## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

SPECIES: Pronghorn
HERD: PR401-SUBLETTE
HUNT AREAS: 85-93, 96, 107
PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015

PREPARED BY: PATRICK BURKE

|  | 2009-2013 | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average |  |  |  |
| Population: | 45,560 | 31,300 | 32,000 |
| Harvest: | 5,086 | 3,262 | 2,920 |
| Hunters: | 5,246 | 3,603 | 3,200 |
| Hunter Success: | 97\% | 91\% | 91 \% |
| Active Licenses: | 5,887 | 4,069 | 3,200 |
| Active License Success: | 86\% | 80\% | 91 \% |
| Recreation Days: | 18,236 | 13,646 | 12,000 |
| Days Per Animal: | 3.6 | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| Males per 100 Females | 55 | 52 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 61 | 74 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20$ |  |  | 48000 (38400-57600) |
| Management Strategy: |  |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above | or below (-) objective: |  | -34.8\% |
| Number of years population | been + or - objective in recen | trend: | 4 |
| Model Date: |  |  | 01/23/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sexlage group): |  |  |  |
|  |  | JCR Year | Proposed |
|  | Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 8\% | 8\% |
|  | Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 25\% | 25\% |
|  | Juveniles (<1 year old): | 1\% | 1\% |
|  | Total: | 8\% | 8\% |
| Proposed chan | post-season population: | 0\% | 0\% |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR401 - Hunter Success \%
$\square \begin{aligned} & \text { PR401 - Active License Success } \\ & \%\end{aligned}$


## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR401 - Active Licenses


Days Per Animal Harvested
$\square$ PR401 - Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females

PR401 - Males<br>PR401 - Juveniles



2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR401 - SUBLETTE

|  |  | males |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tot } \\ & \text { Cls } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 64,500 | 1,088 | 2,357 | 3,445 | 27\% | 6,036 | 47\% | 3,431 | 27\% | 12,912 | 2,385 | 18 | 39 | 57 | $\pm 0$ | 57 | $\pm 0$ | 36 |
| 2010 | 66,000 | 783 | 2,407 | 3,190 | 24\% | 6,035 | 46\% | 3,804 | 29\% | 13,029 | 2,138 | 13 | 40 | 53 | $\pm 2$ | 63 | $\pm 2$ | 41 |
| 2011 | 43,400 | 684 | 2,043 | 2,727 | 26\% | 4,713 | 45\% | 2,936 | 28\% | 10,376 | 2,163 | 15 | 43 | 58 | $\pm 2$ | 62 | $\pm 2$ | 39 |
| 2012 | 45,000 | 646 | 1,967 | 2,613 | 27\% | 4,439 | 45\% | 2,800 | 28\% | 9,852 | 1,986 | 15 | 44 | 59 | $\pm 2$ | 63 | $\pm 2$ | 40 |
| 2013 | 38,000 | 517 | 1,848 | 2,365 | 23\% | 4,975 | 48\% | 3,123 | 30\% | 10,463 | 2,065 | 10 | 37 | 48 | $\pm 2$ | 63 | $\pm 2$ | 43 |
| 2014 | 35,000 | 786 | 1,687 | 2,473 | 23\% | 4,791 | 44\% | 3,529 | 33\% | 10,793 | 2,614 | 16 | 35 | 52 | $\pm 2$ | 74 | $\pm 2$ | 49 |


| Hunt <br> Area Type <br> SEASON DATES <br> Opens <br> Closes | Quota | Limitations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 85 | 1 | Sept.10 | Oct. 31 | 15 | Limited quota; any antelope |
| 87 | 1 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; any antelope |

$6 \quad$ Oct. $1 \quad$ Oct. 31
$6 \quad$ Sept. $10 \quad$ Oct. 31

200 Limited quota; any antelope
Limited quota; any antelope, except that portion of Area 88 on BLM lands immediately west of the East Green River Road (Sublette County Road 23-110) and west of the Woods-Wardell Road (Sublette County Road 23-179) shall be closed to hunting

Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope, except that portion of Area 88 on BLM lands immediately west of the East Green River Road (Sublette County Road 23-110) and west of the Woods-Wardell Road (Sublette County Road 23-179) shall be closed to hunting

Limited quota; any antelope
Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope
Unused Area 89 Type 6 licenses valid in that in that portion of Area 89 south of Middle Piney Creek, east of U.S. Hwy 189, and south of Wyoming Hwy 351

Limited quota; any antelope valid in that portion of Area 90 east of U.S. Highway 191

Limited quota; any antelope valid in that portion of Area 90 west of U.S. Highway 191

Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope valid in that portion of Area 90 east of U.S. Highway 191

Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope valid in that portion of Area 90 west of U.S.
Highway 191
Sept. 10 Oct. 31
$7 \quad$ Sept. $10 \quad$ Oct. 31

$107 \quad 1$
1 Sept. 10 Oct. 22

Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope valid on private land in that portion of Area 90 east of U.S. Highway 191

Limited quota; any antelope
Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope
Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope, valid in that portion of Area 91on private and Bureau of Reclamation land within Sweetwater County

Limited quota; any antelope
Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope valid in that portion of Area 92 within the FarsonEden Irrigation Project

Limited quota; any antelope
Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope
Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope valid in that portion of Area 93 north and west of Wyoming Highway 189

Limited quota; any antelope; also valid in Area 92

Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope valid in that portion of Area 96 within the FarsonEden Irrigation Project; also valid in that portion of Area 92 within the Farson-Eden Irrigation Project

Limited quota; any antelope
Limited quota; doe or fawn antelope
Limited quota; any antelope, muzzleloading firearms and handguns only

ARCHERY: Aug. 15
Refer to license type and limitations in Section 3.

| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 7 | -25 |
| 90 | 2 | -25 |
|  | 6 | -25 |
|  | 7 | -50 |
|  | 8 | +25 |
|  | 1 | -25 |
| 92 | 6 | -25 |
| 96 | 7 | -25 |
| Herd Unit | 1 | -25 |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: 48,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2013 Postseason Population Estimate: ~34,000
2014 Proposed Population Estimate: ~34,000

The post-season population objective for the Sublette pronghorn herd is 48,000 pronghorn and is designated as a recreational management herd. This objective for this population was set in 1994.

## Herd Unit Issues

The 2014 post-season modeled population estimate for the Sublette herd is approximately 31,000 pronghorn with a stable trend. The last two line-transect surveys conducted in this herd unit have yielded radically different estimates for where this herd is in relation to its population objective. One survey flown at the end of the 2006 bio-year year resulted in an estimated end of bio-year population size of just over 48,000 pronghorn, which placed this population significantly over objective. Because of this survey, harvest was significantly increased across
the herd unit in order to move the herd down towards its population objective. Following that survey, severe winter conditions during the 2010-2011 winter resulted in significantly higher than normal mortality for the herd. Another line-transect survey flown at the end of the 2010 bio-year resulted in a much lower population estimate of just under 27,000 animals. The discrepancy between these two estimates, even with a severe winter between them when this herd experience higher than normal mortality, raised some questions about the true size of this population. In early June 2013, another line-transect survey was flown, using a slightly modified stratified survey design from the 2010 survey. The resulting end of bio-year population estimate from this latest survey was around 31,500 pronghorn which correlated well with both the 2010 estimate and with model predictions.

## Weather

Tougher than normal winter conditions during the 2010-2011 winter resulted in higher than normal over winter mortality in this herd. Winters since then have been, by comparison significantly milder than the 2012-2011 winter. The summers of 2012, 2013, and to a lesser extent the summer of 2014 were very dry with little summer precipitation, especially in the southern, lower elevation portions of this herd unit. These dry years appear to have had little effect on this herd as fawn ratios have been remarkably stable during this time period. This can probably be explained by the northern, more productive portions of the herd unit being less affected by the drought conditions than the southern, traditionally less productive, portions of the herd. The summer of 2014 saw substantially better moisture in the northern, portions of the herd unit. This improvement in climatic conditions did result in increased observed fawn to doe ratios in the herd unit in 2014. The below average precipitation levels do seem to still be having an impact in the southern portions of the herd.

## Habitat

No habitat transects targeting pronghorn range were conducted in the Sublette herd unit during the period covered by this report. However, the dry summers over the last few years have had an impact on the overall habitat conditions in the southern portion of the herd. Some large sagebrush die-offs have been documented in the herd unit that could have an impact on pronghorn living in these areas. While the exact cause of die-offs has not been determined, it has been speculated that the dry conditions during the summer of 2013 and then the very wet conditions in the fall of 2013 may have drown sage-brush living in low-laying areas.

## Field Data

Pre-season ground classifications conducted in August of 2014 resulted in observed ratios of 74 fawns per 100 does as well as 52 total and 14 yearling bucks per 100 does for the herd unit. A total of 10,793 pronghorn were classified across the whole herd unit, which is down from a high of 13,029 pronghorn classified in 2010 when the population was at a higher level, but up slightly from the 9,852 classified in 2012 and 10,463 classified in 2013.

## Harvest Data

The 2014 hunting season saw the lowest harvest recorded in the Sublette herd since 2001. This reduction in the number of pronghorn harvested in the herd was caused by fewer licenses being issued due in part to the herd being estimated below objective and to increased numbers of licenses issued when the herd was above objective in the late 2000's. Days per animal harvested did increase slightly in 2014 to 4.2 days per animal harvested compared to average days per harvest values for the herd in the mid 3 days per harvest. The overall active license success rate in 2014 was $80 \%$, which is generally in line, but at the lower end of success rates for the herd in recent years.

## Population

The model for the Sublette herd does a reasonable job of tracking observed ratios and linetransect estimates for this large and geographically spread out pronghorn herd. Use of the semiconstant survival model was necessary to allow the modeled population estimates to match the line-transect estimates and to allow for the population to decline sharply after the 2010-2011 winter when this herd experienced above average winter mortality. The model prediction of a significant population reduction between the 2006 bio-year and 2010 bio-year line-transect estimates match observations made by both field personnel and the general public.

A line-transect survey was flown in the Sublette herd in June of 2013 to obtain an end of bioyear estimate for the 2012 bio-year. That survey was designed and analyzed using a stratified design to account for low, medium, and high density areas of the herd unit. The resulting end of bio-year population estimate for the herd was 31,550 (SE 7438) pronghorn. This population estimate agrees well with the previous line-transect survey flown in 2011 and with model predictions.

## Management Summary

The 2015 season proposal is similar to previous seasons, but does include proposed changes in 5 of the hunt areas in the herd unit. Reductions in one or more license types are being proposed in HAs $89,90,91,92$, and 96 ; and the creation of a new license type is proposed in HA90. These are being proposed due to concerns over lower pronghorn numbers in the middle and southern portions of the herd. The 2015 season proposal also includes allowing hunters to hunt in both HA92 and 96 if they draw a license in either one of those hunt areas. This change is being proposed due to extremely low pronghorn numbers in HA96. It is hoped that if hunters are able to choose between harvesting a pronghorn in either HA92 or 96 that most will choose HA92, where pronghorn are more numerous, than HA96, which has much lower pronghorn numbers.




## Prehunt Juvenile / 100 Female







Survival and Initial Population Estimates




HERD: PR411- UINTA-CEDAR MOUNTAIN
HUNT AREAS: 95, 99
PREPARED BY: JEFF SHORT




## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR411 - Active Licenses


Days Per Animal Harvested


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


| 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary <br> Pronghorn Herd PR411 - UINTA-CEDAR MOUNTAIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls <br> Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 12,093 | 191 | 542 | 733 | 32\% | 1,060 | 46\% | 511 | 22\% | 2,304 | 0 | 18 | 51 | 69 | $\pm 5$ | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 28 |
| 2010 | 11,551 | 151 | 525 | 676 | 26\% | 1,213 | 47\% | 668 | 26\% | 2,557 | 0 | 12 | 43 | 56 | $\pm 4$ | 55 | $\pm 4$ | 35 |
| 2011 | 12,525 | 120 | 317 | 437 | 33\% | 589 | 44\% | 309 | 23\% | 1,335 | 0 | 20 | 54 | 74 | $\pm 7$ | 52 | $\pm 6$ | 30 |
| 2012 | 11,916 | 88 | 378 | 466 | 27\% | 799 | 46\% | 460 | 27\% | 1,725 | 0 | 11 | 47 | 58 | $\pm 5$ | 58 | $\pm 5$ | 36 |
| 2013 | 10,759 | 80 | 210 | 290 | 25\% | 536 | 46\% | 332 | 29\% | 1,158 | 0 | 15 | 39 | 54 | $\pm 6$ | 62 | $\pm 7$ | 40 |
| 2014 | 9,891 | 152 | 374 | 526 | 25\% | 960 | 46\% | 598 | 29\% | 2,084 | 0 | 16 | 39 | 55 | $\pm 4$ | 62 | $\pm 5$ | 40 |

2015 HUNTING SEASONS
SPECIES: Pronghorn

HERD UNIT: Uinta-Cedar Mountain (411) HUNT AREAS: 95, 99

| Hunt Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Opens | Closes |  |  |  |
| 95 | 1 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 325 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 7 | Aug 15 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn valid on irrigated lands |
| 99 | 1 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 225 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 300 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
|  | 7 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn valid north and west of Wyoming Highway 410 and west of Uinta County Road 271 |
|  | 0 | Sept. 1 | Oct. 31 | 50 |  | Limited quota licenses; any antelope, muzzle-loading firearms only |
| $\begin{aligned} & 95, \\ & 99 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Archery | Aug. 15 | Sept. 9 |  |  | Refer to Section 3 of this chapter |


| Hunt <br> Area | License <br> Type | Quota change <br> from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95 | 7 | +75 |
| 99 | 6 | -100 |
| 99 | 7 | +100 |
| Herd Unit | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 7 5}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 10,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~8,965
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~9,684

## Herd Unit Issues

The two hunt areas in this herd are very different in several characteristics. Hunt Area 95 is mostly public land, more xeric, and has much lower fawn ratios. Hunt Area 99 has much better conditions for fawn production and survival. Hunt Area 99 has much more private land where the majority of HA 95 is BLM land.

Throughout the herd unit there is a low tolerance for the presence of pronghorn on some of the irrigated land holdings. Conflict with agriculture producers can be an issue for this herd. Damage complaints mostly occur on irrigated lands during the summer and early fall. However, irrigated lands are uncommon relative to native ranges. Significant efforts have been made to direct harvest toward those problems. Perceived reduction in livestock forage due to pronghorn foraging is an issue that can be brought up. However, dietary overlap and pronghorn impacts are negligible in native rangelands.

Energy development on crucial habitat is a looming issue for this herd. Development is present but has yet to impact habitats on a large scale. Wyoming Highway 414 has created a significant movement barrier between the two hunt areas in this herd unit.

## Weather

Weather during 2014 and into 2015 was highly variable. In the early part of 2014 the winter was very mild and dry. A moist spring and summer followed. In late August and into September precipitation continued. The winter of 2014-2015 has been very mild to this point. The winters of 2011/12, 2012/13 and 2013/14 were also mild with low snowpack resulting in good over winter survival. However, the dry springs and summers of 2012 and 2013 negatively impacted summer and winter range forage production. Fawn survival suffered from the extremely dry conditions. Conditions were better at the higher elevations in hunt area 99. Pronghorn distribution was greatly affected by the drought during those times.

## Habitat

Habitat data has been inconsistently collected in this herd unit and has been absent in the recent past.

## Field Data

The 2014 post-season population estimate was about 8,965 with limited growth since 2007. The last line transect survey was conducted in this herd unit in June 2009. That survey resulted in an estimated population of 10,997 pronghorn for the end of bio year 2008. Survey variance was extremely high for this survey and a new survey design needs to be used to survey this herd in the future. A new line transect survey is scheduled to be flown in 2015.

## Harvest Data

In 2012 in Area 99 we added a type 7 hunt with 50 permits to target specific depredation problems west of Mountain View. We will increase those permits to 150 for 2015 to address continual damage. Hopefully this will help to alleviate private land damage problems. Conservative seasons continue to be warranted in HA 95 due to low fawn ratios.

Doe/fawn harvest opportunity was increased every year for several years in area 99. The 2009, 2010 and 2011 season structures offered substantially increased doe/fawn harvest opportunity to try to control growth of that part of the herd. Those seasons allowed significant doe/fawn harvest with large increases in permits. These hunts have had good success rates. This management framework has held this population near objective. We are continuing this strategy to further reduce damage complaints and keep the herd near objective. For 2015 we will transfer

100 type 6 licenses to type 7 licenses to target antelope on private lands and relax pressure on antelope in the eastern portion of the hunt area that have been harvested very heavily for many years.

## Population

The TSJ,CA model was selected due to the low Relative AICc score, its good fit with the data and the population estimate appears to be reasonable. The CJ,CA model scored slightly better but it did not fit the data as well as the TSJ,CA model. The TSJ,CA model fits very well with the variable fawn survival data common in the high elevation winter ranges in the herd unit.

In the future it will be imperative that we get a reliable population estimate periodically through line transect surveys to check the status of the herd and anchor the model. With this, it is likely we can provide a good population model and track the trend of this population. Without this anchor point, it will be unclear if our current harvest levels can be sustained or if we are on the right management track relative to objective.

Due to significant documented differences in density and productivity between hunt areas within this herd unit models generated for this herd should be used with some caution. However, at the current time the model appears to be performing well and with good line transect data it should be able to perform in the future. In 2012 the Department switched from POPII models to an Excel spreadsheet model. Since these are new models they are going to be under development and subject to extensive refining. They will likely change over time with new data.

Currently the model is estimating we have around 8,965 pronghorn in the herd. The model estimates a fairly stable trend since 2007. This is substantiated by consistency in classification sample sizes, harvest success and field observations. The hunt area 99 portion of this herd has the potential for rapid growth as consecutive years with high fawns ratios have occurred in the past. This can result in overloaded winter ranges on difficult years. Therefore, adequate harvest has been needed to curtail growth.

## Management Summary

For 2015 season setting we will maintain similar levels of harvest in the herd unit while putting more pressure on antelope using private irrigated lands. This should continue to alleviate depredation issues and keep the population fairly stable. If we attain the projected harvest of 845 animals and near normal fawn recruitment this pronghorn population should be very close to objective. The model predicts a 2015 post-season population of about 9,684 . The objective and management strategy were last revised in 2014.

Model

| INPUT\| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species: Biologist: Herd Unit \& No.: Model date: |  | Pronghorn <br> Jeff Short <br> Uinta CM PR411 <br> $02 / 27 / 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ Clear form |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MODELS SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fit | Relative AICc | Check best model <br> to create report Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CJ,CA <br> SCJ,SCA <br> TSJ,CA |  | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival <br> Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival <br> Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 105 \\ & 105 \\ & 41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \\ & 124 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \text { CJ,CA Model } \\ & \square \text { SCJ.SCAN } \\ & \square \text { TSJ.CA. Model } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Estimates from Top Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Predicted Prehunt Population (year |  |  | Total | Predicted Posthunt Population (year $i$ ) |  |  | Total | Predicted adult End-of-bio-year Pop (year  <br> Total Males Females Total Adults |  |  | LT Population Estimate |  | Trend Count | Objective |
|  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  |  |  |  | Field Est | Field SE |  |  |
| 1993 | 1423 | 2458 | 4838 | 8720 | 1264 | 1639 | 4057 | 6960 | 1994 | 4218 | 6212 | 7347 | 1837 |  | 7000 |
| 1994 | 1838 | 1954 | 4134 | 7926 | 1813 | 1585 | 3953 | 7351 | 1799 | 3993 | 5793 | 5957 | 1489 |  | 10000 |
| 1995 | 1611 | 1763 | 3913 | 7288 | 1589 | 1479 | 3795 | 6862 | 1853 | 3997 | 5851 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 1996 | 2377 | 1816 | 3917 | 8111 | 2362 | 1530 | 3825 | 7718 | 2107 | 4234 | 6341 | 6476 | 1619 |  | 10000 |
| 1997 | 1981 | 2065 | 4149 | 8195 | 1935 | 1679 | 3950 | 7564 | 1929 | 4033 | 5962 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 1998 | 2162 | 1890 | 3953 | 8005 | 2157 | 1486 | 3775 | 7417 | 1762 | 3886 | 5648 | 4802 | 1201 |  | 10000 |
| 1999 | 2327 | 1727 | 3809 | 7862 | 2301 | 1354 | 3573 | 7228 | 1967 | 4019 | 5986 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2000 | 2047 | 1928 | 3938 | 7913 | 2018 | 1522 | 3757 | 7296 | 1759 | 3834 | 5593 | 7877 | 1969 |  | 10000 |
| 2001 | 2095 | 1723 | 3757 | 7575 | 2023 | 1375 | 3447 | 6845 | 1967 | 3874 | 5840 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2002 | 2010 | 1927 | 3796 | 7733 | 1981 | 1478 | 3552 | 7011 | 2021 | 3946 | 5967 | 6320 | 1580 |  | 10000 |
| 2003 | 2088 | 1980 | 3867 | 7935 | 2064 | 1509 | 3534 | 7106 | 1751 | 3624 | 5375 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2004 | 2881 | 1716 | 3552 | 8149 | 2870 | 1238 | 3461 | 7569 | 1671 | 3751 | 5422 | 4524 | 1131 |  | 10000 |
| 2005 | 2517 | 1637 | 3676 | 7830 | 2494 | 1212 | 3608 | 7313 | 2213 | 4450 | 6663 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2006 | 2317 | 2169 | 4361 | 8847 | 2297 | 1729 | 4241 | 8266 | 2596 | 4936 | 7532 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2007 | 3084 | 2544 | 4837 | 10465 | 3028 | 2078 | 4599 | 9705 | 3248 | 5587 | 8834 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2008 | 2276 | 3183 | 5475 | 10934 | 2175 | 2667 | 5112 | 9954 | 3390 | 5651 | 9041 | 10997 | 2423 |  | 10000 |
| 2009 | 2670 | 3322 | 5538 | 11529 | 2617 | 2767 | 5179 | 10563 | 3002 | 5237 | 8239 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2010 | 2826 | 2942 | 5132 | 10900 | 2810 | 2391 | 4725 | 9926 | 3415 | 5574 | 8989 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2011 | 2866 | 3347 | 5462 | 11674 | 2834 | 2836 | 5090 | 10760 | 3082 | 5146 | 8228 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2012 | 2903 | 3021 | 5043 | 10967 | 2873 | 2456 | 4598 | 9926 | 2814 | 4850 | 7664 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2013 | 2944 | 2758 | 4753 | 10454 | 2888 | 2216 | 4386 | 9491 | 2572 | 4634 | 7206 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2014 | 2829 | 2520 | 4541 | 9891 | 2778 | 1970 | 4217 | 8965 | 2908 | 4988 | 7896 |  |  |  | 10000 |
| 2015 | 2875 | 2850 | 4888 | 10613 | 2826 | 2300 | 4558 | 9684 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10000 |

## Survival and Initial Population Estimates



| Classification Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Juvenile/Female Ratio |  |  | Total Male/Female Ratio |  |  | Males | Females |  |  | Juveniles | Total Harvest | Segment Harvest Rate (\% |  |
|  | perived Est | Field Est | Field SE | Derived Est | Field Est | Field SE |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Males | Females |
| 1993 |  | 29.42 | 1.61 | 50.81 | 50.65 | 2.28 | 745 |  | 710 |  | 145 | 1600 | 33.3 | 16.1 |
| 1994 |  | 44.47 | 2.49 | 47.26 | 47.06 | 2.58 | 335 |  | 164 |  | 23 | 522 | 18.9 | 4.4 |
| 1995 |  | 41.16 | 2.39 | 45.06 | 44.99 | 2.53 | 259 |  | 108 |  | 20 | 387 | 16.2 | 3.0 |
| 1996 |  | 60.67 | 3.30 | 46.37 | 46.37 | 2.75 | 260 |  | 84 |  | 13 | 357 | 15.7 | 2.4 |
| 1997 |  | 47.74 | 2.63 | 49.77 | 49.71 | 2.70 | 351 |  | 181 |  | 42 | 574 | 18.7 | 4.8 |
| 1998 |  | 54.70 | 2.97 | 47.82 | 50.21 | 2.81 | 367 |  | 162 |  | 5 | 534 | 21.4 | 4.5 |
| 1999 |  | 61.09 | 3.42 | 45.34 | 43.89 | 2.74 | 339 |  | 214 |  | 23 | 576 | 21.6 | 6.2 |
| 2000 |  | 51.98 | 2.95 | 48.94 | 55.18 | 3.07 | 369 |  | 165 |  | 27 | 561 | 21.1 | 4.6 |
| 2001 |  | 55.74 | 3.51 | 45.87 | 40.43 | 2.84 | 317 |  | 282 |  | 65 | 664 | 20.2 | 8.3 |
| 2002 |  | 52.94 | 3.22 | 50.77 | 50.77 | 3.13 | 408 |  | 222 |  | 26 | 656 | 23.3 | 6.4 |
| 2003 |  | 53.99 | 3.51 | 51.21 | 52.96 | 3.46 | 429 |  | 303 |  | 22 | 754 | 23.8 | 8.6 |
| 2004 |  | 81.11 | 4.57 | 48.30 | 51.42 | 3.33 | 434 |  | 83 |  | 10 | 527 | 27.8 | 2.6 |
| 2005 |  | 68.48 | 3.65 | 44.54 | 39.49 | 2.52 | 387 |  | 62 |  | 21 | 470 | 26.0 | 1.9 |
| 2006 |  | 53.14 | 3.00 | 49.73 | 48.29 | 2.81 | 400 |  | 109 |  | 19 | 528 | 20.3 | 2.7 |
| 2007 |  | 63.76 | 3.42 | 52.60 | 48.99 | 2.86 | 424 |  | 216 |  | 51 | 691 | 18.3 | 4.9 |
| 2008 |  | 41.58 | 2.63 | 58.14 | 65.84 | 3.59 | 469 |  | 330 |  | 92 | 891 | 16.2 | 6.6 |
| 2009 |  | 48.21 | 2.60 | 59.99 | 69.15 | 3.32 | 505 |  | 326 |  | 48 | 879 | 16.7 | 6.5 |
| 2010 |  | 55.07 | 2.65 | 57.32 | 55.73 | 2.67 | 501 |  | 370 |  | 15 | 886 | 18.7 | 7.9 |
| 2011 |  | 52.46 | 3.69 | 61.27 | 74.19 | 4.68 | 464 |  | 338 |  | 29 | 831 | 15.3 | 6.8 |
| 2012 |  | 57.57 | 3.37 | 59.89 | 58.32 | 3.40 | 513 | F | 405 | V | 28 | 946 | 18.7 | 8.8 |
| 2013 |  | 61.94 | 4.33 | 58.02 | 54.10 | 3.94 | 492 | $\nabla$ | 333 | $\nabla$ | 51 | 876 | 19.6 | 7.7 |
| 2014 |  | 62.29 | 3.25 | 55.50 | 54.79 | 2.97 | 500 | $\checkmark$ | 295 | V | 46 | 841 | 21.8 | 7.1 |
| 2015 |  | 58.82 | 3.32 | 58.30 | 60.00 | 3.36 |  |  |  |  | 300 | 845 | 19.3 | 6.8 |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form



## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR412 - Hunter Success \%
$\square \begin{aligned} & \text { PR412 - Active License Success } \\ & \%\end{aligned}$


## Active Licenses


$\square$ PR412 - Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR412-SOUTH ROCK SPRINGS

| Year | Pre Pop | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tot } \\ & \text { CIs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 6,100 | 134 | 352 | 486 | 33\% | 694 | 47\% | 309 | 21\% | 1,489 | 1,288 | 19 | 51 | 70 | $\pm 0$ | 45 | $\pm 0$ | 26 |
| 2010 | 6,800 | 113 | 302 | 415 | 24\% | 951 | 54\% | 386 | 22\% | 1,752 | 1,270 | 12 | 32 | 44 | $\pm 4$ | 41 | $\pm 3$ | 28 |
| 2011 | 6,350 | 114 | 274 | 388 | 21\% | 1,045 | 57\% | 404 | 22\% | 1,837 | 1,084 | 11 | 26 | 37 | $\pm 3$ | 39 | $\pm 3$ | 28 |
| 2012 | 6,300 | 120 | 268 | 388 | 21\% | 936 | 51\% | 505 | 28\% | 1,829 | 931 | 13 | 29 | 41 | $\pm 3$ | 54 | $\pm 4$ | 38 |
| 2013 | 7,450 | 119 | 256 | 375 | 22\% | 848 | 50\% | 482 | 28\% | 1,705 | 944 | 14 | 30 | 44 | $\pm 4$ | 57 | $\pm 5$ | 39 |
| 2014 | 9,139 | 144 | 195 | 339 | 22\% | 724 | 47\% | 480 | 31\% | 1,543 | 1,773 | 20 | 27 | 47 | $\pm 5$ | 66 | $\pm 6$ | 45 |

## Hunt

Area Type | SEASON DATES |
| :---: |
| Opens | Closes $\quad$ Quota Limitations

$591 \quad$ Sept. 20 Oct. $31 \quad 250 \quad$ Limited quota; any antelope
$1121 \quad$ Sept. $20 \quad$ Oct. $31 \quad 100 \quad$ Limited quota; any antelope

| Archery: $\quad$ Aug. $15 \quad$ Sept. 19 | Refer to license type and limitations in <br> Section 3. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herd Unit <br> Total |  | None |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: 6,500
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~8,800
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~8,900

The post-season population objective for the South Rock Springs pronghorn herd is 6,500 animals under recreational management. The objective for this herd was changed to its current level in 2002. The objective was reviewed in the summer of 2013, when no changes were made.

## Herd Unit Issues

The population model for this herd estimates the 2014 post-season population to be a little over 8,800 pronghorn. This estimate is a significant increase from the 2013 and 2012 post-season population estimates of 7,000 and 5,900 animals respectively. This drastic increase in the model estimate does not coincide with field observations and most likely does not represent biological reality. Observations by field personnel and the hunting public suggest that the herd more likely remained stable or has decreased slightly in size over the last few years rather than increased by almost 3,000 animals in just two years. The most likely explanation for the larger population estimate is a combination of slightly increased fawn ratios along with a somewhat higher observed buck to doe ratio in the last couple of years.

## Weather

The mo prominent weather condition present in the South Rock Springs pronghorn herd for the last several years has been dry summer conditions with relatively mild winters. The summer of 2012 was the driest on record in Wyoming and the summer of 2013 was also very. While the summer of 2014 saw substantially better moisture in most of Wyoming, the portion of southwest Wyoming inhabited by this herd was still considered to be experiencing drought conditions by the National Weather Service. Unlike the South Rock Springs deer herd, all indications are that this pronghorn herd has dealt fairly well with these conditions. Multiple years of drought conditions have undoubtedly reduced forage quality and quantity and the severe drought conditions of 2012 and 2013 along with mild drought conditions in 2014, did result in many of the water sources in the herd unit drying up

## Habitat

No habitat transects targeting pronghorn ranges have been conducted in the South Rock Springs pronghorn herd unit. However, the dry summers of 2012 and 2013 have had a negative impact on plant growth in areas of the herd unit below $8,000 \mathrm{ft}$. where the majority of this herd winters. This lack of plant growth in the lower elevation areas of the herd unit might partially explain why significant portions of this herd have chosen to winter in areas outside of their normal winter ranges the past several winters. The dry summers may have resulted in fewer fawns dying to cold, wet conditions during the early summer and could be the cause for the slightly better fawn ratios seen in 2012 and 2013. The summer of 2014 saw better moisture than the previous two summers, but was still considered to be experiencing mild drought conditions. The amount of moisture received did appear to be enough to result in better fawn ratios than have been seen in this herd unit in many years however.

## Field Data

Pre-season classifications conducted in August 2014 resulted in observed fawn to doe ratios of 66 fawns per 100 does. This observed fawn to doe ratio is the highest seen in the herd since 2004, when 66 fawns per 100 does was also seen. Pre-season classifications also resulted in observed buck ratios of 47 total bucks per 100 does for the herd unit as a whole.

## Harvest Data

Harvest statistics for the 2014 hunting season were typical for this herd. Harvest success for the herd unit was $87 \%$ Days per harvest was 3.1 days per harvest during the 2014. A total of 284 pronghorn were harvested in 2014, which is the lowest harvest level in recent years. This can be explained by fewer Type 1 licenses being offered, along with no Type 6 licenses in the herd unit.

## Population

The model for this population has tracked fairly well with field observations of this herd until 2013, when the post-season population estimate moved in a direction counter to the field observations of managers and the public. The model performance in 2014 is even worse than in 2013, with the model "running away" and forecasting a simply unrealistic growth rate. The growth predicted by the model of almost 3,000 animals in just two years is simply not possible given the fawn ratios and habitat conditions in this herd unit. The unrealistic estimates given by the model in the last two years suggest that this model is no longer reliable, and should not be considered an accurate estimate of this population.

The last useable line-transect survey on this herd was conducted in 2005, and the lack of recent anchor points may partially explain why this model has allowed the population estimates for this herd to increase. A line-transect survey may be useful for estimating the size of this herd. The time-specific juvenile survival model was selected for this herd because of its relative AIC value and because that model best fit the field observations of the population and the biology of the species.

## Management Summary

The proposed season for 2015 is identical to the 2014 season. The lack of reliability of the model combined with field observations of a relatively stable population suggest that the most prudent course of action would to maintain the current season structure until data suggest that a change is needed. A line transect survey is scheduled for this spring.




## Prehunt Juvenile / 100 Female










| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR414-BITTER CREEK |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 57-58 |  | PREPARED BY: TONY MONG |
| 2009-2013 Average | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 9,469 | 8,517 | 9,272 |
| Harvest: 254 | 250 | 270 |
| Hunters: 273 | 244 | 273 |
| Hunter Success: 93\% | 102\% | 99\% |
| Active Licenses: 278 | 261 | 278 |
| Active License Success: 91\% | 96\% | 97\% |
| Recreation Days: 874 | 756 | 800 |
| Days Per Animal: 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Males per 100 Females 54 | 55 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 39 | 59 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 25000 (20000-30000) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Special |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -65.9\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rec | end: | 20 |
| Model Date: |  | 03/02/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 0\% | 0.4\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 6.5\% | 11.7\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 0\% | 0\% |
| Total: | 2.0\% | 3\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | 1.0\% | 10\% |



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR414 - Hunter Success \%
 PR414 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR414 - Active Licenses


Days Per Animal Harvested
$\square$ PR414 - Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


## 2015 HUNTING SEASON

SPECIES: Pronghorn
HERD UNIT : Bitter Creek (414)
HUNT AREAS: 57, 58

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Opens of Season | Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 57 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 31 | 250 | Limited Quota | Any antelope |
|  | 7 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 25 | Limited Quota | Doe or fawn valid on or <br> within one (1) mile of <br> private land south of <br> Carbon County Road |
|  |  |  |  |  | 700 and east of Carbon <br> County Road 730 |  |
| 58 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 31 30 | Limited Quota | Any antelope <br> Refer to Section 3 |  |
| 57,58 | Archery | Aug. | Sep.19 |  |  |  |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | 1 | +25 |
|  | 7 | 0 |
| 58 | 1 | 0 |
| Herd Unit <br> Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 5}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: 25,000
Management Strategy: Special
2014 End-of-bio-year Estimate: 6,900
2015 Proposed postseason Estimate: 9,200
The Bitter Creek pronghorn herd is significantly below the objective of 25,000 (set in 1993), with a 2014 post-season estimate of 8,500 . Our current management strategy continues to focus on increasing herd size. Since we continue to observe higher buck ratios in area 57, some additional buck harvest opportunity is possible in this area. Therefore, we are increasing type 1 licenses in this area to allow for more opportunity, and will maintain current license levels in hunt area 58 due to lower buck ratios and much lower pronghorn densities. The private land type 7 licenses were successful in curbing minor damage issues on irrigated meadows in the southeastern portion of hunt area 57 , and will be continued.

## Herd Unit Issues

The Bitter Creek herd is facing many challenges through the expansion of the Continental Divide-Creston Junction (CDC), Desolation Flats, and Hiawatha gas fields. Currently there are nearly 9,000 wells in the CDC and an EIS for an additional 8,950 infill wells. A majority of
these wells occur on summer and winter ranges as well as migration routes for the Bitter Creek herd. New developments are continuing to occur in relation to the Desolation Flats development, most notably along the Bitter Creek Rd and the Willow Creek Rim area. A new large pipeline has been built to connect two new compressor stations that will be placed on and near Willow Creek Rim. In addition a new road has been built to facilitate traffic from Wamsutter to Willow Creek Rim, bisecting current winter range and migration routes. This new road has significantly increased the amount of traffic and speeds in areas that had previously seen minimal. The number of proposals to conduct oil and gas development activities on a year-round basis throughout the herd unit is increasing. These landscape level impacts are proving to be a challenge for the pronghorn in the Bitter Creek herd.

Feral horse numbers in this area have impacted wild native ungulates through competition for resources in this exceptionally dry and unproductive landscape. A recent decision to reduce numbers by the Bureau of Land Management due to a legal settlement with private landowners in the checkerboard ownership area will result in less competition and additional habitats for this and other native species using this area.

## Weather

Weather conditions have been quite variable over the last several years. Overall the herd unit has seen above average precipitation in 2014 when compared to 2013 (Figure 1). This increased precipitation should equate to better vegetation in 2015. The 2014-15 winter was an extremely easy winter with low levels of snow fall and higher than average temperatures. Although initially concerning because of the low moisture levels throughout the winter, spring moisture levels have made up for lower winter moisture levels.

Figure 1. A) Percent of normal precipitation for the herd unit from January 2013 to December 2013, B) Percent of normal precipitation for the herd unit from January 2014 to December 2013.
A)

B)


## Habitat

Moisture levels going into and coming out of the winter of 2014-15 has allowed for improved habitat conditions. Increased precipitation during the fall months of 2014 resulted in a late growth opportunity for most vegetation in the herd unit. Animals took advantage of this late growth and went into winter in better than average body condition. An early warming trend following the winter, coupled with improved moisture during the 2015 spring months has resulted in an early green up that persists to this day. Some areas in the herd unit have received precipitation in quantities not observed in many years. Shrub and herbaceous growth is expected to dramatically increase in 2015, which will result in continued improvements in pronghorn production, survival, and herd size.

## Field Data

The last 4 years has seen an average population of around 9,000 pronghorn, significantly below the objective for this herd unit. Very low fawn survival and production (average pre-season fawn:doe ratio since $2010=43: 100$ ) has played the primary role in the inability of this population to recover. Inclimate weather conditions including severe winters and drought are hampering a quick positive population response to low harvest rates in this herd unit. We did see a significant increase in fawn ratios in 2014 (59:100 in 2014 compared to 38:100 in 2013) due to improved precipitation and habitat. Disparity in fawn production and buck ratios between hunt areas 57 and 58 also results in management challenges for the herd. Hunt area 58 has shown extremely low buck ratios in both 2013 and 2014 ( 30 and 42 bucks: 100 does, respectively) compared to hunt area 57 ( 61 and 67 bucks: 100 does, respectively) further illustrating the difference in potential between the two hunt areas. Area 58 tends to pull the overall buck ratio for the herd downward, and makes achieving special management criteria ( $\geq 60$ bucks: 100 does) difficult. This disparity is also evident regarding fawn production. In 2014, hunt area 58 had a much lower fawn ratio ( $53: 100$ does) compared to the more productive hunt area 57 (65 fawns:100 does).

## Harvest Data

Despite lower population levels hunters are still able to find pronghorn to harvest. Overall harvest success is $102 \%$, with a slight difference between hunt areas 57 (102\%) and 58 ( $100 \%$ ). The population has been slow to respond to the low harvest and little to no doe harvest. Over the last 5 years we have harvested less than 50 doe pronghorn out of the entire herd unit yet we continue to see limited population growth due to limited fawn production.

## Population

The current population model estimates the 2014 end-of-bio-year population to be 6,900 animals. Both the CJ, CA and the SCJ, SCA models have almost identical AICc values and very similar population estimates and trend. We chose the SCJ, SCA model based on what we believe to be a better representation of the actual population trend and size based on the line transect estimates obtained in 2003 and 2010 (2009 bio-year) and also on model fit (CJ, CA = 72; SCJ, SCA = 71). It is clear from the spreadsheet model and line transects estimates that this population is well below the population objective. The current post-season estimate for this herd unit is $8,500,66 \%$ below the current objective. This herd unit objective is out for review this spring, and personnel will be recommending a reduction (to reflect reality) from 25,000 to 13,000 . This will allow some growth to levels achieved in the recent past, and is more in line with current habitat potential in this herd.

## Management Summary

Given better habitat conditions and continued conservative seasons, the 2015 hunting season will allow for maximum opportunity to increase this population, while increasing buck harvest in a modest manner.. We are increasing type 1 licenses in hunt area 57 to allow more opportunity because of higher buck ratios. Due to continuing concern with potential damage situations in the SE portion of hunt area 57 , we will continue issuing a minimal number of doe-fawn licenses to address landowner concerns. Despite the low number of licenses available in hunt area 58, it appears pronghorn in this area continue to struggle, and we proposed no change to the hunt area 58 quota. The 2015 harvest strategy should lead to the largest growth potential for the herd, barring major impacts from the landscape level challenges mentioned above.

|  | MODELS SUMMARY | Fit | Relative AICc | Check best model to create report | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CJ,CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival | 62 | 71 | cJ, Ca Model |  |
| SCJ,SCA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival | 53 | 72 | SCJ, SCA Mo |  |
| TSJ,CA | Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival | 48 | 170 | TSJ, CA Model |  |
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## Classification Counts









| 2009-2013 Average | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population: 6,818 | 6,192 | 6,098 |
| Harvest: 1,557 | 1,501 | 1,500 |
| Hunters: 1,609 | 1,551 | 1,500 |
| Hunter Success: 97\% | 97\% | 100 \% |
| Active Licenses: 1,799 | 1,731 | 1,750 |
| Active License Success: 87\% | 87\% | 86 \% |
| Recreation Days: 5,470 | 6,340 | 6,200 |
| Days Per Animal: 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| Males per 100 Females 66 | 63 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 62 | 79 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 6000 (4800-7200) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | 3\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rece | rend: | 0 |
| Model Date: |  | 02/27/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sexlage group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 14.3\% | 13.7\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 28.7\% | 28.5\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 3.2\% | 2.5\% |
| Total: | 13.2\% | 13.0\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | -7.1\% | -1.5\% |

Population Size - Postseason




Days Per Animal Harvested


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cls} \\ & \mathrm{Obj} \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 9,136 | 217 | 453 | 670 | 33\% | 790 | 39\% | 564 | 28\% | 2,024 | 0 | 27 | 57 | 85 | $\pm 6$ | 71 | $\pm 6$ | 39 |
| 2010 | 8,697 | 237 | 593 | 830 | 28\% | 1,234 | 42\% | 905 | 30\% | 2,969 | 0 | 19 | 48 | 67 | $\pm 4$ | 73 | $\pm 4$ | 44 |
| 2011 | 7,614 | 174 | 537 | 711 | 30\% | 1,071 | 45\% | 582 | 25\% | 2,364 | 0 | 16 | 50 | 66 | $\pm 4$ | 54 | $\pm 4$ | 33 |
| 2012 | 6,060 | 114 | 430 | 544 | 26\% | 1,051 | 50\% | 498 | 24\% | 2,093 | 0 | 11 | 41 | 52 | $\pm 4$ | 47 | $\pm 3$ | 31 |
| 2013 | 7,273 | 106 | 475 | 581 | 28\% | 904 | 44\% | 576 | 28\% | 2,061 | 0 | 12 | 53 | 64 | $\pm 5$ | 64 | $\pm 5$ | 39 |
| 2014 | 7,073 | 152 | 511 | 663 | 26\% | 1,058 | 41\% | 838 | 33\% | 2,559 | 0 | 14 | 48 | 63 | $\pm 4$ | 79 | $\pm 5$ | 49 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS

SPECIES: Pronghorn

| Hunt Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Opens | Closes |  |  |  |
| 94 | 1 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 450 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 250 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
|  | 7 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 200 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn valid on or within one (1) mile of irrigated lands. |
| 98 | 1 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 200 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 300 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
| 100 | 1 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 200 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
|  | 7 | Sept. 10 | Oct. 31 | 100 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn valid west of the Bear River Divide |
| $\begin{aligned} & 94, \\ & 98, \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Archery | Aug. 15 | Sept. 9 |  |  | Refer to Section 3 of this chapter |


| Hunt <br> Area | License <br> Type | Quota change <br> from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herd Unit |  |  |
| Total |  |  |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 6,000
Management Strategy: Recreation
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~6,192
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~6,098

## Herd Unit Issues

Energy development on crucial habitat is a looming issue for this herd. Development is present and has had impacts to habitats in the eastern portion of the herd unit. The hunt areas in this herd are very different in several characteristics. Hunt Area 94 is more xeric and has classic pronghorn habitat. Hunt Areas 98 and 100 have more hilly terrain, are slightly wetter and are very important winter range for the Wyoming Range mule deer herd. A large number of mule deer migrate into that area to winter on shrub browse. Therefore, we manage for low pronghorn numbers in 98 and 100 to reduce browse competition for mule deer. The herd unit has a split objective of 5,000 antelope in Hunt Area 94 and 1,000 antelope in Hunt Areas 98 and 100 combined.

In some years, high recruitment rates can make it difficult to maintain this population at a low level. This is especially true in Hunt Areas 98 and 100 where the desired population is approximately 1,000 antelope, which is less than 1 antelope per square mile. In recent years licenses were increased substantially. However, due to low antelope densities hunter success is usually lower than adjacent areas.

Throughout the herd unit there is a low tolerance for the presence of pronghorn on some of the private land holdings. Conflict with agriculture producers can be a primary issue for this herd. Damage complaints primarily occur on irrigated lands during the summer and early fall. However, irrigated lands are uncommon relative to native ranges. Significant efforts have been made by field personnel to target harvest toward those problems. Perceived reduction in livestock forage due to pronghorn foraging is an issue commonly brought up. However dietary overlap and pronghorn use is often negligible in native rangelands.

## Weather

Weather during 2014 and into 2015 was highly variable. In the early part of 2014 the winter was very mild and dry. A moist spring and summer followed. In late August and into September precipitation continued. The winter of 2014-2015 has been very mild to this point. The winters of 2011/12, 2012/13 and 2013/14 were also mild with low snowpack resulting in good over winter survival. However, the dry springs and summers of 2012 and 2013 negatively impacted summer and winter range forage production. Fawn survival suffered from the extremely dry conditions. Conditions were better at the higher elevations in hunt areas 98 and 100. Pronghorn distribution was greatly affected by the drought during those times.

## Habitat

Habitat data collection has been inconsistently collected in this herd unit and has been absent in the recent past. A new effort is underway to resume data collection.

## Field Data

Fawn ratios in this Herd Unit have been very good in the past, averaging over 75:100 from 20072010. During that time observed ratios ranged from 73:100 in 2010 to 83:100 in 2007. This population had been suppressed by harvest due to a low overall objective for the herd unit when compared to carrying capacity. This explained the productive nature of the herd. However, the 2011 herd unit fawn:doe ratio data was significantly lower at 54:100 and even lower in 2012 at 47:100. These are the lowest fawn:doe ratios in over 12 years. The harsh winter conditions in the winter of 2010/11 decreased doe condition enough to cause poor fawn production in 2011 and the extremely dry conditions in 2012 caused significant observed preseason fawn mortality. In 2013 and 2014 Herd Unit fawn ratios rebounded greatly to 64:100 in 2013 and 79:100 in 2014.

Line transect survey data was most recently conducted in 2014 in Hunt Area 94. Hunt areas 98 and 100 are not conducive to this type of survey due to low antelope densities and broken terrain. Hunt Area 94 is difficult to attain minimum sample sizes with this type of survey. An increased effort was made in 2011 and 2014 to survey HA 94 with high enough intensity to develop a better estimate. The Hunt area 94 population had been declining for several years due to aggressive harvest strategies. That harvest has been reduced slightly and we have now leveled off at or near objective.

## Harvest Data

Doe/fawn harvest opportunity was increased every year for several years in area 94. The 2009, 2010 and 2011 season structures offered substantially increased doe/fawn harvest opportunity to try to reduce that part of the herd and reduce damage problems on irrigated lands. Those seasons allowed significant doe/fawn harvest. These hunts have had very good success rates. This management framework along with two years of poor fawn production has brought this population near to objective.

In 2010 we altered the area 100 type 7 licenses. They are valid for doe/fawn antelope in the portion of area 100 west of the Bear River Divide. This was to address concentrations of antelope on private land near Evanston and to focus more harvest on animals in potential competition with mule deer. Since increasing doe/fawn harvest substantially over the years in area 100 the antelope population in area 100 has significantly declined, as was intended. Success rates in HA 100 are lower than adjacent hunt areas including area 98, which is also managed for low antelope densities.

## Population

A total Herd Unit 419 (Carter Lease) model is very unreliable due to much different population parameters in Hunt Areas 98 and 100 compared to Hunt Area 94. Additionally the line transect survey method does not fit with hunt areas 98 and 100. It makes sense to model Hunt Area 94 only. The HA 94 population model is presented. Efforts have been made to tighten line transect estimates and we now have two estimates with tight confidence intervals. The current model tracks very well and we have fairly good confidence in the estimates. Model results are presented for hunt area 94 only. Herd unit population estimates are reported as the HA94 model plus 1,000 animals to account for the populations we are unable to model in HA 98 and 100. The TSJ,CA model was selected due to its excellent fit with the data, a reasonably low relative AICc score, proper population dynamics fit with the nature of this herd and the population estimate appears to be reasonable. Another reason we have good confidence in the strength of this model is that all three model variations produce a very similar population estimate.

In the future it will be imperative that we obtain a reliable population estimate periodically through line transect surveys to check the status of the herd and anchor the model. With this it is likely that we can continue to provide a good population model and track the trend of this population. Without this it will be unclear if our current harvest levels can be sustained or if we are on the right management track relative to objective. In 2012 the Department switched from POPII models to an Excel spreadsheet model. Since these are new models they are going to be under development and subject to extensive refining. They will likely change over time with new data.

Currently the model is estimating we have around 5,192 pronghorn following the 2014 season in hunt area 94 . This is very near the population objective of 5,000 animals for that area. The model estimates that we were on a steep downward trend from 2009 to 2012. This was due to a severe winter in 2010/11, very poor fawn production in 2011/2012 and harvest designed to
reduce the population. The population reduction was substantiated by reductions in classification sample sizes and field observations. Since 2012 we have relaxed harvest slightly and had very mild winters. This has rebounded the population to objective levels. This herd has the potential for rapid growth as consecutive years with high fawns ratios have occurred in the past. Therefore, adequate female harvest has been needed to curtail growth.

## Management Summary

For 2015 we will leave the Herd Unit at the same license numbers and season structure as 2014. All areas in the Herd Unit have ample hunting opportunity. We are now right at the objective in Hunt Area 94 according to the model and striving to maintain very low antelope densities in Areas 98 and 100. We will maintain levels of type 7 harvest in hunt area 94 to alleviate damage concerns on irrigated lands. The Objective and management strategy were last revised in 2000 and are scheduled to be revised again in 2015.

Model

| INPUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species: <br> Biologist: <br> Herd Unit \& No.: <br> Model date: |  | Pronghorn <br> Jeff Short <br> Carter Lease PR419 <br> 02212715 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ Clear form |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MODELS SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fit | Relative AICc | Check best model <br> to create report Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CJ,CA Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival <br> SCJ,SCA Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival <br> TSJ,CA Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 117 \\ & 123 \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126 \\ & 137 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | cJ.CA Model SCJ.SCAN <br> TSJ.CA Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Estimates from Top Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Predicted Prehunt Population (year |  |  | Total | Predicted Posthunt Population (year $i$ ) |  |  | Total | Predicted adult End-of-bio-year Pop (year Total Males Females Total Adults |  |  | LT Population Estimate |  | Trend Count | Objective |
|  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  |  |  |  | Field Est | Field SE |  |  |
| 1993 | 267 | 1584 | 2418 | 4268 | 255 | 821 | 2151 | 3227 | 831 | 2124 | 2955 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 1994 | 1318 | 815 | 2081 | 4214 | 1318 | 705 | 2079 | 4102 | 1052 | 2356 | 3409 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 1995 | 1948 | 1031 | 2309 | 5288 | 1948 | 919 | 2298 | 5165 | 1255 | 2563 | 3819 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 1996 | 1860 | 1230 | 2512 | 5602 | 1860 | 1104 | 2507 | 5470 | 1772 | 3104 | 4876 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 1997 | 2511 | 1737 | 3042 | 7289 | 2499 | 1596 | 2999 | 7094 | 2000 | 3331 | 5330 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 1998 | 2668 | 1960 | 3264 | 7892 | 2650 | 1754 | 3190 | 7595 | 2238 | 3602 | 5841 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 1999 | 2735 | 2193 | 3530 | 8459 | 2718 | 1927 | 3347 | 7992 | 2346 | 3691 | 6037 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2000 | 1566 | 2299 | 3617 | 7482 | 1528 | 1903 | 3195 | 6625 | 2064 | 3279 | 5343 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2001 | 2308 | 2022 | 3214 | 7544 | 2292 | 1785 | 3069 | 7146 | 2127 | 3345 | 5472 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2002 | 1357 | 2084 | 3279 | 6720 | 1330 | 1768 | 3052 | 6149 | 1905 | 3123 | 5028 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2003 | 2110 | 1867 | 3060 | 7037 | 2080 | 1546 | 2954 | 6579 | 2198 | 3543 | 5741 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2004 | 2993 | 2154 | 3472 | 8619 | 2967 | 1828 | 3324 | 8119 | 2295 | 3720 | 6015 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2005 | 3071 | 2249 | 3646 | 8966 | 3026 | 1865 | 3365 | 8256 | 2333 | 3755 | 6087 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2006 | 1843 | 2286 | 3680 | 7809 | 1797 | 1842 | 3199 | 6837 | 2054 | 3331 | 5386 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2007 | 2705 | 2013 | 3265 | 7983 | 2661 | 1563 | 2905 | 7129 | 1969 | 3241 | 5209 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2008 | 2312 | 1929 | 3176 | 7417 | 2245 | 1454 | 2841 | 6541 | 2362 | 3680 | 6042 | 7400 | 1837 |  | 5000 |
| 2009 | 2353 | 2314 | 3607 | 8274 | 2298 | 1837 | 3218 | 7353 | 2147 | 3456 | 5603 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2010 | 2351 | 2104 | 3387 | 7842 | 2319 | 1667 | 2993 | 6979 | 2001 | 3255 | 5257 | 5789 | 627 |  | 5000 |
| 2011 | 1610 | 1961 | 3190 | 6762 | 1540 | 1548 | 2754 | 5842 | 1654 | 2781 | 4434 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2012 | 863 | 1621 | 2725 | 5208 | 805 | 1107 | 2181 | 4093 | 1435 | 2599 | 4034 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2013 | 1470 | 1406 | 2547 | 5423 | 1435 | 926 | 2189 | 4550 | 1555 | 2693 | 4247 | 4092 | 571 |  | 5000 |
| 2014 | 1911 | 1524 | 2639 | 6073 | 1843 | 1087 | 2263 | 5192 | 1573 | 2702 | 4275 |  |  |  | 5000 |
| 2015 | 1761 | 1541 | 2648 | 5951 | 1712 | 1101 | 2285 | 5098 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5000 |



| Classification Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Juvenile/Female Ratio |  |  | Total Male/Female Ratio |  |  | Males | Females | Juveniles | Total Harvest | Segment Harvest Rate (\% |  |
| Year | Derived Esi | Field Est | Field SE | Derived Est | Field Est | Field SE |  |  |  |  | Total Males | Females |
| 1993 |  | 11.03 | 1.49 | 65.50 | 62.03 | 4.26 | 693 | 242 | 11 | 946 | 48.1 | 11.0 |
| 1994 |  | 63.32 | 6.32 | 39.15 | 41.31 | 4.75 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 102 | 13.5 | 0.1 |
| 1995 |  | 84.35 | 7.27 | 44.65 | 47.28 | 4.87 | 102 | 10 | 0 | 112 | 10.9 | 0.5 |
| 1996 |  | 74.04 | 5.93 | 48.96 | 46.72 | 4.33 | 115 | 5 | 0 | 120 | 10.3 | 0.2 |
| 1997 |  | 82.53 | 6.18 | 57.08 | 60.25 | 4.94 | 128 | 39 | 11 | 178 | 8.1 | 1.4 |
| 1998 |  | 81.74 | 6.56 | 60.04 | 56.52 | 5.06 | 187 | 67 | 16 | 270 | 10.5 | 2.3 |
| 1999 |  | 77.47 | 5.90 | 62.13 | 91.14 | 6.64 | 242 | 167 | 15 | 424 | 12.1 | 5.2 |
| 2000 |  | 43.30 | 3.72 | 63.56 | 54.02 | 4.31 | 360 | 384 | 35 | 779 | 17.2 | 11.7 |
| 2001 |  | 71.84 | 6.25 | 62.93 | 70.25 | 6.15 | 216 | 131 | 15 | 362 | 11.7 | 4.5 |
| 2002 |  | 41.40 | 3.69 | 63.58 | 57.21 | 4.57 | 288 | 206 | 25 | 519 | 15.2 | 6.9 |
| 2003 |  | 68.95 | 5.54 | 61.00 | 61.05 | 5.09 | 292 | 97 | 27 | 416 | 17.2 | 3.5 |
| 2004 |  | 86.22 | 7.95 | 62.06 | 85.04 | 7.87 | 297 | 134 | 24 | 455 | 15.2 | 4.2 |
| 2005 |  | 84.23 | 5.91 | 61.70 | 64.64 | 4.90 | 349 | 255 | 41 | 645 | 17.1 | 7.7 |
| 2006 |  | 50.09 | 3.64 | 62.13 | 60.67 | 4.15 | 404 | 437 | 42 | 883 | 19.4 | 13.1 |
| 2007 |  | 82.87 | 6.52 | 61.67 | 73.88 | 6.01 | 409 | 327 | 40 | 776 | 22.3 | 11.0 |
| 2008 |  | 72.81 | 4.06 | 60.75 | 51.24 | 3.18 | 432 | 304 | 61 | 797 | 24.6 | 10.5 |
| 2009 |  | 65.23 | 4.50 | 64.17 | 87.03 | 5.53 | 434 | 353 | 50 | 837 | 20.6 | 10.8 |
| 2010 |  | 69.40 | 3.61 | 62.11 | 64.86 | 3.44 | 397 | 358 | 29 | 784 | 20.8 | 11.6 |
| 2011 |  | 50.48 | 3.02 | 61.49 | 62.86 | 3.51 | 376 | 396 | 64 | 836 | 21.1 | 13.7 |
| 2012 |  | 31.65 | 2.29 | 59.47 | 46.28 | 2.92 | 467 | 495 | 495 | 1014 | 31.7 | 20.0 |
| 2013 |  | 57.70 | 3.76 | 55.19 | 59.88 | 3.86 | 436 | 326 | 326 | 794 | 34.1 | 14.1 |
| 2014 |  | 72.41 | 3.81 | 57.74 | 62.40 | 3.43 | 397 | - 342 | 342 | 801 | 28.7 | 14.3 |
| 2015 |  | 66.51 | 3.59 | 58.19 | 63.37 | 3.47 |  |  | 330 | 775 | 28.6 | 13.7 |





| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR438 - BAGGS |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 53, 55 |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{2009-\mathbf{2 0 1 3} \text { Average }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 4}}$ | PREPARED BY: TONY MONG |
| Population: | 7,505 | 8,566 | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 5} \text { Proposed }}$ |
| Harvest: | 193 | 192 | 8,797 |
| Hunters: | 206 | 207 | 225 |
| Hunter Success: | $94 \%$ | $93 \%$ | 235 |
| Active Licenses: | 218 | 219 | $96 \%$ |
| Active License Success: | $89 \%$ | $88 \%$ | 245 |
| Recreation Days: | 607 | 684 | $92 \%$ |
| Days Per Animal: | 3.1 | 3.6 | 750 |
| Males per 100 Females | 55 | 45 | 3.3 |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 60 | 56 |  |

Management Strategy:
Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective:
Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend:
Model Date:
Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):
连

Recreational
-4.8\%
11
03/02/2015
JCR Year

## Proposed

| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | $0.9 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | $7.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | $0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total: | $2.15 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| post-season population: | $2.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |

## Population Size - Postseason

$\square$ PR 438 - POPULATION - PR438-OBJECTIVE



## Number of Hunters



## Harvest Success



## Active Licenses
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## 2015 HUNTING SEASON

HERD UNIT : Baggs (438)
HUNT AREAS: 53,55

## Dates of Season

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota | License | Limitations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 53 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 31 | 100 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 31 | 75 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn |
|  | 7 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 25 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn valid on <br> or within one (1) mile <br> of irrigated land |
| 55 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Sep. 31 | 100 | Limited quota | Any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota | Doe or fawn <br> Refer to Section 3 |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 6 | +75 |
|  | 7 | 0 |
| 55 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 6 | +25 |
| Herd Unit Total | 1 | 0 |
|  | 6 | +100 |
|  | 7 | 0 |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: 9,000
Management Strategy: Recreation
2014 End-of-bio-year Estimate: 6,700
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 8,800

The Baggs Pronghorn Herd is nearing the objective of 9,000 (set in 1993), and our current management strategy is to maintain current population levels. Buck ratios remain within recreational management guidelines, but concerns exist in the southern portion of the herd unit (Area 53), where limited access concerns occur. Consequently, Type 1 license issuance will remain the same as last year despite the fact more opportunity is available on a herd unit basis. Since the herd is now at objective, some female harvest is warranted to maintain the herd at objective. Therefore, we are proposing an additional 100 doe/fawn licenses across the herd unit.

## Herd Unit Issues

Throughout the Baggs Pronghorn Herd we continue to see increasing development of oil and gas fields associated with the Atlantic Rim Project. Construction of the largest wind turbine project in North America, the Chokecherry-Sierra Madre Wind Project, should begin within two years. Hunt area 53 consists primarily of public land and remains relatively open to hunting. However, area 55 has significant access concerns due to checkerboard ownership and outfitter leases.

## Weather

Weather conditions have been quite variable in this herd unit during recent years, ranging from severe winter weather to long-term drought. Conditions have improved dramatically over the past year. Overall, the herd unit has seen higher than normal precipitation in 2014 (Figure 1), when compared to 2013. This increase in moisture should equate to better vegetation in 2015. The 2014-15 winter was extremely mild, with low levels of snowfall and higher than average temperatures throughout winter. Although initially concerning because of the low winter precipitation, 2015 spring moisture levels seem to have more than made up for this shortfall.

Figure 1. A) Percent of normal precipitation for the herd unit from January 2013 to December 2013, B) Percent of normal precipitation for the herd unit from January 2014 to December 2013.
A)

B)


## Habitat

Precipitation during 2014-15 has resulted in dramatically improved habitat conditions. The increase in moisture and mild temperatures during the fall months of 2014 resulted in a late growth opportunity for vegetation in the herd unit, and pronghorn benefitted through increased body condition prior to the 2014-15 winter. An early warming trend following this winter, coupled with regular moisture through the 2015 spring months, resulted in an early green up, persisting through today. Some areas in the herd unit received more moisture than observed for many years.

## Field Data

Beginning with the severe winter of 2007-08, inclement weather conditions, including droughts and severe winters resulted in a fairly slow recovery for Baggs pronghorn. However, recent higher fawn ratios ( 5 -year average $60: 100$ ), favorable winters, and very conservative hunting seasons have allowed this herd to reach objective, and more liberal seasons are warranted in the future. We continue to see disparate adult buck ratios between hunt areas 53 (5-year average 29:100) and 55 (5-year average 47:100), due in large part to differences in access and harvest rates. Fawn production over the last 4 years $(60: 100)$ has been high compared to the previous 10 years (52:100).

## Harvest Data

The disparity between buck ratios in Areas 53 and 55 is apparent within the harvest data. Hunt area 55 has a higher hunter success rate (hunter success $=98 \%$ ) when compared to hunt area 53 (hunter success $=87 \%$ ). However, success rates in Area 53 are consistent with most other public land recreational management areas. The lower hunter success leads local managers to believe that hunters are either not finding bucks, or (more likely) are not finding a buck of suitably large size. In either case, the proposed 2015 hunting season reflects our concern with buck numbers in this southern portion of the herd unit (Area 53), and continues to recognize access concerns in the northern portion (Area 55). Conservative harvest of females and increased fawn production has been successful at increasing population numbers and will allow for additional hunter opportunity in the coming years.

## Population

The current population model estimates the 2014 end-of-bio-year population to be 6,700 animals. The CJ, CA model was selected based on the lowest AICc value and what we believe to be a good representation of the actual population trend and size. However, results are inconsistent with the most recent line transect estimate (2012), suggesting the model is conservative. Despite efforts to parameterize the model to try and fit the 2012 line transect estimate, efforts were not successful. I have a high level of confidence in the line transect data collected in 2012. Although the model shows a population nearing the objective, I believe we have already reached that objective. A survey next year is warranted to further calibrate the spreadsheet model.

## Management Summary

The challenge with managing this herd is driven by the disparity in buck ratios and access between the two hunt areas, coupled with an increasing population. Because of the overall population levels, we are going to maintain population levels near the objective through
increased female harvest, but are maintaining buck harvest opportunity at 2014 levels due to access and buck ratio disparity. It is likely additional opportunity will be possible in the near future, particularly given expected increases in fawns with the exceptional conditions this year is bringing. Impacts brought on by development are expected to continue in this herd, and will continue to be monitored to document impacts.

|  | MODELS SUMMARY | Fit | Relative AICc | Check best model to create report | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CJ, CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival | 125 | 134 | cJ, CA Model |  |
| SCJ,SCA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival | 116 | 139 | SCJ, SCA Mo |  |
| TSJ,CA | Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival | 81 | 200 | $\square$ TSJ, CA Model |  |


| Population Estimates from Top Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predicted P | Prehunt Popul | ion (yeari) | Total | Predicted P | unt Populati | (year i) | Total | Predicted ad | f-bio-ye | ar Pop (year | LT Popula | Estimate | Trend Count |
| Year | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Total Males | Females | Total Adults | Field Est | Field SE |  |
| 1993 | 2842 | 3558 | 7745 | 14144 | 2712 | 2550 | 6311 | 11574 | 2782 | 6189 | 8970 |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 2779 | 2726 | 6065 | 11570 | 2753 | 2395 | 5905 | 11053 | 2748 | 5987 | 8735 |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1604 | 2693 | 5867 | 10164 | 1604 | 2335 | 5867 | 9806 | 2450 | 5728 | 8178 |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 2164 | 2401 | 5613 | 10179 | 2164 | 2214 | 5594 | 9972 | 2478 | 5596 | 8074 |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 2088 | 2429 | 5484 | 10001 | 2080 | 2288 | 5440 | 9808 | 2530 | 5432 | 7962 |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 2881 | 2480 | 5323 | 10685 | 2879 | 2335 | 5278 | 10492 | 2746 | 5457 | 8204 |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 2942 | 2691 | 5348 | 10981 | 2939 | 2459 | 5327 | 10726 | 2865 | 5518 | 8382 |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2771 | 2807 | 5407 | 10986 | 2771 | 2507 | 5325 | 10603 | 2866 | 5474 | 8340 |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2561 | 2809 | 5364 | 10734 | 2557 | 2590 | 5320 | 10467 | 2903 | 5426 | 8328 |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 2988 | 2845 | 5317 | 11150 | 2986 | 2499 | 5247 | 10732 | 2900 | 5449 | 8348 |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 2290 | 2842 | 5340 | 10471 | 2282 | 2417 | 5288 | 9987 | 2663 | 5335 | 7998 |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 2804 | 2610 | 5229 | 10643 | 2793 | 2120 | 5128 | 10041 | 2493 | 5292 | 7785 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 3478 | 2443 | 5186 | 11107 | 3457 | 1891 | 4840 | 10188 | 2416 | 5143 | 7559 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2520 | 2368 | 5040 | 9928 | 2473 | 1745 | 4361 | 8579 | 2056 | 4450 | 6506 |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 2308 | 2015 | 4361 | 8684 | 2240 | 1410 | 3852 | 7501 | 1693 | 3944 | 5637 | 4681 | 676 |  |
| 2008 | 1751 | 1659 | 3865 | 7275 | 1745 | 1467 | 3810 | 7021 | 1700 | 3863 | 5563 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 2296 | 1666 | 3786 | 7748 | 2289 | 1476 | 3743 | 7508 | 1826 | 3920 | 5746 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2452 | 1789 | 3842 | 8083 | 2446 | 1595 | 3800 | 7841 | 1968 | 4007 | 5975 | 7791 | 1155 |  |
| 2011 | 2383 | 1929 | 3927 | 8238 | 2376 | 1762 | 3885 | 8024 | 2133 | 4110 | 6244 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 2352 | 2090 | 4028 | 8470 | 2352 | 1944 | 4023 | 8319 | 2223 | 4190 | 6413 |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 2401 | 2179 | 4106 | 8685 | 2401 | 1982 | 4094 | 8476 | 2352 | 4232 | 6584 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 2330 | 2305 | 4148 | 8783 | 2324 | 2144 | 4097 | 8566 | 2435 | 4269 | 6703 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 2449 | 2386 | 4183 | 9018 | 2443 | 2208 | 4146 | 8797 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Survival and Initial Population Estimates

| Parameters: | Optim cells |
| :---: | :---: |
| Juvenile Survival = | 0.422 |
| Adult Survival = | 0.899 |
| Initial Total Male Pop/10,000 $=$ | 0.356 |
| Lnitial Female Pop/10,000 = | 0.774 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| MODEL ASSUMPTIONS |  |
| Sex Ratio (\% Males) = | 50\% |
| Wounding Loss (total males) = | 10\% |
| Wounding Loss (females) = | 10\% |
| Wounding Loss (juveniles) = | 10\% |
| Over-summer adult survival | 98\% |






