SPECIES: Pronghorn
HERD: PR202 - BIG HORN
HUNT AREAS: 79

PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015

PREPARED BY: LESLIE SCHREIBER

|  | SCHREIBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{2009-2013}{\text { Average }}$ | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Harvest: | 39 | 49 | 64 |
| Hunters: | 52 | 58 | 73 |
| Hunter Success: | 75\% | 84\% | 88 \% |
| Active Licenses: | 55 | 72 | 87 |
| Active License Success: | 71\% | 68\% | 74 \% |
| Recreation Days: | 206 | 354 | 375 |
| Days Per Animal: | 5.3 | 7.2 | 5.9 |
| Males per 100 Females | 52 | 66 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 55 | 113 |  |

Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) :

## Management Strategy:

Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective:
Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend:

## Model Date:

Recreational
N/A\%
0

Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):

JCR Year

| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | na\% | na\% |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | na\% | na\% |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | na\% | na\% |
| Total: | na\% | na\% |
| post-season population: | na\% | na\% |




## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR202 - Active Licenses


Days Per Animal Harvested


## Preseason Animals per 100 Females



| 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for Pronghorn Herd PR202-BIG HORN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Tot <br> Cls | Cls <br> Obj | YIng | Adult | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Conf } \\ \hline \text { Int } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 100 Fem | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| 2009 | 0 | 23 | 43 | 66 | 27\% | 120 | 48\% | 63 | 25\% | 249 | 0 | 19 | 36 | 55 | $\pm 0$ | 52 | $\pm 0$ | 34 |
| 2010 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 25 | 19\% | 72 | 54\% | 36 | 27\% | 133 | 0 | 8 | 26 | 35 | $\pm 0$ | 50 | $\pm 0$ | 37 |
| 2011 | 0 | 24 | 46 | 70 | 31\% | 96 | 42\% | 63 | 28\% | 229 | 268 | 25 | 48 | 73 | $\pm 0$ | 66 | $\pm 0$ | 38 |
| 2012 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 24\% | 162 | 48\% | 94 | 28\% | 336 | 0 | 19 | 31 | 49 | $\pm 0$ | 58 | $\pm 0$ | 39 |
| 2013 | 0 | 28 | 43 | 71 | 24\% | 145 | 50\% | 74 | 26\% | 290 | 248 | 19 | 30 | 49 | $\pm 0$ | 51 | $\pm 0$ | 34 |
| 2014 | 0 | 19 | 38 | 57 | 24\% | 87 | 36\% | 98 | 40\% | 242 | 0 | 22 | 44 | 66 | $\pm 0$ | 113 | $\pm 0$ | 68 |

# 2015 Hunting Seasons <br> Big Horn Pronghorn Herd Unit (PR202) 

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Opens | Closes |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Quota | Limitations |  |  |  |
| 79 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 | 15 | Limited quota; any antelope valid on <br> or within one-half $(1 / 2)$ mile of <br> irrigated land |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on <br> or within one-half $(1 / 2)$ mile of <br> irrigated land |
| Archery: | 9 | Aug. 15 | Sep. 30 | 30 | Limited quota; any antelope, archery <br> only |
| 79 |  | Not applicable |  |  |  |


| Area | Type | Quota changes from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 1 | +15 |
| Total |  | +15 |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: none
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: none
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: none
Herd Unit Issues. Management of this herd unit using a population objective was eliminated in 2001 due to insufficient sample sizes obtained during classification surveys. Without adequate samples, sex and age ratios were unreliable and inadequate for population modeling using Pop-II software. There have been no line transect surveys conducted in this herd unit to obtain an independent population estimate due to the small population and limited flight budgets. No management goals (e.g., count objectives, satisfaction) were established for this herd due to lack of data. This herd will be reviewed in 2016 and management goals will be established.

Weather. Habitat quality is probably most affected by desert-like conditions ( $<12$ " annual precipitation) and poor soils. Both of those factors have allowed cheatgrass to invade and dominate some sites. Drought is the most important factor influencing survival and productivity of this pronghorn herd. Drought conditions occurred in 2000-04 and 2012. Affects of drought on upland vegetation resulted in a shift of pronghorn to agricultural fields where landowners have a low tolerance. In response, the number of doe/fawn licenses was increased throughout the herd unit in 2012. Growing season precipitation in 2014 was slightly below average, but excellent vegetation growth was observed overall in the Bighorn Basin.

Habitat. Dry conditions and poor soils across most of the herd unit resulted in marginal habitat for pronghorn. Saltbush and mixed shrub communities dominate the area. Sagebrush improves in quantity and quality with increased precipitation, higher elevation, and better soils on the east side of the herd unit; however, few pronghorn occur in the "best" habitat. Most pronghorn in the herd unit concentrate around irrigation canals and stock dams. Bentonite mining has been
expanding toward and into the best remaining stands of sagebrush on the west side of the herd unit. The 2 shrub transects established in this herd unit (Brokenback, Alkali) were located outside of areas used extensively by pronghorn in order to monitor deer browsing.

Field Data. The fawn:doe ratio obtained from the 2014 classification survey (113:100) was the highest in 27 years of records. Total number of pronghorn classified in 2014 ( $\mathrm{n}=242$ ) was average (2009-2014: $\mathrm{n}=247$ ). The buck:doe ratio in 2014 ( $66: 100$ ) was also above the 6 -year average ( $55: 100$ ). Both buck ratios and fawn ratios were showing a slight downward trend since the mid-1990s until a large increase in both ratios in 2011 and now again in 2014. However, the amount of effort (hours) to survey pronghorn in this herd unit has not been constant over the years, so trends in classification survey data should not be taken to represent trends in the overall population. This herd unit has been a low priority and classification data was not always collected. As noted, small sample sizes resulted in sex and age ratios that were not an accurate representation of the entire population. Although more data has been collected since 2006, sample sizes were insufficient in some years.

Harvest Data. Trends in hunting statistics do not suggest a clear trend in the population. From 1995-2014, recreation days and days per harvested animal have large fluctuations depending on if and how many doe/fawn licenses were issued. Considering only the archery licenses, hunter success has been increasing since 2005. Days per harvest have been trending downward, as has total recreation days, but to a lesser degree. Those statistics suggest that archery hunting for bucks has gotten easier and/or the population has been increasing. For the harvest survey, 33/72 (46\%) active hunters responded indicating $75 \%$ satisfaction and $9 \%$ dissatisfaction.

Population. Preliminary attempts to construct a reliable population spreadsheet model have been marginally successful. Since 2006, more pronghorn have been observed during classification surveys ( $>200$ animals in most years); thus, more accurate sex and age ratios were expected. However, modeling this herd unit as 1 distinct population may not be possible, because this herd unit is very large with low densities of animals concentrated near private land throughout the unit. The current hunt area was created from 2 hunt areas $(116,79)$ that were managed alike for the last 10 years then combined in 2013 to simplify the regulations. In these areas, classification data between old hunt areas suggests differences in juvenile and adult survival, and minimal movement between them, suggesting that the model's assumptions are likely violated.

Management Summary. The Big Horn pronghorn herd is a small population ( $<300$ animals), so only limited archery hunting has been historically offered, except with the arrival of doe/fawn (Type 6) licenses to address crop depredation. Several landowners have been requesting to hunt pronghorn bucks with rifles in this area for several years, and given trends suggesting this population is increasing, we are introducing 15 "any" antelope (Type 1) licenses valid within $1 / 2$ mile of irrigated land to provide more opportunity in a growing herd. With our record fawn ratios and high buck ratios in 2014, field personnel believe that these licenses would not harm the population. We have received opposition to this license from archery hunters that traditionally hunt in the area. To continue addressing depredation to irrigated crops, no change to doe/fawn licenses are proposed. Although quantity and quality of data is lacking, it appears the Big Horn pronghorn herd has been increasing, but the population remains low.


2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR203-COPPER MOUNTAIN |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 76, 114-115 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |
| 2009-2013 Average | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 3,759 | 2,442 | 2,219 |
| Harvest: 679 | 677 | 550 |
| Hunters: 715 | 664 | 600 |
| Hunter Success: 95\% | 102\% | 92\% |
| Active Licenses: 825 | 791 | 650 |
| Active License Success: 82\% | 86\% | 85 \% |
| Recreation Days: 2,854 | 3,052 | 2,600 |
| Days Per Animal: 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Males per 100 Females 49 | 41 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 58 | 89 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 4800 (3840-5760) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -49.1\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rece | rend: | 13 |
| Model Date: |  | 2/11/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 22\% | 18\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 58\% | 59\% |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | 3\% | 2\% |
| Total: | 21\% | 20\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | -1\% | -9\% |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR203 - Hunter Success \% PR203 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days Per Animal Harvested
$\square$ PR203 - Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR203 - COPPER MOUNTAIN

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tot } \\ & \text { Cls } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Fem } \end{gathered}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 5,591 | 0 | 0 | 509 | 24\% | 961 | 46\% | 613 | 29\% | 2,083 | 1,686 | 0 | 0 | 53 | $\pm 4$ | 64 | $\pm 4$ | 42 |
| 2010 | 5,062 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 24\% | 752 | 51\% | 362 | 25\% | 1,472 | 1,172 | 0 | 0 | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 33 |
| 2011 | 4,399 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 25\% | 928 | 50\% | 478 | 26\% | 1,873 | 1,277 | 0 | 0 | 50 | $\pm 4$ | 52 | $\pm 4$ | 34 |
| 2012 | 4,037 | 0 | 326 | 326 | 23\% | 682 | 49\% | 391 | 28\% | 1,399 | 1,285 | 0 | 48 | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 57 | $\pm 5$ | 39 |
| 2013 | 3,440 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 20\% | 618 | 47\% | 429 | 33\% | 1,310 | 1,505 | 0 | 0 | 43 | $\pm 4$ | 69 | $\pm 6$ | 49 |
| 2014 | 3,187 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 18\% | 534 | 44\% | 474 | 39\% | 1,226 | 1,810 | 0 | 0 | 41 | $\pm 4$ | 89 | $\pm 7$ | 63 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS COPPER MOUNTAIN PRONGHORN HERD (PR203)

| Hunt Area | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota |  |
| 76 | , | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 2 | Aug. 15 | Sep. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any antelope valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
|  | 6 | Aug. 15 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
| 114 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 2 | Aug. 15 | Sep. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any antelope valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
|  | 6 | Aug. 15 | Nov. 30 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) of irrigated land |
| 115 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 200 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid east of the Nowood River or south and west of Cornell Gulch or Nowater Stock Trail (BLM Road 1404) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76,114, \\ & 115 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Archery | Aug. 15 |  |  | Refer to Section 2 of this chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114 | 2 | -25 |
| 114 | 6 | -100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 4,800
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 2400
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 2200
Herd Unit Issues - The current model represents a good reflection of the population and trends, which mirrors that of field personnel perceptions, harvest data and classification numbers. The herd unit is about $70 \%$ public lands and $30 \%$ private lands. Much of the herd unit is supported by vast areas of cheatgrass. Higher densities of pronghorn occur is the southern portion of herd unit along the upper slopes of Copper Mountain and the upper Nowood area. Pronghorn utilizing the low elevation desert country are at low densities, and in some cases are struggling to maintain current numbers. In summer 2012, significant cropland damage issues occurred in the western portion of the herd unit, particularly Hunt Area 114. Poor habitat conditions, long-term drought,
and crop damage will and continue to be major management concerns for this herd. The herd objective and management strategy were last revised in 2013.

Weather - The winter of 2010/11 was severe enough to have caused significant mortality in this herd. After this winter event, reduced numbers of pronghorn were apparent throughout the herd unit. Since then, winter conditions has been sporadic, with $2011 / 12$ being mostly mild and $2012 / 13,2013 / 14$ and $2014 / 15$ being slightly severe with persistent snow cover and cold throughout the winter. Overall, annual drought conditions continue to persist, with periodic moisture events occurring during the year. Spring and early summer moisture in 2010, 2011 and 2014 was above normal, but 2012 and 2013 was way below normal. These cyclic weather events for the most part appears to be having mostly negative effects on this herd since overall numbers continue to decline.

Habitat - Habitat conditions have declined in this herd unit since the onset of drought in the 1990's. With reduced moisture, spring green-up and annual plant growth has been minimal in most years. Lack of precipitation has also affected available water in many stock reservoirs and perennial streams. Much of the herd unit is supported by vast areas of cheatgrass, due to several severe fires in the 1996. Two sagebrush transects were established in this herd unit in September 2004 (Appendix A). Annual production (leader growth) for these transects has average around 1.5 cm . Winter utilization remains low at about $10 \%$ for these transects. Until considerable moisture regimes return, herd growth and survival will continue to be adversely affected by reduced habitat conditions caused by drought.

Field Data - Both aerial and ground surveys are used in obtaining pre-season classification data for this pronghorn herd. Routine classification routes for each Hunt Area are maintained. The number of pronghorn classified has declined in recent years, from a high of 2,083 pronghorn in 2009 to 1,227 in 2013, a $41 \%$ decline. However, buck ratios continue to remain mostly stable at about $45: 100$ on average, with fawn ratios averaging around 55:100, with 2013 (69:100) and 2014 (89:100) being two of the highest ratios in the past 20 years. Although buck and fawn ratios remain favorable, the declines in overall pronghorn numbers are of concern.

Three line-transect (LT) surveys have been conducted in the herd unit; the first in 2000 with an estimate of 4,600 pronghorn, the second in 2004 with an estimate of 4,000 pronghorn, and the last in 2007 with an estimate of 4,100 pronghorn. These LT estimates are consistent with field personnel perceptions, and track well with model trends and estimates.

Harvest Data - Because of increasing pronghorn numbers in the late 2000's, along with increased damage issues, license quotas, hunter number and harvest increased dramatically from 2006 to 2010, but have dropped off since. In fact, between 2006 and 2010, harvest increased by over $130 \%$. Between 2010 and 2012 harvests dropped by about $19 \%$ due to declining numbers and reduced damage concerns. Then in 2013, license quotas were drastically increased in area 114 due to damage issues, and thus harvest increased by $48 \%$. Then in 2014, harvest declined again because of reduced damage issues. Overall, hunter success remains $>90 \%$ with days/harvest at about 3-4 days.

Population - The constant juvenile \& adult survival (CJ, CA) spreadsheet model best represents the long-term population estimate and trends for this herd. This model had the lowest AIC value ( $\mathrm{n}=70$ ), and tracks well with LT estimates, harvest data, and classification numbers. This pronghorn population has shown a decline of $50 \%$ since 2009 ; however some doe/fawn harvest is warranted to alleviate potential damage concerns. Although the population is currently below objective by $48 \%$, we are anticipating the population to drop again in 2015 . The current model is a fair to good representation of this herd.

Management Summary - The 2015 season calls for a drop in Type 6 and Type 2 license quotas in area 114 due to reduced damage issues in this area. Buck harvest for Type 1 licenses remains favorable for all areas so no changes will occur with those quotas. The projected 2015 harvest of about 550 pronghorn will continue to drive this population down to an estimated 2015 postseason population of around 2,200 pronghorn.






Pronghorn (A203) - Copper Mountain
HA 76, 114, 115
Revised 4/2006

2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR204 - FIFTEENMILE |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 77, 83, 110 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |
| 2009-2013 Average | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 4,251 | 3,129 | 2,915 |
| Harvest: 742 | 543 | 500 |
| Hunters: 709 | 563 | 520 |
| Hunter Success: 105\% | 96\% | 96 \% |
| Active Licenses: 830 | 636 | 600 |
| Active License Success: 89\% | 85\% | 83 \% |
| Recreation Days: 2,317 | 1,843 | 1,800 |
| Days Per Animal: 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| Males per 100 Females 41 | 28 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 53 | 70 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 4600 (3680-5520) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -32.0\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rece | rend: | 4 |
| Model Date: |  | 2/11/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 8\% | 7\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 84\% | 100\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 2\% | 2\% |
| Total: | 15\% | 14\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | +10\% | -8\% |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR204 - Hunter Success \% PR204 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Preseason Animals per 100 Females
PR204 - Males
PR204 - Juveniles

for Pronghorn Herd PR204-FIFTEENMILE

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conf } \\ \text { Int } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} \hline 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 6,079 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 22\% | 1,069 | 49\% | 611 | 28\% | 2,160 | 1,406 | 0 | 0 | 45 | $\pm 3$ | 57 | $\pm 4$ | 39 |
| 2010 | 5,906 | 0 | 0 | 439 | 22\% | 1,008 | 50\% | 572 | 28\% | 2,019 | 1,411 | 0 | 0 | 44 | $\pm 3$ | 57 | $\pm 4$ | 40 |
| 2011 | 5,129 | 0 | 0 | 404 | 20\% | 1,060 | 54\% | 507 | 26\% | 1,971 | 1,147 | 0 | 0 | 38 | $\pm 3$ | 48 | $\pm 3$ | 35 |
| 2012 | 4,363 | 0 | 362 | 362 | 22\% | 900 | 55\% | 389 | 24\% | 1,651 | 971 | 0 | 40 | 40 | $\pm 3$ | 43 | $\pm 3$ | 31 |
| 2013 | 3,860 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 18\% | 672 | 50\% | 435 | 32\% | 1,351 | 1,456 | 0 | 0 | 36 | $\pm 4$ | 65 | $\pm 5$ | 47 |
| 2014 | 3,726 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 14\% | 817 | 51\% | 571 | 35\% | 1,615 | 1,515 | 0 | 0 | 28 | $\pm 3$ | 70 | $\pm 5$ | 55 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS

FIFTEEN MILE PRONGHORN HERD (PR204)

| Hunt Area | Season Dates |  |  |  | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota |  |
| 77 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 14 | 75 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 2 | Aug. 15 | Sep. 19 | 25 | Limited quota; any antelope valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
|  | 6 | Aug. 15 | Nov. 15 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half $(1 / 2)$ mile of irrigated land |
| 83 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Nov. 7 | 250 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 6 | Aug. 15 | Nov. 15 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half $(1 / 2)$ mile of irrigated land east of Wyoming Highway 120 |
|  | 7 | Aug. 15 | Nov. 15 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land west of Wyoming Highway 120 |
| 110 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 14 | 75 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 14 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77,83 \\ & 110 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Archery | Aug. 15 |  |  | Refer to Section 2 in this chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77 | 2 | -10 |
| 77 | 6 | -50 |
| 83 | 6 | -75 |
| 83 | 7 | +100 |
| 110 | 1 | -25 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 \& 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6 \& 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 4,600
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 3100
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 2900
Herd Unit Issues - Pronghorn utilizing mostly native ranges are at low densities, whereas those utilizing mostly private (irrigated) areas are at higher densities. This has led to increased damage concerns on some private lands in recent years, along with increased harvest even though this herd is well below objective levels. The current model represents a good reflection of the population and trends, which mirrors that of field personnel perceptions, harvest data and classification numbers. The herd unit is about $75 \%$ public lands and $25 \%$ private lands, with the
majority of pronghorn in the herd unit on or associated with private land. In summer 2012, private crop land damage issues occurred in the eastern portion of the herd unit, particularly Hunt Area 77 and 83. Poor habitat conditions, long-term drought, and crop damage will and continue to be major management concerns for this herd. The herd objective and management strategy were revised in 2013.

Weather - The winters of 2011-12 and 2012-13 were mild with low snowpack resulting in mostly good over winter survival. However, the winter of 2013/14 and 2014/15 along with the dry spring and summer of 2012 and 2013 appear to have been severe enough to cause some dieoff and reduced survival. High moisture in 2014 will resulted in good spring green and shrub growth through the summer and fall. Overall, annual drought conditions continue to persist, with periodic moisture events occurring during the year. These cyclic weather events for the most part appear to be having mostly negative effects on this deer herd, since overall populations numbers continue to decline.

Habitat - Habitat conditions have declined in this herd unit since the onset of drought in the 1990's. With reduced moisture, spring green-up and annual plant growth has been minimal in most years. Lack of precipitation has also affected available water in many stock reservoirs and perennial streams. Overall, long-term drought conditions have affected habitat conditions in this herd unit. Most sagebrush communities continue to lack vigor, reproduction, and leader growth. Until considerable moisture regimes return, herd growth and survival will continue to be adversely affected by reduced habitat conditions caused by drought. Three sagebrush transects were established in this herd unit in 2004. Transect locations include 5-mile Creek, Grass Creek and Wagonhound Bench (Appendix A). Annual production of sagebrush (leader growth), continues to average about 3 cm . Winter utilization of these three sagebrush transects was similar to slightly below the 7 -year average of $12 \%$.

Field Data - Aerial preseason classification flights are conducted annually during the month of August in Hunt Areas 77 and 83, while Hunt Area 110 classifications are conducted from the ground. Relative trends for fawn ratios have increased the past two years, with both 2013 ( $65: 100$ ) and $2014(70: 100)$ ratios being the highest in the past 15 years. Conversely, buck ratios have declined the past few years, with a high of $45: 100$ in 2009 to 28:100 in 2014. Starting in 2008, classification sample sizes began to decline, with 2,100 classified in 2008, down to 1,350 in 2013, and $36 \%$ decline. However, in 2014, 1,600 pronghorn were classified, likely the result of better fawn production the past two years. The number of pronghorn classified mirrors that of the population model trend in recent years.

Four line-transect (LT) surveys have been conducted in the herd unit since 1999. LT estimates of pronghorn over the past 14 years have been, 2,900 in 1999, 2,800 in 2002, 3,700 in 2006 and 4,600 in 2010. Model estimates are slightly higher than the 1999, 2002 and 2006 LT estimates, whereas the 2010 LT estimate is higher than the model estimate. However, all four LT standard errors (SE) fall within the range of the model estimates. In addition, population trends between the model and LT's are consistent with field personnel perceptions.

Harvest Data - Because of increasing pronghorn numbers in the mid to late 2000's, along with increased damage issues, license quotas have increased dramatically since 2008. In fact,
between 2008 and 2013, total harvest increased by over $300 \%$. These harvest trends, along with model population estimates and trends are reflective of field personnel perceptions that pronghorn numbers have declined dramatically. In fact, starting in 2013, and now again for 2014, license quotas were reduced, mainly because of reduced damage issues and low population levels. Hopefully this will allow for some growth of this herd to occur.

Population - The constant juvenile \& adult survival (CJ, CA) spreadsheet model best represents the long-term population estimate and trends for this herd. This model had the lowest AIC value of 72, and tracks well with field perceptions, LT estimates, harvest data, and classification numbers. Although this pronghorn population has declined by $44 \%$ since 2009 , additional harvest has been needed to help alleviate damage issues, specifically in areas 77 and 83 . The model is a fair to good representation of this herd.

Management Summary - Because of reduced damage issues in area 77 and declines in pronghorn numbers in area 110 only minor reductions in license quotas will occur for 2015. The Pitchfork Ranch has expressed concern over low pronghorn numbers in area 110 in recent years. Since area 83 continues to support fair numbers of pronghorn; doe/fawn licenses will remain high to address potential damage. The projected 2015 harvest of about 500 pronghorn will continue to drive this population down to an estimated 2015 post-season population of around 2,900 pronghorn, or about $37 \%$ below objective.






## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR205 - CARTER MOUNTAIN |  | PREPARED BY: LESLIE <br> SCHREIBER |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 78, 81-82 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 3} \text { Average }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 4}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 5} \text { Proposed }}$ |
| Population: | 9,357 | 7,398 | 7,404 |
| Harvest: | 603 | 618 | 580 |
| Hunters: | 584 | 645 | 600 |
| Hunter Success: | $103 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Active Licenses: | 687 | 751 | 700 |
| Active License Success: | $88 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Recreation Days: | 2,263 | 2,518 | 2,400 |
| Days Per Animal: | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Males per 100 Females | 52 | 55 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 46 | 67 |  |

Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) :
7000 (5600-8400)

Management Strategy:
Recreational
Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective:
6\%
Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend:
0
Model Date:
3/09/2015
Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):

|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| post-season population: | $-8 \%$ | $-8 \%$ |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR205 - Hunter Success \% $\square$ PR205 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR205 - Active Licenses

$\square$ PR205 - Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females

- PR205 - Males $\qquad$ PR205 - Juveniles


| 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for Pronghorn Herd PR205-CARTER MOUNTAIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | males |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
|  | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cls} \\ & \hline \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | YIng | Adult | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \hline \text { Int } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 100 Fem | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 10,332 | 156 | 273 | 568 | 28\% | 925 | 45\% | 568 | 28\% | 2,061 | 1,634 | 17 | 30 | 61 | $\pm 5$ | 61 | $\pm 5$ | 38 |
| 2010 | 10,093 | 198 | 410 | 608 | 28\% | 1,098 | 50\% | 473 | 22\% | 2,179 | 1,344 | 18 | 37 | 55 | $\pm 4$ | 43 | $\pm 3$ | 28 |
| 2011 | 10,324 | 115 | 367 | 482 | 25\% | 992 | 51\% | 458 | 24\% | 1,932 | 1,980 | 12 | 37 | 49 | $\pm 4$ | 46 | $\pm 4$ | 31 |
| 2012 | 10,023 | 125 | 365 | 490 | 29\% | 844 | 50\% | 370 | 22\% | 1,704 | 1,557 | 15 | 43 | 58 | $\pm 5$ | 44 | $\pm 4$ | 28 |
| 2013 | 9,336 | 74 | 302 | 376 | 22\% | 973 | 57\% | 358 | 21\% | 1,707 | 1,319 | 8 | 31 | 39 | $\pm 3$ | 37 | $\pm 3$ | 27 |
| 2014 | 8,078 | 79 | 278 | 357 | 25\% | 647 | 45\% | 433 | 30\% | 1,437 | 1,296 | 12 | 43 | 55 | $\pm 5$ | 67 | $\pm 6$ | 43 |


| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Qus. | Opens | Closes | Quta | Limitations |  |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 | 6 | +75 |
| 78 | 7 | -150 |
| 81 | 6 | +25 |
| HU Total |  | -50 |

## Management Evaluation

## Current Management Objective: 7,000

## 2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 7,400

2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 7,400
Herd Unit Issues. Carter Mountain pronghorn herd unit is managed under recreational management with a post-season population objective of 7,000 pronghorn set in 1984. The population objective was reviewed in 2002, 2007 and not changed, and is again under review in 2015 (no proposed change). Due to the large size of and varied habitats in the herd unit, anthropomorphic factors probably have a slight influence on herd survival and productivity. There is 1 major oil/gas field (Oregon Basin) and many oil/gas wells scattered across the herd unit. US Highway 14-16-20 and Wyoming Highway 120 are the major highways bisecting the herd unit, which may affect migration routes. Urban expansion is a small concern in Area 81 near Cody and the South Fork Highway, but the overall impact is thought minimal. Crucial winter range appears to not be a limiting factor since winter snow levels typically are low and winter habitat is readily available compared to other higher elevation herd units in the state. Summer and fall forage production, and timing of spring moisture are probably the biggest factors for the growth of this herd.

Weather. Drought is the most important factor influencing survival and productivity of this pronghorn herd. Drought conditions occurred in 2000-04 and again in 2012 impacting habitat conditions. Growing season precipitation in 2014 was slightly below average, but excellent vegetation growth was observed overall in the Bighorn Basin. Currently we are experiencing a third spring of improved moisture, which should help improve body condition in all age classes.

Habitat. Habitat quality is probably most affected by desert-like conditions, including less than 12 inches of annual precipitation, and poor soils. Those factors have allowed cheatgrass to invade and dominate some sites. With only 1 sagebrush browse transect established in this herd unit, data is insufficient to draw inferences across the entire herd unit. The 1 transect near Oregon Basin was established in 2004, and has been of limited value in for gauging habitat condition for the unit as a whole. Sagebrush use by pronghorns on near the shrub transect is typically low and has ranged from $<5 \%$ to $25 \%$ (2005-2011). Drought effects on upland vegetation shifted pronghorn to agricultural fields, especially along the Shoshone River in Hunt Area 78. Landowners have a low tolerance for pronghorn so we use hunting seasons to reduce and move pronghorn from crop land.

Field Data. Fawn:doe ratios decreased starting in 2010 (55:100) and dropped to a low of 37:100 in 2013. The lag effects of the drought lagged in 2012 and 2013 with the lowest ratios during the recent 6 years. In 2014, 67 fawns: 100 does was observed, the highest since 1996, indicating this herd is rebounding. The recent improved fawn ratios are likely a product of spring moisture and corresponding plant growth providing food and cover for pronghorn juveniles. Likewise, the 2014 buck:doe ratio (55:100) was up from 2013 (39:100). Historically, buck:doe ratios declined during and after drought years (26:100 in 2004); however, buck ratios increased since 2004 and peaked at 61:100 in 2009 (ranging between 39:100 in 2013 and 58:100 in 2012). Although total number of pronghorn classified in 2014 was only $85 \%$ of the 10 -year average usually indicating a smaller population, we think caution is warranted when interpreting this metric, since 2 new observers performed classification surveys in this herd unit, and observers can vary in experience and how they complete surveys.

Harvest Data. We increased doe/fawn licenses significantly in 2011 ( $\sim 70 \%$ ) due to crop depredation complaints after drought moved pronghorn from unproductive habitat to farm ground. Hunter numbers increased from a low of 362 in 2009 and peaked at 729 in 2013 in response to increased opportunity and the need to harvest more pronghorn on private. Harvest success remained high from 2009 to 2014 (range 96-106\%) and days per animal harvested (range 4-4.1) were similar among years indicating hunters were finding animals and having success with access to private. The good success along with decreasing fawn productivity helped to move this herd towards its objective of 7000 where we are maintaining the population. The harvest survey reported, $254 / 751$ ( $34 \%$ ) active hunters responded of which $84 \%$ indicated satisfaction and $5 \%$ dissatisfaction with their hunt in the herd unit.

Population. For the Carter Mountain pronghorn herd unit, we used the time-specific juvenile/constant adult (TSJ,CA) survival model that estimates about 7,400 pronghorn, post season in 2014. The population estimate peaked in 2009 at 7,900 pronghorn. This is a new model that estimates the population at a lower level than in the previous 5 years in the JCR database (range 9200-9900). The lower estimated aligns better with LT surveys in the 1990s and early 2000 s, and is pulling the model estimate down below the later 2 surveys that we think are suspect due to potential survey design and we are redesigning our survey. Line transect surveys in 2006, 2009, and 2012 used a single observer while surveys in 2000 and 2003 used 2 observers. Use of a single observer significantly changed the line transect data calculations, resulting in estimates around $10,000-12,000$ pronghorn, which were 2-3 times higher than previous estimates (higher estimates due to the change in protocol were mirrored in other herds). We think the 10,000 pronghorn estimate is high. The line transect survey in 2012 estimates $6,900( \pm 877)$ pronghorn, which seems reasonable. We plan to redesigned surveys to fly each transect across areas of both dense and sparse pronghorn densities rather than flying each transect across only a sparse area then dense areas. The challenge with modeling this herd is that a portion of the population is migratory and a portion resides on agriculture fields almost year-round, regardless we believe the model performs well. While this model has the highest AIC value, this model allows juvenile survival to vary annually, which matches the perceptions of field personnel.

Management Summary. This population is currently about at the population objective of 7000 and exhibiting good productivity after several years of moderate fawn production. The upland habitat is recovering some from drought and pronghorns have moved away from cropland, reducing crop depredation. We slightly decreased the number of licenses compared to 2013, but depending on this summer's fawn ratios, we will have to increase licenses again to keep this herd at objective. We are reviewing the population objective and management goals for this herd unit in 2015, and most likely will keep the current post season population objective of 7000 .


| Population Estimates from Top Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Predicted Prehunt Population (year i) |  |  | Total | Predicted Posthunt Population (year $i$ ) |  |  | Total | Predicted adult End-of-bio-year Pop (year i) |  |  | LT Population Estimate |  | Trend Count | Objective |
| Year | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Total Males | Females | Total Adults | Field Est | Field SE |  |  |
| 1993 | 926 | 1712 | 3119 | 5758 | 912 | 1230 | 2784 | 4927 | 1362 | 2847 | 4209 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1994 | 1256 | 1335 | 2790 | 5381 | 1238 | 884 | 2590 | 4712 | 1118 | 2755 | 3873 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1995 | 1190 | 1096 | 2699 | 4986 | 1179 | 640 | 2541 | 4361 | 873 | 2698 | 3571 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1996 | 1808 | 856 | 2644 | 5308 | 1808 | 510 | 2465 | 4783 | 1198 | 3064 | 4262 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1997 | 1397 | 1174 | 3003 | 5573 | 1364 | 859 | 2766 | 4989 | 1140 | 2956 | 4097 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1998 | 1508 | 1118 | 2897 | 5522 | 1480 | 768 | 2642 | 4890 | 1305 | 3090 | 4395 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1999 | 1420 | 1279 | 3028 | 5727 | 1381 | 915 | 2743 | 5040 | 1402 | 3143 | 4545 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2000 | 1263 | 1374 | 3080 | 5717 | 1241 | 1014 | 2905 | 5160 | 1248 | 3055 | 4303 | 4906 | 1090 |  | 7000 |
| 2001 | 827 | 1223 | 2994 | 5044 | 827 | 886 | 2965 | 4679 | 1028 | 3023 | 4051 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2002 | 940 | 1007 | 2963 | 4910 | 940 | 641 | 2932 | 4513 | 822 | 3020 | 3842 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2003 | 1169 | 805 | 2959 | 4934 | 1161 | 508 | 2946 | 4616 | 756 | 3089 | 3844 | 2554 | 681 |  | 7000 |
| 2004 | 1171 | 740 | 3027 | 4939 | 1171 | 548 | 3021 | 4740 | 805 | 3165 | 3970 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2005 | 1528 | 789 | 3101 | 5418 | 1528 | 645 | 3097 | 5270 | 1182 | 3519 | 4700 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2006 | 2058 | 1158 | 3448 | 6664 | 2055 | 1004 | 3409 | 6467 | 1465 | 3755 | 5220 | 9433 | 1889 |  | 7000 |
| 2007 | 1953 | 1436 | 3680 | 7069 | 1949 | 1254 | 3576 | 6779 | 1673 | 3881 | 5555 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2008 | 2096 | 1640 | 3804 | 7540 | 2091 | 1455 | 3719 | 7265 | 1900 | 4055 | 5955 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2009 | 2440 | 1862 | 3974 | 8276 | 2423 | 1639 | 3832 | 7894 | 2153 | 4240 | 6393 | 12008 | 2500 |  | 7000 |
| 2010 | 1790 | 2110 | 4155 | 8055 | 1758 | 1818 | 3977 | 7553 | 2144 | 4201 | 6346 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2011 | 1901 | 2101 | 4117 | 8120 | 1866 | 1741 | 3677 | 7284 | 2107 | 3996 | 6103 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2012 | 1717 | 2065 | 3916 | 7698 | 1693 | 1701 | 3528 | 6921 | 2026 | 3705 | 5731 | 6918 | 877 |  | 7000 |
| 2013 | 1336 | 1986 | 3631 | 6953 | 1316 | 1622 | 3191 | 6129 | 2046 | 3712 | 5758 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2014 | 2435 | 2005 | 3638 | 8078 | 2404 | 1629 | 3365 | 7398 | 2317 | 4035 | 6352 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2015 | 1817 | 2271 | 3955 | 8042 | 1784 | 1886 | 3735 | 7404 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |









2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: PR207 - BADGER BASIN |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 80 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR207 - Hunter Success \% PR207 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Preseason Animals per 100 Females


## 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary

## for Pronghorn Herd PR207 - BADGER BASIN

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 1,549 | 56 | 122 | 178 | 31\% | 321 | 55\% | 83 | 14\% | 582 | 784 | 17 | 38 | 55 | $\pm 7$ | 26 | $\pm 4$ | 17 |
| 2010 | 1,313 | 58 | 157 | 215 | 28\% | 419 | 55\% | 132 | 17\% | 766 | 617 | 14 | 37 | 51 | $\pm 5$ | 32 | $\pm 3$ | 21 |
| 2011 | 1,118 | 15 | 92 | 107 | 25\% | 236 | 54\% | 92 | 21\% | 435 | 612 | 6 | 39 | 45 | $\pm 7$ | 39 | $\pm 6$ | 27 |
| 2012 | 1,032 | 37 | 73 | 110 | 23\% | 283 | 59\% | 85 | 18\% | 478 | 515 | 13 | 26 | 39 | $\pm 5$ | 30 | $\pm 4$ | 22 |
| 2013 | 944 | 36 | 79 | 115 | 24\% | 286 | 60\% | 76 | 16\% | 477 | 451 | 13 | 28 | 40 | $\pm 5$ | 27 | $\pm 4$ | 19 |
| 2014 | 988 | 27 | 73 | 100 | 26\% | 201 | 52\% | 88 | 23\% | 389 | 515 | 13 | 36 | 50 | $\pm 8$ | 44 | $\pm 7$ | 29 |


| Hunt | Dates of Seasons |  |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Type | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 80 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 | 75 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 1 | No Changes |
|  | 6 | No Changes |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | No Changes |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | No Changes |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 1,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~900
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~850
Herd Unit Issues. Much of the Badger Basin Herd Unit consists of extremely arid habitats, with low antelope densities that exhibit poor productivity. These areas are interspersed with irrigated lands that are characterized by higher levels of productivity. As a result, damage to irrigated lands is often a problem in this herd unit, especially in drought periods. However, winters are relatively mild and survival is presumably good in most years.

Weather. Weather conditions during the 2014 biological year were characterized by near normal precipitation during the growing season (April-June). Early winter conditions were relatively severe, but moderated dramatically in late winter.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this herd unit. Although growing season precipitation was near normal, damage issues continued to be significant in some locations, and fawn recruitment was extremely poor.

Field Data. Preseason classifications in 2014 yielded a fawn ratio of 44 fawns:100 does, and a total buck ratio of 50 bucks: 100 does. The poor productivity generally exhibited by this herd (especially in drought periods) is reflected in the fact that in the last 20 years, fawn:doe ratios have only exceeded 50:00 3 times (1996, 2005, 2007). The 20-year (1994-2013) average fawn:doe ratio is only 37.3 fawns: 100 does. Buck ratios increased as the population grew from 2002 to 2007 (remaining above 50 bucks:100 does from 2006 to 2010), but have declined as the population has been reduced.

Harvest Data. Permit levels (both doe/fawn and any antelope licenses) were reduced in 2012 as the population declined. Hunter success on Type 1 licenses declined from 2010-2013 in response to the relative abundance of buck antelope, but rebounded in 2014. Lower hunter success on Type 6 doe/fawn licenses in 2014 is probably a reflection of reduced permit levels restricted only to the Shoshone River drainage, even though the area possessed increased hunter access to key irrigated lands with higher antelope densities.

Population. Conservative hunting seasons and good fawn production (for this herd) allowed this population to substantially exceed the objective by 2005. Measures were taken to increase harvest from 2007-2011, and the population declined below the objective in 2011. Recent poor fawn crops (31:100 in 2008, 26:100 in 2009, 32:100 in 2010, 39:100 in 2011, 30 in 2012, 27:100 in 2013), coupled with increased female harvest, have reduced pronghorn numbers in this herd unit. Still, pronghorn damage in agricultural areas continues to be a chronic problem in this herd unit, with some damage prone areas having been addressed, while other new damage situations have arisen.

The "Constant Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (CJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd, as this model had the lowest relative AIC of all the models and the population estimate and trend appears to be reasonable. The postseason population estimate for 2014 is approximately 900 antelope, or $10 \%$ below the population objective.

Type 1 licenses will remain at 75, which were reduced in 2013 to preserve buck ratios. We will also shift to a single doe/fawn license valid area-wide since permit levels are relatively low. The result of the 2015 seasons should be a postseason 2015 population of approximately 850 pronghorn with a preseason buck:doe ratio of approximately 40:100.
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