2009-2013 Average | PREPARED BY: TIM THOMAS |  |
|  |  | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Hunter Satisfaction Percent | 87\% | 87\% | 87\% |
| Landowner Satisfaction Percent | 57\% | 68\% | 70\% |
| Harvest: | 1,216 | 1,516 | 1,700 |
| Hunters: | 1,300 | 1,608 | 1,800 |
| Hunter Success: | 94\% | 94\% | 94\% |
| Active Licenses: | 1,499 | 1,815 | 2,100 |
| Active License Success: | 81\% | 84\% | 81\% |
| Recreation Days: | 4,601 | 5,025 | 5,500 |
| Days Per Animal: | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Males per 100 Females: | 55 | 65 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 63 | 80 |  |
| Satisfaction Based Objective |  |  | 60\% |
| Management Strategy: |  |  | Private Land |
| Percent population is above (+) or (-) objective: |  |  | 18\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend: |  |  | 1 |



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR321 - Hunter Success \% PR321 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days Per Animal Harvested
$\square$ PR321-Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR321-LEITER

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 5,362 | 83 | 522 | 605 | 27\% | 1,102 | 49\% | 550 | 24\% | 2,257 | 3,145 | 8 | 47 | 55 | $\pm 12$ | 50 | $\pm 11$ | 32 |
| 2010 | 5,003 | 211 | 437 | 648 | 27\% | 1,128 | 47\% | 617 | 26\% | 2,393 | 3,211 | 19 | 39 | 57 | $\pm 12$ | 55 | $\pm 12$ | 35 |
| 2011 | 4,818 | 69 | 200 | 269 | 21\% | 567 | 45\% | 430 | 34\% | 1,266 | 4,180 | 12 | 35 | 47 | $\pm 16$ | 76 | $\pm 22$ | 51 |
| 2012 | 4,770 | 148 | 245 | 393 | 24\% | 697 | 43\% | 536 | 33\% | 1,626 | 4,367 | 21 | 35 | 56 | $\pm 15$ | 77 | $\pm 19$ | 49 |
| 2013 | 6,789 | 130 | 263 | 393 | 24\% | 694 | 43\% | 522 | 32\% | 1,609 | 4,498 | 19 | 38 | 57 | $\pm 16$ | 75 | $\pm 19$ | 48 |
| 2014 | 6,677 | 165 | 255 | 420 | 26\% | 650 | 41\% | 520 | 33\% | 1,590 | 3,783 | 25 | 39 | 65 | $\pm 17$ | 80 | $\pm 21$ | 49 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS

LEITER PRONGHORN HERD (PR321)

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons <br> Opens | Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 14 | 250 | Limited quota | Any antelope <br>  <br>  <br> 15 |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 300 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
|  | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 14 | 600 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 600 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| 16 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 14 | 600 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 400 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Sep. 30 |  |  | Refer to Section 3 of <br> this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1 | +50 |
| 15 | 1 | +100 |
|  | 6 | +200 |
| 16 | 1 | +100 |
|  | 6 | +100 |
| Herd Unit Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | +250 |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Hunter / Landowner Management Objective: 60\% Satisfaction
Secondary Management Objective: Observed ratio of 30 bucks:100 does minimum
Management Strategy: Private Land
2014 Hunter Satisfaction Estimate: 87\%
2014 Landowner Satisfaction Estimate: 68\%
Most Recent 3-year Running Average Hunters Satisfaction Estimate: 86\%
Most Recent 3-year Running Average Landowner Satisfaction Estimate: n/a

## Herd Unit Issues

The management objective for the Leiter Pronghorn Herd Unit is Hunter and Landowner Satisfaction Objective at $60 \%$ or higher, with a secondary objective of 30 or more bucks observed per 100 does. The management strategy is Private Land Management. The Leiter Pronghorn Herd Unit was created in 2014 when the Clearmont (PR308) and Ucross (PR353) Pronghorn Herd Units were combined. The objective and management strategy were last revised in 2014.

Industrial scale oil and gas development and outfitting in the herd unit have resulted in restricted hunting access to some private lands. There are very few public land hunting opportunities in this herd unit. The restricted access has made it difficult to attain adequate harvest to regulate pronghorn populations in portions of this herd.

Due to very limited access for pronghorn hunting, we try to balance license allocation between meeting desires of landowners and hunter demand, and having too many leftovers licenses, which may give potential hunters the impression there are lots of hunting opportunities in this herd unit.

## Weather

The spring and summer of 2014 was generally warm and wet, resulting in good conditions for forage production in the northwest portion of the region. Conditions generally became warmer and drier as you went south and east, which is consistent with normal weather patterns, but were still favorable during most of the summer. The 2014-15 winter was highly variable, with relatively open conditions into early November, cold and snowy conditions from early November through January, then periods of warm weather alternating with colder temperatures and snow. Several thaw/freeze cycles during parts of the winter resulted in hard, crusted snow that was difficult for animals to paw through to access forage. Overall, adults entered the winter in good condition and likely survived the winter well. Fawns likely saw about average overwinter survival.

## Habitat

There are three habitat transects located in this herd unit. All of the habitat transects monitor annual growth and utilization of Wyoming big sagebrush communities.

The SR - Buffalo Creek Divide habitat transect is located in the north-central portion of this herd unit on State Trust Lands accessed by the SR-Buffalo Creek Road (Sheridan County Road 86). This transect was read October 22, 2014 to measure production based on leader growth, as well as relative hedging and age class. Standard protocol was followed to read this transect. The average leader growth was 28.2 mm (range $=0-90 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The majority of sagebrush plants measured exhibited light ( $n=22 ; 44 \%$ ) or moderate hedging ( $n=21 ; 42 \%$ ), and most were mature plants ( $n=46$; 92\%).

The Coal Creek habitat transect is located in the central portion of this herd unit, just north of U.S. Highway 14 near Ucross. It is located on State Trust Land accessed by the Coal Creek Road (Sheridan County Road 195). This transect was read October 22, 2014 to measure production based on leader growth, as well as relative hedging and age class. Standard protocol was followed to read this transect. The average leader growth was 35.8 mm (range $=0-$ 135 mm ). The majority of sagebrush plants measured exhibited moderate hedging ( $\mathrm{n}=25$; 50\%) and were mature plants ( $n=34 ; 68 \%$ ).

Petrified Tree habitat transect is located in the south-central portion of this herd unit on BLM land. This transect is accessed off of the Tipperary Road east of Buffalo. This transect has not been read for several years.

## Field Data

In August, we conducted herd classification surveys using ground survey techniques. Designated routes were driven along county roads and all observed pronghorn were classified. Starting in 2011, we moved away from aerial classification surveys to ground classification surveys to reduce risk for employees and reduce costs associated with aircraft rentals. In 2014, we classified 1,590 pronghorn, well below the desired sample size of 3,783 pronghorn at the $90 \%$ confidence level.

Fawn production, as measured by observed fawn:doe ratios, has equalled or exceeded 75 fawns per 100 does during the past four years, suggesting this herd has the potential to increase quickly under favorable conditions. This year, we observed 80 fawns:100 does, higher than the longterm ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ years) average of 70 fawns:100 does.

Observed buck to doe ratios averaged 65 bucks:100 does, well above the desired number of bucks for recreational management (i.e. 30 bucks: 100 does minimum). The buck to doe ratio has averaged 55 bucks: 100 does over the long-term ( $\mathrm{n}=33$ years). Restricted access to private lands, and very limited accessible public lands, reduces our ability to obtain additional buck harvest, which could easily be sustained in this herd unit based on the observed buck to doe ratio.

Hunter satisfaction has remained high, with $87 \%$ of surveyed hunters ( $\mathrm{n}=272$ ) satisfied (42\%) or very satisfied (45\%), suggesting those hunters who do obtain access to private lands experience a quality hunt. Nonresident hunters have a slightly higher satisfaction level (88\%) than resident hunters (84\%). Satisfaction was similar between hunt areas, with Area 10 the lowest (85\%) and Area 15 the highest (88\%).

The high hunter satisfaction level partially reflects Department personnel efforts to advise perspective hunters of the limited access opportunities and the need to make arrangements for access prior to purchasing a license. There is some very limited public land and PLPW Walk-In Area and Hunter Management Area access in this herd unit, which may give some hunters higher than deserved hope of a quality pronghorn hunt.

## Harvest Data

In 2014, we sold all allocated licenses in this herd unit except for 131 Area 10 Type 6 licenses. We reduced license quotas in 2014 to better match demand. We also saw a significant increase in demand for antelope licenses in 2014, especially for leftover licenses. We sold 558 (47\%) Type 1 licenses through the draw process and 642 (53\%) as leftover licenses. We sold 70 (8\%) Type 6 licenses through the draw process and 794 (92\%) as leftover licenses. Nonresident hunters continue to dominate the hunting ranks in this herd unit. In 2014, nonresidents purchased $68 \%$ of the licenses sold ( $60 \%$ of Type 1 licenses; 80\% of Type 6 licenses). Hunt Area 10 was the only area with more resident hunters.

In 2014, an estimated 1,608 hunters harvested an estimated 1,516 pronghorn, the highest harvest in 30 years, and a $14 \%$ increase over the 2013 harvest. Hunters average about $96 \%$ success over the past 10 years, compared to $94 \%$ success in 2014. Success by individual license was $84 \%$. Hunter effort, as measured by the number of days hunted per animal harvested, was 3.3 days/animal, compared to 3.6 days/animal over the past 10 years. Access has varied over the past 10 years, with changes in ownership of several large ranches influencing hunter access.

## Population

The 2014 postseason population estimate was $\sim 16,100$ pronghorn, with the population trending upward. This population likely bottomed out in the late 1990s, and again around 2010-2011. The population appears to have been increasing since then. A line transect survey was conducted during June 2013, which resulted in an end-of-biological-year population estimated of 13,256 pronghorn.

The "Time-Specific Juvenile - Constant Adult Survival Rate" (TSJ,CA) spreadsheet model was chosen to estimate the post-season population for this herd. This model had the highest relative Akaike information criterion (AIC) value (133) but the best fit (31) of the three possible models. The population dynamics of this model appear reasonable and consistent with the dynamics observed in the field. The model aligns very well with all but one line transect estimate. While we have limited population dynamic data available for this herd, the model does align well with the line transect estimates, so we consider this a "good" model.

Landowners, hunters and Department field personnel have noted an increase in this population over the past several years. Of landowners ( $\mathrm{n}=43$ ) who responded to an annual survey, $67 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=28$ ) indicated the population was at or near desired levels and most (58\%, $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) suggested similar season strategies for 2015. No landowners thought they had fewer than desired numbers of pronghorn.

## Management Summary

The regular hunting season has traditionally ran two weeks (October 1 -14) for Type 1 licenses, and four weeks (October 1 - 31) for Type 6 licenses since the 2003 season. An archery preseason generally runs August 15 - September 30. In 2009, the Type 6 season was extended to the end of November in Area 10 to address some damage concerns of private landowners. These concerns have abated and the closing date was moved back to October 31 for the 2014 season.

Hunters in this herd unit are able to purchase two Type 1 (any antelope) licenses and four Type 6 (doe or fawn antelope) licenses, which allows hunters the opportunity to harvest multiple animals. There is limited pronghorn hunting on scattered State Trust and BLM land, as well as one Walk-In Area and one Hunter Management Area. We observe high buck numbers, as measured by buck:doe ratios, averaging 60 bucks: 100 does over the past 10 years This is likely a function of limited access to private lands where the majority of pronghorn occur.

Since we had not sold all of the available licenses since 2006, we reduced the license allocation for the 2014 season to better reflect demand and available opportunity. This reduction was intended to reduce the perception that there was lots of opportunity because of hundreds of leftover licenses. We saw a significant increase in demand for pronghorn licenses in 2014, selling all but 131 Type 6 licenses. The increase in demand for licenses was likely due to reduced licenses across most of Wyoming resulting in a shift in hunters, and increased hunter numbers due to improved economic conditions. We increased licenses in all hunt areas for 2015.

We project a harvest of approximately 1,700 pronghorn in 2015, resulting in an estimated postseason population of about 16,300 pronghorn. These predictions assume near normal fawn production and survival, as well as similar license sales and success rates for the 2015 hunting season.


|  | MODELS SUMMARY | Fit | Relative AICc |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CJ,CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival | 87 |  |
| SCJ,SCA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival | 95 |  |
| TSJ,CA | Time-Specificic Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival | 87 |  |


| Year |  |  |  |  |  | ulation Esti | es from | del |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predicted Prehunt Population (year i) |  |  | Total | Predicted Posthunt Population (year i) |  |  | Total |
|  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  |
| 1993 | 2096 | 2345 | 4567 | 9009 | 2023 | 1535 | 3954 | 7511 |
| 1994 | 2314 | 2119 | 4233 | 8667 | 2263 | 1353 | 3601 | 7217 |
| 1995 | 2395 | 1720 | 3678 | 7793 | 2282 | 1102 | 3182 | 6567 |
| 1996 | 1957 | 1386 | 3197 | 6540 | 1946 | 724 | 3071 | 5741 |
| 1997 | 1495 | 1409 | 3508 | 6411 | 1490 | 886 | 3462 | 5838 |
| 1998 | 2594 | 1363 | 3650 | 7607 | 2594 | 887 | 3613 | 7094 |
| 1999 | 2955 | 1867 | 4279 | 9100 | 2952 | 1485 | 4242 | 8679 |
| 2000 | 3335 | 2026 | 4452 | 9813 | 3335 | 1648 | 4412 | 9395 |
| 2001 | 2391 | 1870 | 4301 | 8562 | 2382 | 1519 | 4270 | 8172 |
| 2002 | 3421 | 2334 | 4751 | 10506 | 3421 | 1918 | 4724 | 10062 |
| 2003 | 3833 | 3137 | 5611 | 12581 | 3830 | 2650 | 5523 | 12002 |
| 2004 | 4347 | 3519 | 6052 | 13917 | 4336 | 2956 | 5978 | 13270 |
| 2005 | 5501 | 4424 | 7098 | 17022 | 5456 | 3756 | 6830 | 16042 |
| 2006 | 6385 | 5601 | 8309 | 20295 | 6306 | 4751 | 7749 | 18806 |
| 2007 | 5070 | 5498 | 8124 | 18692 | 5014 | 4542 | 7618 | 17174 |
| 2008 | 4471 | 4520 | 7235 | 16226 | 4447 | 3510 | 6759 | 14715 |
| 2009 | 3206 | 3548 | 6424 | 13178 | 3172 | 2786 | 5936 | 11894 |
| 2010 | 3442 | 3537 | 6293 | 13272 | 3409 | 2675 | 5834 | 11919 |
| 2011 | 4148 | 2690 | 5470 | 12308 | 4109 | 1927 | 4980 | 11016 |
| 2012 | 4675 | 3414 | 6080 | 14169 | 4657 | 2640 | 5574 | 12871 |
| 2013 | 4929 | 3913 | 6553 | 15396 | 4881 | 3036 | 6015 | 13932 |
| 2014 | 5825 | 4597 | 7281 | 17702 | 5745 | 3599 | 6690 | 16034 |
| 2015 | 5688 | 4939 | 7584 | 18211 | 5578 | 3894 | 6869 | 16341 |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






| SPECIES: Pronghorn <br> HERD: PR339 - NORTH BLACK HILLS |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 1-3, 18-19 |  | PREPARED BY: ERIKA PECKHAM |
| 2009-2013 Average | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 13,780 | 13,253 | 13,337 |
| Harvest: 1,039 | 741 | 1,190 |
| Hunters: 1,178 | 804 | 1,300 |
| Hunter Success: 88\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| Active Licenses: 1,348 | 899 | 1,400 |
| Active License Success: 77\% | 82\% | 85\% |
| Recreation Days: 4,711 | 2,536 | 4,300 |
| Days Per Animal: 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| Males per 100 Females 42 | 39 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 64 | 81 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 14000 (11200-16800) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -5.3\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rece | nd: | 4 |
| Model Date: |  | 05/4/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 1.6\% | 6.2\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 23.8\% | 31.2\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 0\% | 0\% |
| Total: | 4.8\% | 8.9\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | -1.3\% | .6\% |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR339 - Hunter Success \% PR339 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



## Preseason Animals per 100 Females



2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR339-NORTH BLACK HILLS

| Year | Pre Pop | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| 2009 | 22,296 | 160 | 423 | 583 | 25\% | 1,137 | 48\% | 649 | 27\% | 2,369 | 2,732 | 14 | 37 | 51 | $\pm 4$ | 57 | $\pm 4$ | 38 |
| 2010 | 15,701 | 103 | 320 | 423 | 23\% | 874 | 48\% | 511 | 28\% | 1,808 | 1,761 | 12 | 37 | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 58 | $\pm 5$ | 39 |
| 2011 | 11,105 | 51 | 137 | 188 | 17\% | 595 | 52\% | 353 | 31\% | 1,136 | 1,662 | 9 | 23 | 32 | $\pm 4$ | 59 | $\pm 6$ | 45 |
| 2012 | 12,568 | 31 | 148 | 179 | 16\% | 513 | 46\% | 419 | 38\% | 1,111 | 2,330 | 6 | 29 | 35 | $\pm 5$ | 82 | $\pm 8$ | 61 |
| 2013 | 12,976 | 75 | 229 | 304 | 17\% | 841 | 48\% | 621 | 35\% | 1,766 | 1,878 | 9 | 27 | 36 | $\pm 4$ | 74 | $\pm 6$ | 54 |
| 2014 | 14,060 | 125 | 258 | 383 | 18\% | 993 | 45\% | 808 | 37\% | 2,184 | 2,247 | 13 | 26 | 39 | $\pm 4$ | 81 | $\pm 6$ | 59 |


| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Opens | Seasons Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 20 | 250 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 20 | 100 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| 2 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 20 | 200 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 20 | 200 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| 3 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 20 | 150 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 20 | 75 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| 18 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 20 | 100 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
| 19 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 20 | 300 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
| 19 | 6 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 20 | 150 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn valid on private land |
| Archery |  | Sep. 1 |  | Sep. 30 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | +50 |
| 2 | 1 | +100 |
| 2 | 6 | +150 |
| 3 | 1 | +50 |
| 3 | 6 | +50 |
| 19 | 1 | +50 |
| 19 | 6 | +50 |
| Herd Unit Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $+\mathbf{2 5 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 5 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 14,000
Management Strategy: Recreational

## 2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~13,200

 2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~13,300
## Herd Unit Issues

The management objective for the North Black Hills Herd Unit is a post-season population objective of 14,000 pronghorn. The management strategy is recreational management. The objective and management strategy were last revised in 1994.

The 2014 post-season population estimate was about 13,200. Beginning in 2007 this population started a decline. Currently, the population is estimated to be slightly below the management objective. Issues related to adverse winter and spring weather, and low fawn production have been observed in this herd, particularly from 2009-2011. The winters of 2008 to 2010 appeared to have taken a toll on this herd in the form of increased winter mortality and decreased fawn recruitment. Heavy spring snows and cold spring temperatures in 2009 \& 2010 likely reduced fawn and adult survival, particularly in Areas 18 and 19. Pronghorn numbers in Area 18 still appear to be suppressed, with other hunt areas experiencing a slight rebound in numbers. The last line transect survey was conducted in this herd unit was in June of 2014.

## Weather

Weather conditions throughout 2014 and into 2015 were very favorable to big game populations in this area. The winters of 2013-2014 and 2014-15 were mild to moderate and did not see much snow accumulation. During the majority of these 2 winters, the ground was open in many areas, with minimal snowpack. As a result over winter survival was likely high. The spring and summer of 2014 saw excellent range conditions in this herd unit with continued rainfall throughout much of the summer.

## Habitat

The Stewart Creek Wyoming big sagebrush transect falls within this herd unit. The utilization is typically very light on this transect. In the fall of 2014, the transect survey showed the average leader growth at 4.1 cm , which is fairly close to the 10 year average of 4.5 cm .

## Field Data

Classifications in 2014 showed an increase in the fawn ratio at 81:100, up from 74 in 2013. This is markedly improved from the preceding 5 year average of 62:100. It is important to note that 2008-2011 experienced four consecutive years of the poorest fawn ratios on record, or since 1981. Three of these years had fawn ratios that were in the fifties. Another significant finding of the classification surveys was that Hunt Area 18 seemed to suffer more so, with 2008-2010 experiencing fawn ratios of 35 , 32 and $28: 100$, respectively. This is likely why Hunt Area 18 has not recovered as quickly as the surrounding Hunt Areas. The aforementioned weather conditions had a large impact on these ratios, and consequently the productivity of this herd in that time span. Bucks ratios since 2011 have been in the thirties. Previous to that the buck ratios fluctuated from the 40-60:100 mark, never dipping below 40:100. As there is a fair amount of private land in this herd unit landowner surveys are considered. The 2014 survey was fairly
split, indicating that $45 \%$ of respondents felt that the herd was below objective and $40 \%$ felt that it was at objective.

## Harvest

In 2014 there were 1,025 licenses available, 750 Type 1 and 275 Type 6. All licenses were sold by the season's close. Days per harvested animal decreased to 3.4 , lower than the preceding 5 year average of 4.5. This decrease was likely due to favorable conditions during the hunting season, coupled with the population increasing. Even with the population slightly below objective, hunter success was reported at $92 \%$, and $81 \%$ of hunters were either "very satisfied" or "satisfied".

## Population

The "Semi-Constant Juvenile - Semi-Constant Adult" (SCJ-SCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd. It should be noted that this is different than the model that was chosen in the past. This change in the model from the "TSJ-CA" has slightly increased the population estimate as compared to last year. This model aligns much better with the independent Line Transect estimates. Additionally, juvenile and adult survivals were changed in 2009 and 2010 to .3 and .7 respectively. As stated earlier, field data and observations show that this is a reasonable assumption. This model had the lowest relative AIC (161) and appeared to most accurately represent what was occurring on the ground (Fair Model). We conducted line transect surveys in 1995, 1997, 1999, 2002, 2004, 2008, 2012 and 2014 which provided independent population estimates that were similar to the model estimates. The model currently predicts a slight increase in post-season population. With continued favorable weather conditions and improving fawn to doe ratios, it seems that this herd should continue in an upward trend.

## Management Strategy

The traditional season in this hunt area has been the entire month of October and part of November in Hunt Areas 1, 2 and 3, and from October 1 to October 20 in Areas 18 and 19. The season time and length seem to be adequate to allow a reasonable harvest. The numbers of Type 1 and Type 6 licenses were both increased by 250 . Licenses have been greatly reduced the past few years, however as this herd is trending upwards, it was felt that numbers warranted higher license issuance in most hunt areas. The one exception to this is Hunt Area 18, which still appears to be struggling. If we attain the projected harvest of 1,190 and near normal fawn recruitment, the population will increase slightly. Based on the population model, we predict a 2015 post-season population of about 13,300.





## 2014 PR339 - NORTH BLACK HILLS Pronghorn Line-Transect Summary




2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: PR351 - GILLETTE |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 17 |  | PREPARED BY: ERIKA |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR351 - Hunter Success \% PR351 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



PR351 - Active Licenses

Days Per Animal Harvested
$\square$ PR351 - Days

Preseason Animals per 100 Females

for Pronghorn Herd PR351-GILLETTE

| Year | Pre Pop | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $100$ <br> Adult |
| 2009 | 13,076 | 144 | 486 | 630 | 26\% | 1,250 | 52\% | 527 | 22\% | 2,407 | 1,385 | 12 | 39 | 50 | $\pm 4$ | 42 | $\pm 3$ | 28 |
| 2010 | 11,550 | 112 | 437 | 549 | 26\% | 1,126 | 54\% | 429 | 20\% | 2,104 | 1,920 | 10 | 39 | 49 | $\pm 4$ | 38 | $\pm 3$ | 26 |
| 2011 | 11,095 | 75 | 301 | 376 | 18\% | 1,111 | 52\% | 640 | 30\% | 2,127 | 1,639 | 7 | 27 | 34 | $\pm 3$ | 58 | $\pm 4$ | 43 |
| 2012 | 11,428 | 78 | 214 | 292 | 18\% | 779 | 48\% | 545 | 34\% | 1,616 | 1,970 | 10 | 27 | 37 | $\pm 4$ | 70 | $\pm 6$ | 51 |
| 2013 | 11,692 | 175 | 235 | 410 | 21\% | 950 | 49\% | 574 | 30\% | 1,934 | 1,758 | 18 | 25 | 43 | $\pm 4$ | 60 | $\pm 5$ | 42 |
| 2014 | 11,615 | 245 | 299 | 544 | 25\% | 983 | 45\% | 661 | 30\% | 2,188 | 1,811 | 25 | 30 | 55 | $\pm 4$ | 67 | $\pm 5$ | 43 |


| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Opens | Seasons Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 1,100 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 400 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| Archery |  | Sep. 1 |  | Sep. 30 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 11,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~10,400
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~10,950

## Herd Unit Issues

The postseason population objective for the Gillette Pronghorn Herd Unit is 11,000 pronghorn. The management strategy is recreational management. The objective and management strategy were last revised in 1994 and are scheduled for review in 2015. In years when numbers are above objective, the largest issue with achieving adequate harvest in this herd is access. There is very minimal publicly accessible land in this herd unit.

Extensive coal bed methane development has occurred in the herd unit and has resulted in a network of roads and other development associated with the infrastructure required to support coal bed methane extraction. The increased traffic was an issue with hunting in the past, however in recent years, development and activity has tapered off substantially. The more pressing issue in this herd unit will be proper reclamation as these wells are abandoned. Although other surrounding herd units have experienced an increase in conventional oil drilling, this herd unit has remained on the periphery of most of that development.

## Weather

Weather throughout 2013 and into 2014 was optimal for rangeland conditions in this area. There were a few isolated hailstorms that afflicted this unit; however nothing that was very widespread. The growing season commenced with plentiful rainfall and ideal conditions to produce ample forage. The winter of 2013-2014 was moderate with not much for snow accumulation, or prolonged snow cover. The winter of 2014-15 was mild with minimal snow and frequent above average temperatures. The Palmer Drought Index indicates that throughout 2014, the conditions
in the Powder River drainage were "moderately moist". During the majority of these two winters, the ground was open, with minimal snowpack. As a result over winter survival was likely high.

## Habitat

The SA Creek habitat transect is located within this herd unit. The utilization is typically very light on this transect. In the fall of 2014, the transect survey showed the average leader growth to be 6.4 cm , which is lower than anticipated, given the favorable conditions that were experienced in the 2014 growing season. The 10 year average leader growth for this transect is $\sim 6 \mathrm{~cm}$, so it is slightly above the average. It should be noted that various stands of sagebrush in this area appeared to be stressed with overall low vigor. It is unknown what may be the cause of this, but has been noted throughout the general area.

## Field Data

This herd has the potential for rapid growth as has been seen in years past. High fawn to doe ratios coupled with limited access have allowed this herd to exceed management objective in the past. However, the last several years (2010-2014), this herd has been below objective, with licenses having been reduced accordingly. In 2014 the fawn to doe ratio was slightly improved at 67 , which was up from a ratio of 60 in 2013. It should again be noted that the growing season of 2014 was very productive. In certain areas the sweet clover and other vegetation was very tall. Though best efforts are put forth to accurately classify the pronghorn, it is possible that fawns were not visible in areas of tall vegetation. As this is a predominantly private lands area, landowner surveys are considered. The 2014 survey indicates that $64 \%$ of respondents feel that the herd was where they would like to see it.

## Harvest Data

In 2014 there were 1,500 licenses available, 1,100 Type 1 and 400 Type 6. Both license types were sold out by the close of the season. Hunter success in this herd unit has averaged $89 \%$ over the preceding 5 years, with similar success in previous years as well. 2014 had an overall success rate of $88 \%$ and hunters averaged 3.9 days to harvest an animal, up slightly from the preceding years. It is felt that this area received more pressure than is typical in 2014. A high volume of non-resident hunter phone calls were received, with numerous people stating that they didn't draw where they typically do. As there are plentiful licenses after the draw, people notice this and likely purchase licenses without having access to private land.

## Population

The "Constant Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (CJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd. Although this model did not have the lowest relative AIC (188), they were all fairly close and this one appeared to most accurately represent what was occurring on the ground, and made best use of the available information. We conducted line transect surveys in 1995, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2008 and 2013 which provided independent population estimates that were similar to the model estimates. With the exception of the 2002 line transect population estimate, the model projections were in line with the line transect surveys. The 2002 line transect was an outlier and appeared to vastly overestimate the
population. Due to this discrepancy, it was felt that the 2002 line transect estimate be removed from the model. This removal appeared to improve the model (Fair Model).

The 2014 post-season population estimate was about 11,000, which illustrates a slight increase from the 2013 post-season estimate. In 2007 the population started a decline, hitting a low in 2011 at an estimate of 8,500 individuals. This herd experienced extremely poor fawn ratios from 2008-2010, ranging from $38-43$ fawns per 100 does. Since 2007, the fawn:doe ratio has yet to reach 70:100, with the preceding 5 year average coming in at 59.2014 saw a slight increase with a fawn:doe ratio of 67 .

The last line transect survey was conducted in this herd unit in June 2013, which resulted in an estimated population of 8,300 pronghorn at that time.

## Management Strategy

Having adequate licenses available is imperative to keep harvest up on this herd when numbers warrant. In 2014 there were 1,500 licenses available, 1,100 Type 1 and 400 Type 6. Both Type 1 and Type 6 licenses were sold out before the close of the season. In speaking with hunters, it seemed that many people who had historically drawn licenses in other hunt areas did not draw them this year. It is thought that this may have been a factor in increased license sales for this hunt area.

The traditional season in this hunt area has been the entire month of October. This season time and length seems to be adequate to allow a reasonable harvest. The number of licenses available for 2015 was unchanged. All respondents on the landowner survey within this herd unit felt that a similar or more liberal season as last year would be in line with their observations of antelope.

If we attain the projected harvest of 1,070 and slightly improved fawn recruitment the population is anticipated to grow slightly and is projected to be close to objective. Based on the population model, we predict a 2015 post-season population of about 11,000.
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| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR352 - MIDDLE FORK |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 21 |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 3} \text { Average }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{~ P R E P A R E D ~ B Y : ~ D A N ~ T H I E L E ~}$ |
| Population: | 5,518 | 6,656 |  |
| Harvest: | 849 | 776 | 6,783 |
| Hunters: | 997 | 910 | 525 |
| Hunter Success: | $85 \%$ | $85 \%$ | 600 |
| Active Licenses: | 1,081 | 1,017 | $88 \%$ |
| Active License Success: | $79 \%$ | $76 \%$ | 650 |
| Recreation Days: | 3,758 | 5,061 | $81 \%$ |
| Days Per Animal: | 4.4 | 6.5 | 3,000 |
| Males per 100 Females | 61 | 46 | 5.7 |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 80 | 97 |  |


|  | Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) |  | 6000 (4800-7200) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
|  | Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | 11\% |
|  | Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend: |  | 2 |
|  | Model Date: |  | 2/23/2015 |
|  | Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  |  | JCR Year | Proposed |
|  |  | Females $\geq 1$ year old: $10 \%$ | 6\% |
|  |  | Males $\geq 1$ year old: $29 \%$ | 19\% |
|  |  | Juveniles (<1 year old): $3 \%$ | 2\% |
|  |  | Total: 10\% | 7\% |
|  | Proposed change in post-season population: | post-season population: $+5 \%$ | +2\% |

## Population Size - Postseason



Harvest


Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR352 - Hunter Success \% $\square \begin{aligned} & \text { PR352 - Active License Success }\end{aligned}$


## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR352 - Active Licenses


Days Per Animal Harvested


## Preseason Animals per 100 Females



2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR352 - MIDDLE FORK

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls <br> Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 5,495 | 64 | 185 | 249 | 25\% | 412 | 41\% | 332 | 33\% | 993 | 2,285 | 16 | 45 | 60 | $\pm 7$ | 81 | $\pm 9$ | 50 |
| 2010 | 6,003 | 73 | 137 | 210 | 24\% | 379 | 43\% | 283 | 32\% | 872 | 2,196 | 19 | 36 | 55 | $\pm 7$ | 75 | $\pm 9$ | 48 |
| 2011 | 6,378 | 39 | 130 | 169 | 23\% | 321 | 43\% | 249 | 34\% | 739 | 2,305 | 12 | 40 | 53 | $\pm 8$ | 78 | $\pm 10$ | 51 |
| 2012 | 7,153 | 84 | 142 | 226 | 25\% | 362 | 40\% | 309 | 34\% | 897 | 2,824 | 23 | 39 | 62 | $\pm 8$ | 85 | $\pm 10$ | 53 |
| 2013 | 7,232 | 85 | 280 | 365 | 28\% | 513 | 40\% | 412 | 32\% | 1,290 | 2,490 | 17 | 55 | 71 | $\pm 7$ | 80 | $\pm 8$ | 47 |
| 2014 | 7,510 | 43 | 122 | 165 | 19\% | 355 | 41\% | 346 | 40\% | 866 | 3,317 | 12 | 34 | 46 | $\pm 7$ | 97 | $\pm 11$ | 67 |


| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Opens | eason Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 1 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 31 | 450 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 31 | 300 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Oct. 14 |  |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 1 | -200 |
|  | 6 | -200 |
| Herd Unit Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $-\mathbf{2 0 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 6,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~6,650 (unreliable population model)
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~6,800

## Herd Unit Issues

The Middle Fork Pronghorn Herd Unit post-season population objective was reviewed in 2013 and revised to 6,000 pronghorn. The management strategy remains recreational management.

Area 21 extends from Interstate Highway 25 west to the Bighorn Mountain divide. Antelope densities are highest in the eastern section of the hunt area and lower on the mountain slope. The southeast corner of the hunt area and the mountain slope have large amounts of public land but the majority of the hunt area is private. Hunting on private land is controlled by outfitters and landowners who charge trespass fees and take a limited number of hunters. This causes a disproportionate amount of hunting pressure on accessible public lands. In many cases, the outfitted hunting which takes place on private land limits access as well as the ability to achieve adequate doe/fawn harvest. Private lands are under hunted and outfitters are doing little to manage this pronghorn population.

## Weather

Weather in the area of the Middle Fork Herd Unit during 2014 was favorable after 2013 was very dry though the most of the year. Fall moisture in 2013 provided pronghorn a nutritional boost followed by a relatively mild winter. Precipitation in 2014 was above normal with abundant precipitation in June and August. The Palmer drought index for Climate Division 5
(Powder, Little Missouri and Tongue drainages) showed "moderately moist" conditions for January 2014 and progressed to "very moist" in August and September. August precipitation was $250 \%$ of normal. Winter weather conditions were relatively mild and interspersed with periods of very warm temperatures.

## Habitat

There is one Wyoming big sagebrush habitat transect in this herd unit. Production measured in September 2014 averaged 36 mm per leader compared to 36 mm per leader in 2013 and a 10 year average of 28 mm . Above normal 2014 precipitation provided for above normal shrub growth and excellent herbaceous forage production. Winter conditions were normal so above average mortality was not observed. Utilization during the 2014-15 winter was light (less than $5 \%$ of leaders browsed) as pronghorn and mule deer were dispersed over winter/yearlong range. Complete shrub monitoring results are available in the appendix, Shrub Monitoring Report for the Sheridan Region.

## Field Data

Preseason classification efforts again failed to achieve an adequate sample. The survey yielded a fawn ratio of 97:100, the highest ratio for the six year period and well above the five year average of $80: 100$. The buck ratio was the lowest of the six year period at $46: 100$ which most likely is due to an inadequate classification sample. Postseason landowner surveys indicate that the population has decreased over the last five years. In 2014, 73\% of landowners were satisfied with pronghorn numbers while $9 \%$ desired more pronghorn and $18 \%$ reported there were too many pronghorn. The last line transect survey was flown in 2012 resulting in an end of year population estimate of 4,200 pronghorn, well below the 6,200 pronghorn estimated in 2006. The hunter satisfaction survey showed $78 \%$ of hunters in 2014 were either satisfied or very satisfied, up from 65\% in 2013.

## Harvest Data

Harvest for the six year period peaked in 2012 at 939 pronghorn which was also the highest harvest since at least 1985. The 2012 buck harvest matched the 1985 high of 520 bucks. Doe/fawn harvest reached a new high in 2011. Harvest decreased in both 2013 and 2014. The Type 1 and Type 6 license quotas were each reduced 100 licenses in 2014 due to lower pronghorn numbers and low hunter success. Total harvest decreased 6\% from 2013 while buck harvest deceased $14 \%$ and doe/fawn harvest increased $6 \%$. Hunter numbers declined while hunter success and active license success improved. However, the Type 1 hunter success was only $74 \%$ and the Type 6 hunter success was $79 \%$. Additionally, hunter effort increased to 6.5 days per animal harvested (Type $1-7.5$ days per animal and Type $6-5.1$ days/animal) compared to 5.3 days per animal harvested in 2013 and the five year average of 4.4 days per animal harvested. Both license types sold out with after-draw quotas of 184 Type 1 and 309 Type 6 licenses.

## Population

This population is estimated at about 6,650 pronghorn putting this herd slightly above the revised population objective. The population estimate was generated with the EXCEL spreadsheet model. The Semi-Constant Juvenile/Semi-Constant Adult (SCJ/SCA) model was chosen as it
produced the lowest AIC value (103). The model attempts to track eight line transect survey estimates over the last 20 years, the last obtained in 2012. The 2006 estimate was the highest to date but the model does not align though its confidence interval. The 2012 estimate was $35 \%$ lower with a much narrower confidence interval. This was the first of the surveys flown using a one observer plane. The model indicates this population has nearly doubled since 2007 and shows little influence from the record high harvest of recent years. This is highly unlikely. Inadequate classification samples and the fluctuating buck ratios may contribute to the questionable results. The population estimate is similar to the old POP-II estimate, however, the POP-II model predicted a decreasing trend.

The population model's increasing trend conflicts with the harvest data, landowner surveys and field observations which suggest a decreasing population. Harvest data clearly shows decreasing hunter success and increasing hunter effort reflective of tougher hunting conditions due to lower pronghorn numbers. Given that record harvest is not dampening the model's growth rate it is difficult to put much credibility in the outputs. Therefore, the model is considered a poor model.

## Management Summary

Changes made for the 2015 hunting season included decreasing the Type 1 and Type 6 license quotas by 200 licenses each to address decreasing active license success and increasing hunter effort. Harvest is expected to decrease with the reduced license quotas, however, hunter success and hunter effort are expected to be more favorable. If expected harvest is achieved a postseason population estimate of 6,800 pronghorn is projected by the EXCEL model. However, managers expect this population to actually remain stable with this level of harvest.


|  | MODELS SUMMARY | Fit | Relative AICc |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CJ，CA | Constant Juvenile \＆Adult Survival | 145 | 154 |
| SCJ，SCA | Semi－Constant Juvenile \＆Semi－Constant Adult Survival | 94 | 103 |
| TSJ，CA | Time－Specific Juvenile \＆Constant Adult Survival | 87 | 191 |


| 든 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  <br>  <br>  |
| $\stackrel{\text { 厄゙ }}{\text { 厄 }}$ |  |
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## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form



## Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sexlage group):

|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | $54 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Projected change in post-season population: | $-43 \%$ | $--15 \%$ |

PR354 Satisfaction Survey Percentages








## 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary

 for Pronghorn Herd PR354-BUFFALO|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls <br> Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 12,501 | 268 | 736 | 1,004 | 30\% | 1,348 | 41\% | 949 | 29\% | 3,301 | 1,906 | 20 | 55 | 74 | $\pm 1$ | 70 | $\pm 1$ | 40 |
| 2010 | 10,220 | 161 | 601 | 762 | 27\% | 1225 | 44\% | 786 | 29\% | 2,773 | 1,707 | 19 | 70 | 199 | $\pm 8$ | 91 | $\pm 10$ | 30 |
| 2011 | 9,822 | 117 | 362 | 479 | 26\% | 730 | 39\% | 666 | 36\% | 1,875 | 2,092 | 16 | 50 | 66 | $\pm 4$ | 91 | $\pm 5$ | 55 |
| 2012 | 9,414 | 253 | 512 | 765 | 27\% | 1,020 | 36\% | 1,032 | 37\% | 2,817 | 2,147 | 25 | 50 | 75 | $\pm 2$ | 101 | $\pm 2$ | 58 |
| 2013 | 7,806 | 211 | 430 | 641 | 30\% | 817 | 38\% | 688 | 32\% | 2,146 | 2,827 | 26 | 53 | 78 | $\pm 0$ | 84 | $\pm 0$ | 47 |
| 2014 | 5,908 | 198 | 465 | 663 | 30\% | 993 | 38\% | 949 | 32\% | 2,605 | 2,809 | 20 | 47 | 67 | $\pm 0$ | 96 | $\pm 0$ | 57 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS BUFFALO PRONGHORN HERD (PR354)

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Opens | Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |  |  |
| 20 | 1 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 15 | 600 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 15 | 700 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| 102 | 1 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 15 | 400 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 | 400 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn valid on private <br> land |
|  |  | Oct. 15 | Nov. 15 |  | Unused Area 102 licenses <br> valid for the entire area |  |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Oct. 14 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this <br> Chapter |  |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | -200 |
|  | 6 | -100 |
| 102 | 1 | -100 |
|  | 6 | -100 |
| Herd Unit Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 0 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 60\% Landowner/Hunter Satisfaction Management Strategy: Private Lands
2014 Landowner Satisfaction Survey: 67\%
2014 Hunter Satisfaction Survey: 79\%
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~4,100 (unreliable population model)
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~3,500

## Herd Unit Issues

The Buffalo (Hunt Area 102) and Upper Powder River (Hunt Area 20) Pronghorn Herd Units were combined in 2013, adopting a landowner and hunter satisfaction post-season population objective and a private lands management strategy.

This herd unit is predominately private land with limited public land hunting opportunity resulting in a disproportionate amount of hunting pressure on accessible public land. Subdivisions, restrictive access to private land and landlocked public land aggravates this situation. In recent years several ranches have changed ownership resulting in reduced hunting access. Typically, traditional ranching operations are bought by nonresident landowners with more conservative hunting philosophies. Increased outfitter leasing of ranches reduces the
number of hunters a given ranch will take. These factors contribute to high buck ratios, difficulty in placing hunters and attaining needed harvest. Additionally, pronghorn are often displaced from ranches that allow hunting to neighboring ranches that take limited numbers of hunters, or no hunters.

Habitat is a combination of sagebrush grassland and grassland habitat with interspersed irrigated hay meadows. With the exception of the southern one-third of Area 20, sagebrush habitat is scattered at best. The population is characterized by high densities of pronghorn with high fawn ratios and high buck ratios. The Area 102 segment is somewhat immune from effects of drought because of the occurrence of irrigated meadows interspersed throughout much of the herd unit. Complaints of crop depredation are common in Area 102. Available hunter access largely determines the number of licenses sold.

## Weather

Weather in the area of the Buffalo Herd Unit during 2014 was favorable after 2013 was very dry though the most of the year. Fall moisture in 2013 provided pronghorn a nutritional boost followed by a relatively mild winter. Precipitation in 2014 was above normal with abundant precipitation in June and August. The Palmer drought index for Climate Division 5 (Powder, Little Missouri and Tongue drainages) showed "moderately moist" conditions for January 2014 and progressed to "very moist" in August and September. August precipitation was $250 \%$ of normal. Winter weather conditions were relatively mild and interspersed with periods of very warm temperatures.

## Habitat

There are no established habitat transects in this herd unit. However, in two adjacent herd units production for two Wyoming big sagebrush transects measured in October 2014 averaged 36 mm and 22 mm per leader compared to 36 mm and 8 mm per leader in 2013, respectively. Winter utilization during the 2014-15 winter was light (less than $5 \%$ of leaders browsed) as pronghorn and mule deer were dispersed over winter/yearlong range. Winter conditions were normal so above average mortality was not observed. Complete shrub monitoring results are available in the appendix, Shrub Monitoring Report for the Sheridan Region.

## Field Data

Classifications the last four years showed fawn ratios exceeding 80:100 suggesting this herd may be increasing even with the higher 2014 doe/fawn harvest. It should be noted, however, that with the elimination of aerial classifications in Area 20, fawn ratios showed a notable increase suggesting inaccessible areas with lower fawn productivity are not being represented in the sample. Buck ratios have fluctuated but decreased to 67:100 in 2014, in part due to an increase in Type 1 license sales. A June 2012 line transect survey of Area 20 indicated that pronghorn numbers had decreased $50 \%$ from the 2007 line transect survey. However, there is question as to the accuracy of this estimate. No line transect has been conducted since this herd was created in 2013.

Sixty-seven percent of responding landowners surveyed following the hunting season indicated that numbers were acceptable while $31 \%$ thought numbers were too high. Landowners in Area 20 were generally satisfied with pronghorn numbers (61\%) although 35\% felt numbers were too high. The landowner survey over the past several years shows a trend suggesting numbers are
decreasing in Area 20 whereas nearly 74\% of Area 102 landowners currently believe numbers are acceptable. Hunters responding to the 2014 hunter satisfaction survey reported high hunter satisfaction for the two hunt areas with $75 \%$ and $83 \%$ positive responses for Areas 20 and 102, respectively.

## Harvest Data

Total harvest $(1,627)$ increased for the third year in a row exceeding the six year high of 1,493 pronghorn harvested in 2009. Harvest in each hunt area reached its highest level since at least 1994. Area 20 supported the bulk of the increase with a $25 \%$ increase in harvest. Hunter numbers increased $15 \%$ to a new six year high due to increased license sales. Area 20 Type 6 licenses sold out as did Area 102 Type 1 and Type 6 licenses. Only 18 Area 20 Type 1 licenses went unsold. However, hunter success and active license success were well below the five year averages as Area 20 and Area 102 Type 1 hunter success both fell to $74 \%$. Likewise, hunter effort reached a six year high increasing to 5.0 days per animal harvested, well above the five year average of 4.2 days per animal harvested. There appears to be increased interest in hunting in this part of Wyoming as license quotas have been reduced in other areas of the state. Hunters unsuccessful in the license draw picked up leftover licenses in northeast Wyoming without realizing hunting access is very limited. Private land access is essential to achieving harvest objectives. Public land hunters have benefited from GPS technology that allows them to readily identify public and private land boundaries.

## Population

This herd has a 2014 post-season population estimate of 4,100 pronghorn, 33\% below the 2013 estimate. The population estimate was generated with the EXCEL spreadsheet model. The semi-constant juvenile/semi-constant adult (SCJ/SCA) option was chosen as it produced the lowest AIC value (64), although none of the models produced a realistic population estimate. Modeling efforts are complicated by the fact that no herd unit wide line transect estimate is available for a given year. The model suggests a steadily decreasing population from a high of nearly 14,000 pronghorn in 2005 . This model trend is supported by the harvest data showing lower hunter success and higher hunter effort, although the low population estimate is incapable of supporting this level of continued harvest. Modeling into 2015 and 2016 suggest the current level of harvest will decrease this population at an even more exaggerated rate. Conversely, the high fawn ratios the last three years and private land access would suggest it is not possible to decrease this population to the extent modeled by hunting alone. Therefore, the model is considered a poor model and warrants an abundance estimate with which to align the model. A more accurate population estimate is desirable but not immediately necessary to manage this herd. The population is now managed under a landowner and hunter satisfaction objective which is appropriate for this private land herd. The management objective for landowner satisfaction was exceeded in 2012 and 2014. Hunter satisfaction has easily exceeded the $60 \%$ objective for the three years the survey has been conducted.

## Management Summary

The 2015 hunting season includes continuation of the Area 102 September Type 6 season to address landowner concerns with depredation to irrigated hay meadows. This season has increased in popularity and corresponds to a doe/fawn white-tailed deer season because landowners deal with high numbers of both species. A reduction in Type 1 licenses for both hunt areas is proposed to address low hunter success the last two years. Likewise, Type 6 licenses
will be decreased to address low hunter success in Area 20 (78\%) and low hunter participation rates in both hunt areas, $77 \%$ in Area 20 and 71\% in Area 102. A total license reduction of 20\% was implemented.
License quotas will be more than adequate to address depredation and herd growth potential if hunter access is available. The opportunity to manage a lower population is reasonable given depredation concerns and limited sagebrush habitat in the two hunt areas. Private land access will ultimately determine the level of harvest achieved in these hunt areas.
A harvest of 1,350 pronghorn is projected for the 2015 hunting season if access improves and hunter success increases. An unreliable postseason population of 3,500 pronghorn is projected.






2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR355-BECKTON |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 109 | PREPARED BY: TIM THOMAS |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\text { 2009-2013 Average }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 4}}$ | 2015 Proposed |
|  |  |  |  |
| Hunter Satisfaction Percent | $85 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Landowner Satisfaction Percent | $47 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Harvest: | 267 | 363 | 375 |
| Hunters: | 313 | 484 | 500 |
| Hunter Success: | $85 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Active Licenses: | 362 | 530 | 550 |
| Active License Success: | $74 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Recreation Days: | 1,237 | 1,704 | 1,700 |
| Days Per Animal: | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| Males per 100 Females: | 48 | 43 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 51 | 36 | $60 \%$ |
| Satisfaction Based Objective |  |  | Private Land |
| Management Strategy: |  | $6 \%$ |  |
| Percent population is above (+) or (-) objective: |  | 1 |  |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend: |  |  |  |



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success

PR355 - Hunter Success \% PR355 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR355-BECKTON

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 1,346 | 24 | 47 | 71 | 28\% | 117 | 47\% | 62 | 25\% | 250 | 929 | 21 | 40 | 61 | $\pm 14$ | 53 | $\pm 12$ | 33 |
| 2010 | 1,459 | 12 | 32 | 44 | 22\% | 95 | 48\% | 61 | 30\% | 200 | 969 | 13 | 34 | 46 | $\pm 13$ | 64 | $\pm 16$ | 44 |
| 2011 | 1,523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 |
| 2012 | 1,428 | 18 | 34 | 52 | 20\% | 145 | 56\% | 60 | 23\% | 257 | 623 | 12 | 23 | 36 | $\pm 9$ | 41 | $\pm 9$ | 30 |
| 2013 | 1,851 | 16 | 38 | 54 | 25\% | 105 | 50\% | 53 | 25\% | 212 | 792 | 15 | 36 | 51 | $\pm 13$ | 50 | $\pm 13$ | 33 |
| 2014 | 1,521 | 7 | 16 | 23 | 24\% | 53 | 56\% | 19 | 20\% | 95 | 815 | 13 | 30 | 43 | $\pm 17$ | 36 | $\pm 15$ | 25 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS BECKTON PRONGHORN HERD (PR355)

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Opens | Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |  |
| 109 | 1 | Sep. 15 | Nov. 30 | 350 | Limited quota |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Sep. 14 antelope |  |  |
|  | 6 | Sep. 15 | Nov. 30 | 300 | Limited quota | | Doe or fawn |
| :--- |
| Aus |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Herd Unit Total |  | No Changes |

## Management Evaluation

Current Hunter / Landowner Management Objective: 60\% Satisfaction
Secondary Management Objective: Observed ratio of 30 bucks: 100 does minimum
Management Strategy: Private Land
2014 Hunter Satisfaction Estimate: 81\%
2014 Landowner Satisfaction Estimate: 52\%
Most Recent 3-year Running Average Hunters Satisfaction Estimate: 86\%
Most Recent 3-year Running Average Landowner Satisfaction Estimate: n/a

## Herd Unit Issues

The Beckton Pronghorn Herd Unit is located west of Interstate Highway 90, north of South Piney Creek and off national forest. This herd unit contains the towns of Story, Big Horn, Sheridan, Ranchester and Dayton, as well as significant rural-residential development.

The management objective for the Beckton Pronghorn Herd Unit is a Hunter and Landowner Satisfaction Objective at $60 \%$ or higher, with a secondary objective of 30 or more bucks observed per 100 does. The management strategy is Private Land Management. The objective and management strategy were last revised in 2014.

The majority of this herd unit is private lands, much of it developed as rural residential areas or small acreage ranchettes. There are few public land hunting opportunities available in this herd unit. The restricted access has made it difficult to attain adequate harvest to regulate pronghorn populations in portions of this herd unit.

## Weather

The spring and summer of 2014 was generally warm and wet, resulting in good conditions for forage production throughout the northwest portion of the Sheridan Region. The 2014-15 winter was highly variable, with generally open conditions into early November, cold and snowy conditions from early November through January, then periods of warm weather alternating with colder temperatures and snow. Several thaw/freeze cycles during parts of the winter resulted in
hard, crusted snow that was difficult for animals to paw through to access forage. Overall, adults entered the winter in good condition and likely survived the winter well. Fawns likely saw about average over-winter survival.

## Habitat

There are no habitat transects within or near this herd unit. This herd unit is located along the foothills of the Bighorn Mountains and contains open rangeland dominated by short-grass prairie and big sagebrush, dry land and irrigated crop lands, and numerous rural subdivisions.

## Field Data

Fawn production, as measured by the observed fawn:doe ratio, has exceeded 60 fawns per 100 does only once (i.e. 2010) in the past 12 years, suggesting this herd is not likely to grow quickly, even with limited harvest. In 2014 we only classified 95 pronghorn, the fewest in almost 30 years. This was more an issue of low survey effort due to competing work demands than a reflection of population dynamics. With such a low sample size, it is difficult to make reasonable extrapolations based on these data. While we have continued to increase harvest in this herd unit, the population appears to have at least remained steady and distribution continues to expand. This suggests the low observed doe:fawn ratio may be biased and not representative of the true population.

The observed buck to doe ratio can be highly variable between years in this herd unit, likely due to bias associated with small sample sizes. We are confident we have sufficient bucks to maintain adequate breeding of females as well as provide the current level of buck harvest in this herd unit. We are likely well over the minimum of 30 males: 100 females to satisfy the secondary management objective in this herd unit.

Hunter satisfaction has remained high, with $81 \%$ of surveyed hunters ( $n=81$ ) satisfied or very satisfied in 2014. The high hunter satisfaction level reflects Department personnel efforts to advise perspective hunters of the limited access opportunities and the need to make arrangements for access prior to purchasing a license.

Nonresident hunter satisfaction decreased significantly in 2014 (77\%), compared to 2012 (90\%) and 2013 (94\%). We increased available Type 1 (any antelope) licenses in 2014 in response to selling all Type 1 licenses in 2013. We saw a significant increase in the demand for leftover antelope licenses in 2014. We believe the decrease in satisfaction is due to hunters purchasing licenses for this herd unit without either talking with regional personnel or securing access to hunt private lands. While we saw an increase in total nonresident hunters, it was mostly Type 6 (doe/fawn) license hunters. We sold 50 additional Type 1 (any antelope) licenses in 2014 but estimate only 2 additional hunters actually hunted on this license type.

## Harvest Data

Until the 2013 season, we had not sold all allocated licenses in this herd unit since 2005. As such, we increased Type 1 licenses for the 2014 season, adding 50 licenses. In 2014, we sold 350 Type 1 (any antelope) licenses and 300 Type 6 (doe or fawn) licenses, the most licenses ever sold in this herd unit.

An estimated 484 hunters harvested an estimated 363 pronghorn, the highest harvest ever in this herd unit. Harvest increased only 3\% in 2014 compared to 2013, despite a $21 \%$ increase in licenses sold and a $17.5 \%$ increase in active licenses. Hunters success was $75 \%$, a decrease from $86 \%$ in 2013 and the past 10 year mean of $86 \%$. Hunters with a Type 1 (any antelope) license had a higher success rate (83\%) than Type 6 (doe or fawn) license holders (54\%). Hunter effort, as measured by the number of days hunted per animal harvested, was 4.7 days/animal, similar to the 10 year average of 4.6 days/animal.

The decrease in success was likely a function of new hunters purchasing licenses who were not familiar with the hunt area. We don't believe the population decreased significantly enough to account for the decrease in success. Also, weather conditions were not very conducive to antelope hunting during much of November, likely contributing to reduced hunter participation and success rates.

## Population

We changed the management objective for this herd unit from a postseason population objective to a hunter / landowner satisfaction objective. Due to this herd's small size, both in numbers and geographically, we have never flown a line transect survey in this herd unit. A trend count was last conducted in May 1999, when 382 pronghorn were counted and resulted in an estimated 1,500 pronghorn ( $25 \%$ sightability estimated).

We do have a functioning spreadsheet population simulation model for this herd unit. We only have harvest and classification data from this herd unit. Classification data is collected somewhat sporadically in this herd unit, and is likely biased due to low sampling effort and small sample sizes. Modeling parameters, specifically juvenile survival rates, are set wider than recommended to make this model work.

The "Time-Specific Juvenile - Constant Adult Survival Rate" (TSJ,CA) spreadsheet simulation model was chosen to estimate the post-season population for this herd. This model had the highest relative Akaike information criterion (AIC) value (143), but had the best fit (28) of the three possible models. It also seemed to better model manager's perceptions of population dynamics in this herd unit. Since we have limited management data, small survey sample size, sporadic data collection, and no independent population estimate for this herd unit, we consider this a "poor" population model.

Nineteen landowners in this herd unit completed the satisfaction portion of the annual landowner survey. Of these responses, $42 \%(n=8)$ were satisfied with pronghorn numbers, $37 \%$ were very dissatisfied or dissatisfied ( $n=7$ ) and $21 \%(n=4)$ were neutral. No landowners were "very satisfied". It is difficult to interpret these data as satisfaction or dissatisfaction can mean different things to different individuals. For example some landowners who indicated they had higher than desired pronghorn numbers indicated they were satisfied and some landowners who indicated they had fewer than desired pronghorn numbers indicated they were also satisfied.

A better index of landowner desires may be the long-term survey sent annually to landowners in the Sheridan Region. This survey simply asks if big game numbers are at, above or below desired levels. Desired level is also a subjective expression of individual landowner tolerance or preference but it appears to better gauge landowner preferences.

Landowners, hunters and WGFD field personnel have not seen any significant increase or decrease in this herd unit in recent years. Landowners who responded ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) to an annual survey indicated pronghorn populations where 'at' (52\%) or 'above' (40\%) desired levels (Fig 1 ); and suggested similar (70\%) or more liberal (30\%) hunting season strategies as in recent years.


Figure 1. Relative landowner perceptions of pronghorn antelope populations on their property in the Beckton Antelope Herd Unit, by percentage. Desired level is a subjective expression of individual landowner tolerance of pronghorn. Sample sizes some years were as low as 6 responses.

## Management Summary

The regular hunting season in this herd unit traditionally runs 10 weeks (September 15 November 30) for both Type 1 and Type 6 licenses, with an archery pre-season August 15 September 14. Hunters in this herd unit are able to purchase two Type 1 (any antelope) licenses and four Type 6 (doe or fawn antelope) licenses, which allows hunters the opportunity to harvest multiple animals. There is limited pronghorn hunting on scattered State Trust Lands, as well as three Walk-In Areas and one Hunter Management Area. We commonly observe high buck numbers, as measured by buck:doe ratios, averaging 44 bucks:100 does over the long-term ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ years). This is likely a function of limited access to private lands where the majority of pronghorn occur.

We project a harvest of approximately 375 pronghorn in 2015, resulting in an estimated postseason population of about 2,100 pronghorn. These predictions assume near normal fawn production and survival, as well as similar license sales and success rates for the 2015 hunting season. Due to limited access to private land, our ability to manage this population towards desired objectives with hunting is very limited.

Even though we sold all available licenses in 2014, we did not increase license allocations for 2015. We saw a significant increase in demand for leftover antelope licenses in 2014, likely a function of hunters shifting from other areas as licenses decreased, and increased hunter numbers due to improved economic conditions. We sold 50 more Type 1 licenses for this hunt area, but only 2 additional hunters participated. Only $75 \%$ of hunters who purchased a Type 1 license actually hunted, likely a function of very limited access in this herd unit. This was the lowest participation rate in past 10 years (mean=88\%). More Type 6 license holders hunted ( $90 \%$ ) but success on this license type was only $54 \%$, compared to the 10 year average of $75 \%$.

|  | MODELS SUMMARY | Fit | Relative AICc |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CJ,CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival | 69 |  |
| SCJ,SCA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival | 69 | 78 |
| TSJ,CA | Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival | 28 | 78 |





| Comments: In 1996, only total male pronghorn observed was recorded. I averaged the proportion of yearling vs. adult males for 3 years prior (1993-1995) and 2 years post (1997-98) for values. |
| :--- |
| Classification data were not available for 2005 and 2011. 5 -year averages were used. Trend data was adjusted by $80 \%$ in 1994 and $85 \%$ in 1996 based on hours flown ( 3.5 hrs in 1994 and 3.0 hrs in 1996 ). |
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[^0]:    Check best model
    

    | MODELS SUMMARY | Fit |  |
    | :--- | :--- | :---: |
    | CJ,CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival | 142 |
    | SCJ,SCA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival | 172 |
    | TSJ,CA | Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival | 60 |

