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SPECIES: Pronghorn
HERD: PR202 - BIG HORN
HUNT AREAS: 79

PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015

PREPARED BY: LESLIE SCHREIBER

|  | SCHREIBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{2009-2013}{\text { Average }}$ | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Harvest: | 39 | 49 | 64 |
| Hunters: | 52 | 58 | 73 |
| Hunter Success: | 75\% | 84\% | 88 \% |
| Active Licenses: | 55 | 72 | 87 |
| Active License Success: | 71\% | 68\% | 74 \% |
| Recreation Days: | 206 | 354 | 375 |
| Days Per Animal: | 5.3 | 7.2 | 5.9 |
| Males per 100 Females | 52 | 66 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 55 | 113 |  |

Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) :

## Management Strategy:

Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective:
Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend:

## Model Date:

Recreational
N/A\%
0

Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):

JCR Year

| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | na\% | na\% |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | na\% | na\% |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | na\% | na\% |
| Total: | na\% | na\% |
| post-season population: | na\% | na\% |




## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR202 - Active Licenses


Days Per Animal Harvested


## Preseason Animals per 100 Females



| 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for Pronghorn Herd PR202-BIG HORN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Tot <br> Cls | Cls <br> Obj | YIng | Adult | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Conf } \\ \hline \text { Int } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 100 Fem | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| 2009 | 0 | 23 | 43 | 66 | 27\% | 120 | 48\% | 63 | 25\% | 249 | 0 | 19 | 36 | 55 | $\pm 0$ | 52 | $\pm 0$ | 34 |
| 2010 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 25 | 19\% | 72 | 54\% | 36 | 27\% | 133 | 0 | 8 | 26 | 35 | $\pm 0$ | 50 | $\pm 0$ | 37 |
| 2011 | 0 | 24 | 46 | 70 | 31\% | 96 | 42\% | 63 | 28\% | 229 | 268 | 25 | 48 | 73 | $\pm 0$ | 66 | $\pm 0$ | 38 |
| 2012 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 80 | 24\% | 162 | 48\% | 94 | 28\% | 336 | 0 | 19 | 31 | 49 | $\pm 0$ | 58 | $\pm 0$ | 39 |
| 2013 | 0 | 28 | 43 | 71 | 24\% | 145 | 50\% | 74 | 26\% | 290 | 248 | 19 | 30 | 49 | $\pm 0$ | 51 | $\pm 0$ | 34 |
| 2014 | 0 | 19 | 38 | 57 | 24\% | 87 | 36\% | 98 | 40\% | 242 | 0 | 22 | 44 | 66 | $\pm 0$ | 113 | $\pm 0$ | 68 |

# 2015 Hunting Seasons <br> Big Horn Pronghorn Herd Unit (PR202) 

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Opens | Closes |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Quota | Limitations |  |  |  |
| 79 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 | 15 | Limited quota; any antelope valid on <br> or within one-half $(1 / 2)$ mile of <br> irrigated land |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on <br> or within one-half $(1 / 2)$ mile of <br> irrigated land |
| Archery: | 9 | Aug. 15 | Sep. 30 | 30 | Limited quota; any antelope, archery <br> only |
| 79 |  | Not applicable |  |  |  |


| Area | Type | Quota changes from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | 1 | +15 |
| Total |  | +15 |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: none
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: none
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: none
Herd Unit Issues. Management of this herd unit using a population objective was eliminated in 2001 due to insufficient sample sizes obtained during classification surveys. Without adequate samples, sex and age ratios were unreliable and inadequate for population modeling using Pop-II software. There have been no line transect surveys conducted in this herd unit to obtain an independent population estimate due to the small population and limited flight budgets. No management goals (e.g., count objectives, satisfaction) were established for this herd due to lack of data. This herd will be reviewed in 2016 and management goals will be established.

Weather. Habitat quality is probably most affected by desert-like conditions ( $<12$ " annual precipitation) and poor soils. Both of those factors have allowed cheatgrass to invade and dominate some sites. Drought is the most important factor influencing survival and productivity of this pronghorn herd. Drought conditions occurred in 2000-04 and 2012. Affects of drought on upland vegetation resulted in a shift of pronghorn to agricultural fields where landowners have a low tolerance. In response, the number of doe/fawn licenses was increased throughout the herd unit in 2012. Growing season precipitation in 2014 was slightly below average, but excellent vegetation growth was observed overall in the Bighorn Basin.

Habitat. Dry conditions and poor soils across most of the herd unit resulted in marginal habitat for pronghorn. Saltbush and mixed shrub communities dominate the area. Sagebrush improves in quantity and quality with increased precipitation, higher elevation, and better soils on the east side of the herd unit; however, few pronghorn occur in the "best" habitat. Most pronghorn in the herd unit concentrate around irrigation canals and stock dams. Bentonite mining has been
expanding toward and into the best remaining stands of sagebrush on the west side of the herd unit. The 2 shrub transects established in this herd unit (Brokenback, Alkali) were located outside of areas used extensively by pronghorn in order to monitor deer browsing.

Field Data. The fawn:doe ratio obtained from the 2014 classification survey (113:100) was the highest in 27 years of records. Total number of pronghorn classified in 2014 ( $\mathrm{n}=242$ ) was average (2009-2014: $\mathrm{n}=247$ ). The buck:doe ratio in 2014 ( $66: 100$ ) was also above the 6 -year average ( $55: 100$ ). Both buck ratios and fawn ratios were showing a slight downward trend since the mid-1990s until a large increase in both ratios in 2011 and now again in 2014. However, the amount of effort (hours) to survey pronghorn in this herd unit has not been constant over the years, so trends in classification survey data should not be taken to represent trends in the overall population. This herd unit has been a low priority and classification data was not always collected. As noted, small sample sizes resulted in sex and age ratios that were not an accurate representation of the entire population. Although more data has been collected since 2006, sample sizes were insufficient in some years.

Harvest Data. Trends in hunting statistics do not suggest a clear trend in the population. From 1995-2014, recreation days and days per harvested animal have large fluctuations depending on if and how many doe/fawn licenses were issued. Considering only the archery licenses, hunter success has been increasing since 2005. Days per harvest have been trending downward, as has total recreation days, but to a lesser degree. Those statistics suggest that archery hunting for bucks has gotten easier and/or the population has been increasing. For the harvest survey, 33/72 (46\%) active hunters responded indicating $75 \%$ satisfaction and $9 \%$ dissatisfaction.

Population. Preliminary attempts to construct a reliable population spreadsheet model have been marginally successful. Since 2006, more pronghorn have been observed during classification surveys ( $>200$ animals in most years); thus, more accurate sex and age ratios were expected. However, modeling this herd unit as 1 distinct population may not be possible, because this herd unit is very large with low densities of animals concentrated near private land throughout the unit. The current hunt area was created from 2 hunt areas $(116,79)$ that were managed alike for the last 10 years then combined in 2013 to simplify the regulations. In these areas, classification data between old hunt areas suggests differences in juvenile and adult survival, and minimal movement between them, suggesting that the model's assumptions are likely violated.

Management Summary. The Big Horn pronghorn herd is a small population ( $<300$ animals), so only limited archery hunting has been historically offered, except with the arrival of doe/fawn (Type 6) licenses to address crop depredation. Several landowners have been requesting to hunt pronghorn bucks with rifles in this area for several years, and given trends suggesting this population is increasing, we are introducing 15 "any" antelope (Type 1) licenses valid within $1 / 2$ mile of irrigated land to provide more opportunity in a growing herd. With our record fawn ratios and high buck ratios in 2014, field personnel believe that these licenses would not harm the population. We have received opposition to this license from archery hunters that traditionally hunt in the area. To continue addressing depredation to irrigated crops, no change to doe/fawn licenses are proposed. Although quantity and quality of data is lacking, it appears the Big Horn pronghorn herd has been increasing, but the population remains low.


2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR203-COPPER MOUNTAIN |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 76, 114-115 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |
| 2009-2013 Average | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 3,759 | 2,442 | 2,219 |
| Harvest: 679 | 677 | 550 |
| Hunters: 715 | 664 | 600 |
| Hunter Success: 95\% | 102\% | 92\% |
| Active Licenses: 825 | 791 | 650 |
| Active License Success: 82\% | 86\% | 85 \% |
| Recreation Days: 2,854 | 3,052 | 2,600 |
| Days Per Animal: 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Males per 100 Females 49 | 41 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 58 | 89 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 4800 (3840-5760) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -49.1\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rece | rend: | 13 |
| Model Date: |  | 2/11/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 22\% | 18\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 58\% | 59\% |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | 3\% | 2\% |
| Total: | 21\% | 20\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | -1\% | -9\% |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR203 - Hunter Success \% PR203 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days Per Animal Harvested
$\square$ PR203 - Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary
for Pronghorn Herd PR203 - COPPER MOUNTAIN

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tot } \\ & \text { Cls } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Fem } \end{gathered}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 5,591 | 0 | 0 | 509 | 24\% | 961 | 46\% | 613 | 29\% | 2,083 | 1,686 | 0 | 0 | 53 | $\pm 4$ | 64 | $\pm 4$ | 42 |
| 2010 | 5,062 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 24\% | 752 | 51\% | 362 | 25\% | 1,472 | 1,172 | 0 | 0 | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 33 |
| 2011 | 4,399 | 0 | 0 | 467 | 25\% | 928 | 50\% | 478 | 26\% | 1,873 | 1,277 | 0 | 0 | 50 | $\pm 4$ | 52 | $\pm 4$ | 34 |
| 2012 | 4,037 | 0 | 326 | 326 | 23\% | 682 | 49\% | 391 | 28\% | 1,399 | 1,285 | 0 | 48 | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 57 | $\pm 5$ | 39 |
| 2013 | 3,440 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 20\% | 618 | 47\% | 429 | 33\% | 1,310 | 1,505 | 0 | 0 | 43 | $\pm 4$ | 69 | $\pm 6$ | 49 |
| 2014 | 3,187 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 18\% | 534 | 44\% | 474 | 39\% | 1,226 | 1,810 | 0 | 0 | 41 | $\pm 4$ | 89 | $\pm 7$ | 63 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS COPPER MOUNTAIN PRONGHORN HERD (PR203)

| Hunt Area | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota |  |
| 76 | , | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 2 | Aug. 15 | Sep. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any antelope valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
|  | 6 | Aug. 15 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
| 114 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 2 | Aug. 15 | Sep. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any antelope valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
|  | 6 | Aug. 15 | Nov. 30 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) of irrigated land |
| 115 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 150 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 200 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid east of the Nowood River or south and west of Cornell Gulch or Nowater Stock Trail (BLM Road 1404) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76,114, \\ & 115 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Archery | Aug. 15 |  |  | Refer to Section 2 of this chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114 | 2 | -25 |
| 114 | 6 | -100 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 4,800
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 2400
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 2200
Herd Unit Issues - The current model represents a good reflection of the population and trends, which mirrors that of field personnel perceptions, harvest data and classification numbers. The herd unit is about $70 \%$ public lands and $30 \%$ private lands. Much of the herd unit is supported by vast areas of cheatgrass. Higher densities of pronghorn occur is the southern portion of herd unit along the upper slopes of Copper Mountain and the upper Nowood area. Pronghorn utilizing the low elevation desert country are at low densities, and in some cases are struggling to maintain current numbers. In summer 2012, significant cropland damage issues occurred in the western portion of the herd unit, particularly Hunt Area 114. Poor habitat conditions, long-term drought,
and crop damage will and continue to be major management concerns for this herd. The herd objective and management strategy were last revised in 2013.

Weather - The winter of 2010/11 was severe enough to have caused significant mortality in this herd. After this winter event, reduced numbers of pronghorn were apparent throughout the herd unit. Since then, winter conditions has been sporadic, with $2011 / 12$ being mostly mild and $2012 / 13,2013 / 14$ and $2014 / 15$ being slightly severe with persistent snow cover and cold throughout the winter. Overall, annual drought conditions continue to persist, with periodic moisture events occurring during the year. Spring and early summer moisture in 2010, 2011 and 2014 was above normal, but 2012 and 2013 was way below normal. These cyclic weather events for the most part appears to be having mostly negative effects on this herd since overall numbers continue to decline.

Habitat - Habitat conditions have declined in this herd unit since the onset of drought in the 1990's. With reduced moisture, spring green-up and annual plant growth has been minimal in most years. Lack of precipitation has also affected available water in many stock reservoirs and perennial streams. Much of the herd unit is supported by vast areas of cheatgrass, due to several severe fires in the 1996. Two sagebrush transects were established in this herd unit in September 2004 (Appendix A). Annual production (leader growth) for these transects has average around 1.5 cm . Winter utilization remains low at about $10 \%$ for these transects. Until considerable moisture regimes return, herd growth and survival will continue to be adversely affected by reduced habitat conditions caused by drought.

Field Data - Both aerial and ground surveys are used in obtaining pre-season classification data for this pronghorn herd. Routine classification routes for each Hunt Area are maintained. The number of pronghorn classified has declined in recent years, from a high of 2,083 pronghorn in 2009 to 1,227 in 2013, a $41 \%$ decline. However, buck ratios continue to remain mostly stable at about $45: 100$ on average, with fawn ratios averaging around 55:100, with 2013 (69:100) and 2014 (89:100) being two of the highest ratios in the past 20 years. Although buck and fawn ratios remain favorable, the declines in overall pronghorn numbers are of concern.

Three line-transect (LT) surveys have been conducted in the herd unit; the first in 2000 with an estimate of 4,600 pronghorn, the second in 2004 with an estimate of 4,000 pronghorn, and the last in 2007 with an estimate of 4,100 pronghorn. These LT estimates are consistent with field personnel perceptions, and track well with model trends and estimates.

Harvest Data - Because of increasing pronghorn numbers in the late 2000's, along with increased damage issues, license quotas, hunter number and harvest increased dramatically from 2006 to 2010, but have dropped off since. In fact, between 2006 and 2010, harvest increased by over $130 \%$. Between 2010 and 2012 harvests dropped by about $19 \%$ due to declining numbers and reduced damage concerns. Then in 2013, license quotas were drastically increased in area 114 due to damage issues, and thus harvest increased by $48 \%$. Then in 2014, harvest declined again because of reduced damage issues. Overall, hunter success remains $>90 \%$ with days/harvest at about 3-4 days.

Population - The constant juvenile \& adult survival (CJ, CA) spreadsheet model best represents the long-term population estimate and trends for this herd. This model had the lowest AIC value ( $\mathrm{n}=70$ ), and tracks well with LT estimates, harvest data, and classification numbers. This pronghorn population has shown a decline of $50 \%$ since 2009 ; however some doe/fawn harvest is warranted to alleviate potential damage concerns. Although the population is currently below objective by $48 \%$, we are anticipating the population to drop again in 2015 . The current model is a fair to good representation of this herd.

Management Summary - The 2015 season calls for a drop in Type 6 and Type 2 license quotas in area 114 due to reduced damage issues in this area. Buck harvest for Type 1 licenses remains favorable for all areas so no changes will occur with those quotas. The projected 2015 harvest of about 550 pronghorn will continue to drive this population down to an estimated 2015 postseason population of around 2,200 pronghorn.






Pronghorn (A203) - Copper Mountain
HA 76, 114, 115
Revised 4/2006

2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR204 - FIFTEENMILE |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 77, 83, 110 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |
| 2009-2013 Average | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 4,251 | 3,129 | 2,915 |
| Harvest: 742 | 543 | 500 |
| Hunters: 709 | 563 | 520 |
| Hunter Success: 105\% | 96\% | 96 \% |
| Active Licenses: 830 | 636 | 600 |
| Active License Success: 89\% | 85\% | 83 \% |
| Recreation Days: 2,317 | 1,843 | 1,800 |
| Days Per Animal: 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| Males per 100 Females 41 | 28 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 53 | 70 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 4600 (3680-5520) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -32.0\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rece | rend: | 4 |
| Model Date: |  | 2/11/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 8\% | 7\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 84\% | 100\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 2\% | 2\% |
| Total: | 15\% | 14\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | +10\% | -8\% |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR204 - Hunter Success \% PR204 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Preseason Animals per 100 Females
PR204 - Males
PR204 - Juveniles

for Pronghorn Herd PR204-FIFTEENMILE

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conf } \\ \text { Int } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} \hline 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 6,079 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 22\% | 1,069 | 49\% | 611 | 28\% | 2,160 | 1,406 | 0 | 0 | 45 | $\pm 3$ | 57 | $\pm 4$ | 39 |
| 2010 | 5,906 | 0 | 0 | 439 | 22\% | 1,008 | 50\% | 572 | 28\% | 2,019 | 1,411 | 0 | 0 | 44 | $\pm 3$ | 57 | $\pm 4$ | 40 |
| 2011 | 5,129 | 0 | 0 | 404 | 20\% | 1,060 | 54\% | 507 | 26\% | 1,971 | 1,147 | 0 | 0 | 38 | $\pm 3$ | 48 | $\pm 3$ | 35 |
| 2012 | 4,363 | 0 | 362 | 362 | 22\% | 900 | 55\% | 389 | 24\% | 1,651 | 971 | 0 | 40 | 40 | $\pm 3$ | 43 | $\pm 3$ | 31 |
| 2013 | 3,860 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 18\% | 672 | 50\% | 435 | 32\% | 1,351 | 1,456 | 0 | 0 | 36 | $\pm 4$ | 65 | $\pm 5$ | 47 |
| 2014 | 3,726 | 0 | 0 | 227 | 14\% | 817 | 51\% | 571 | 35\% | 1,615 | 1,515 | 0 | 0 | 28 | $\pm 3$ | 70 | $\pm 5$ | 55 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS

FIFTEEN MILE PRONGHORN HERD (PR204)

| Hunt Area | Season Dates |  |  |  | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota |  |
| 77 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 14 | 75 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 2 | Aug. 15 | Sep. 19 | 25 | Limited quota; any antelope valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
|  | 6 | Aug. 15 | Nov. 15 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
| 83 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Nov. 7 | 250 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 6 | Aug. 15 | Nov. 15 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half $(1 / 2)$ mile of irrigated land east of Wyoming Highway 120 |
|  | 7 | Aug. 15 | Nov. 15 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land west of Wyoming Highway 120 |
| 110 | 1 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 14 | 75 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 20 | Oct. 14 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77,83 \\ & 110 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Archery | Aug. 15 |  |  | Refer to Section 2 in this chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77 | 2 | -10 |
| 77 | 6 | -50 |
| 83 | 6 | -75 |
| 83 | 7 | +100 |
| 110 | 1 | -25 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 \& 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6 \& 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 4,600
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 3100
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 2900
Herd Unit Issues - Pronghorn utilizing mostly native ranges are at low densities, whereas those utilizing mostly private (irrigated) areas are at higher densities. This has led to increased damage concerns on some private lands in recent years, along with increased harvest even though this herd is well below objective levels. The current model represents a good reflection of the population and trends, which mirrors that of field personnel perceptions, harvest data and classification numbers. The herd unit is about $75 \%$ public lands and $25 \%$ private lands, with the
majority of pronghorn in the herd unit on or associated with private land. In summer 2012, private crop land damage issues occurred in the eastern portion of the herd unit, particularly Hunt Area 77 and 83. Poor habitat conditions, long-term drought, and crop damage will and continue to be major management concerns for this herd. The herd objective and management strategy were revised in 2013.

Weather - The winters of 2011-12 and 2012-13 were mild with low snowpack resulting in mostly good over winter survival. However, the winter of 2013/14 and 2014/15 along with the dry spring and summer of 2012 and 2013 appear to have been severe enough to cause some dieoff and reduced survival. High moisture in 2014 will resulted in good spring green and shrub growth through the summer and fall. Overall, annual drought conditions continue to persist, with periodic moisture events occurring during the year. These cyclic weather events for the most part appear to be having mostly negative effects on this deer herd, since overall populations numbers continue to decline.

Habitat - Habitat conditions have declined in this herd unit since the onset of drought in the 1990's. With reduced moisture, spring green-up and annual plant growth has been minimal in most years. Lack of precipitation has also affected available water in many stock reservoirs and perennial streams. Overall, long-term drought conditions have affected habitat conditions in this herd unit. Most sagebrush communities continue to lack vigor, reproduction, and leader growth. Until considerable moisture regimes return, herd growth and survival will continue to be adversely affected by reduced habitat conditions caused by drought. Three sagebrush transects were established in this herd unit in 2004. Transect locations include 5-mile Creek, Grass Creek and Wagonhound Bench (Appendix A). Annual production of sagebrush (leader growth), continues to average about 3 cm . Winter utilization of these three sagebrush transects was similar to slightly below the 7 -year average of $12 \%$.

Field Data - Aerial preseason classification flights are conducted annually during the month of August in Hunt Areas 77 and 83, while Hunt Area 110 classifications are conducted from the ground. Relative trends for fawn ratios have increased the past two years, with both 2013 ( $65: 100$ ) and $2014(70: 100)$ ratios being the highest in the past 15 years. Conversely, buck ratios have declined the past few years, with a high of $45: 100$ in 2009 to 28:100 in 2014. Starting in 2008, classification sample sizes began to decline, with 2,100 classified in 2008, down to 1,350 in 2013, and $36 \%$ decline. However, in 2014, 1,600 pronghorn were classified, likely the result of better fawn production the past two years. The number of pronghorn classified mirrors that of the population model trend in recent years.

Four line-transect (LT) surveys have been conducted in the herd unit since 1999. LT estimates of pronghorn over the past 14 years have been, 2,900 in 1999, 2,800 in 2002, 3,700 in 2006 and 4,600 in 2010. Model estimates are slightly higher than the 1999, 2002 and 2006 LT estimates, whereas the 2010 LT estimate is higher than the model estimate. However, all four LT standard errors (SE) fall within the range of the model estimates. In addition, population trends between the model and LT's are consistent with field personnel perceptions.

Harvest Data - Because of increasing pronghorn numbers in the mid to late 2000's, along with increased damage issues, license quotas have increased dramatically since 2008. In fact,
between 2008 and 2013, total harvest increased by over $300 \%$. These harvest trends, along with model population estimates and trends are reflective of field personnel perceptions that pronghorn numbers have declined dramatically. In fact, starting in 2013, and now again for 2014, license quotas were reduced, mainly because of reduced damage issues and low population levels. Hopefully this will allow for some growth of this herd to occur.

Population - The constant juvenile \& adult survival (CJ, CA) spreadsheet model best represents the long-term population estimate and trends for this herd. This model had the lowest AIC value of 72, and tracks well with field perceptions, LT estimates, harvest data, and classification numbers. Although this pronghorn population has declined by $44 \%$ since 2009 , additional harvest has been needed to help alleviate damage issues, specifically in areas 77 and 83 . The model is a fair to good representation of this herd.

Management Summary - Because of reduced damage issues in area 77 and declines in pronghorn numbers in area 110 only minor reductions in license quotas will occur for 2015. The Pitchfork Ranch has expressed concern over low pronghorn numbers in area 110 in recent years. Since area 83 continues to support fair numbers of pronghorn; doe/fawn licenses will remain high to address potential damage. The projected 2015 harvest of about 500 pronghorn will continue to drive this population down to an estimated 2015 post-season population of around 2,900 pronghorn, or about $37 \%$ below objective.






## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: PR205 - CARTER MOUNTAIN |  | PREPARED BY: LESLIE <br> SCHREIBER |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 78, 81-82 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 3} \text { Average }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 4}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 5} \text { Proposed }}$ |
| Population: | 9,357 | 7,398 | 7,404 |
| Harvest: | 603 | 618 | 580 |
| Hunters: | 584 | 645 | 600 |
| Hunter Success: | $103 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Active Licenses: | 687 | 751 | 700 |
| Active License Success: | $88 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Recreation Days: | 2,263 | 2,518 | 2,400 |
| Days Per Animal: | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Males per 100 Females | 52 | 55 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 46 | 67 |  |

Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) :
7000 (5600-8400)

Management Strategy:
Recreational
Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective:
6\%
Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend:
0
Model Date:
3/09/2015
Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):

|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| post-season population: | $-8 \%$ | $-8 \%$ |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR205 - Hunter Success \% $\square$ PR205 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses

$\square$ PR205 - Active Licenses

$\square$ PR205 - Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females

- PR205 - Males $\qquad$ PR205 - Juveniles


| 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for Pronghorn Herd PR205-CARTER MOUNTAIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | males |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
|  | Pre Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cls} \\ & \hline \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | YIng | Adult | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \hline \text { Int } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 100 Fem | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 10,332 | 156 | 273 | 568 | 28\% | 925 | 45\% | 568 | 28\% | 2,061 | 1,634 | 17 | 30 | 61 | $\pm 5$ | 61 | $\pm 5$ | 38 |
| 2010 | 10,093 | 198 | 410 | 608 | 28\% | 1,098 | 50\% | 473 | 22\% | 2,179 | 1,344 | 18 | 37 | 55 | $\pm 4$ | 43 | $\pm 3$ | 28 |
| 2011 | 10,324 | 115 | 367 | 482 | 25\% | 992 | 51\% | 458 | 24\% | 1,932 | 1,980 | 12 | 37 | 49 | $\pm 4$ | 46 | $\pm 4$ | 31 |
| 2012 | 10,023 | 125 | 365 | 490 | 29\% | 844 | 50\% | 370 | 22\% | 1,704 | 1,557 | 15 | 43 | 58 | $\pm 5$ | 44 | $\pm 4$ | 28 |
| 2013 | 9,336 | 74 | 302 | 376 | 22\% | 973 | 57\% | 358 | 21\% | 1,707 | 1,319 | 8 | 31 | 39 | $\pm 3$ | 37 | $\pm 3$ | 27 |
| 2014 | 8,078 | 79 | 278 | 357 | 25\% | 647 | 45\% | 433 | 30\% | 1,437 | 1,296 | 12 | 43 | 55 | $\pm 5$ | 67 | $\pm 6$ | 43 |


| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quota | Closes | Limitations |  |  |  |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 | 6 | +75 |
| 78 | 7 | -150 |
| 81 | 6 | +25 |
| HU Total |  | -50 |

## Management Evaluation

## Current Management Objective: 7,000

## 2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 7,400

2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 7,400
Herd Unit Issues. Carter Mountain pronghorn herd unit is managed under recreational management with a post-season population objective of 7,000 pronghorn set in 1984. The population objective was reviewed in 2002, 2007 and not changed, and is again under review in 2015 (no proposed change). Due to the large size of and varied habitats in the herd unit, anthropomorphic factors probably have a slight influence on herd survival and productivity. There is 1 major oil/gas field (Oregon Basin) and many oil/gas wells scattered across the herd unit. US Highway 14-16-20 and Wyoming Highway 120 are the major highways bisecting the herd unit, which may affect migration routes. Urban expansion is a small concern in Area 81 near Cody and the South Fork Highway, but the overall impact is thought minimal. Crucial winter range appears to not be a limiting factor since winter snow levels typically are low and winter habitat is readily available compared to other higher elevation herd units in the state. Summer and fall forage production, and timing of spring moisture are probably the biggest factors for the growth of this herd.

Weather. Drought is the most important factor influencing survival and productivity of this pronghorn herd. Drought conditions occurred in 2000-04 and again in 2012 impacting habitat conditions. Growing season precipitation in 2014 was slightly below average, but excellent vegetation growth was observed overall in the Bighorn Basin. Currently we are experiencing a third spring of improved moisture, which should help improve body condition in all age classes.

Habitat. Habitat quality is probably most affected by desert-like conditions, including less than 12 inches of annual precipitation, and poor soils. Those factors have allowed cheatgrass to invade and dominate some sites. With only 1 sagebrush browse transect established in this herd unit, data is insufficient to draw inferences across the entire herd unit. The 1 transect near Oregon Basin was established in 2004, and has been of limited value in for gauging habitat condition for the unit as a whole. Sagebrush use by pronghorns on near the shrub transect is typically low and has ranged from $<5 \%$ to $25 \%$ (2005-2011). Drought effects on upland vegetation shifted pronghorn to agricultural fields, especially along the Shoshone River in Hunt Area 78. Landowners have a low tolerance for pronghorn so we use hunting seasons to reduce and move pronghorn from crop land.

Field Data. Fawn:doe ratios decreased starting in 2010 (55:100) and dropped to a low of 37:100 in 2013. The lag effects of the drought lagged in 2012 and 2013 with the lowest ratios during the recent 6 years. In 2014, 67 fawns: 100 does was observed, the highest since 1996, indicating this herd is rebounding. The recent improved fawn ratios are likely a product of spring moisture and corresponding plant growth providing food and cover for pronghorn juveniles. Likewise, the 2014 buck:doe ratio (55:100) was up from 2013 (39:100). Historically, buck:doe ratios declined during and after drought years (26:100 in 2004); however, buck ratios increased since 2004 and peaked at 61:100 in 2009 (ranging between 39:100 in 2013 and 58:100 in 2012). Although total number of pronghorn classified in 2014 was only $85 \%$ of the 10 -year average usually indicating a smaller population, we think caution is warranted when interpreting this metric, since 2 new observers performed classification surveys in this herd unit, and observers can vary in experience and how they complete surveys.

Harvest Data. We increased doe/fawn licenses significantly in 2011 ( $\sim 70 \%$ ) due to crop depredation complaints after drought moved pronghorn from unproductive habitat to farm ground. Hunter numbers increased from a low of 362 in 2009 and peaked at 729 in 2013 in response to increased opportunity and the need to harvest more pronghorn on private. Harvest success remained high from 2009 to 2014 (range 96-106\%) and days per animal harvested (range 4-4.1) were similar among years indicating hunters were finding animals and having success with access to private. The good success along with decreasing fawn productivity helped to move this herd towards its objective of 7000 where we are maintaining the population. The harvest survey reported, $254 / 751$ ( $34 \%$ ) active hunters responded of which $84 \%$ indicated satisfaction and $5 \%$ dissatisfaction with their hunt in the herd unit.

Population. For the Carter Mountain pronghorn herd unit, we used the time-specific juvenile/constant adult (TSJ,CA) survival model that estimates about 7,400 pronghorn, post season in 2014. The population estimate peaked in 2009 at 7,900 pronghorn. This is a new model that estimates the population at a lower level than in the previous 5 years in the JCR database (range 9200-9900). The lower estimated aligns better with LT surveys in the 1990s and early 2000 s, and is pulling the model estimate down below the later 2 surveys that we think are suspect due to potential survey design and we are redesigning our survey. Line transect surveys in 2006, 2009, and 2012 used a single observer while surveys in 2000 and 2003 used 2 observers. Use of a single observer significantly changed the line transect data calculations, resulting in estimates around $10,000-12,000$ pronghorn, which were 2-3 times higher than previous estimates (higher estimates due to the change in protocol were mirrored in other herds). We think the 10,000 pronghorn estimate is high. The line transect survey in 2012 estimates $6,900( \pm 877)$ pronghorn, which seems reasonable. We plan to redesigned surveys to fly each transect across areas of both dense and sparse pronghorn densities rather than flying each transect across only a sparse area then dense areas. The challenge with modeling this herd is that a portion of the population is migratory and a portion resides on agriculture fields almost year-round, regardless we believe the model performs well. While this model has the highest AIC value, this model allows juvenile survival to vary annually, which matches the perceptions of field personnel.

Management Summary. This population is currently about at the population objective of 7000 and exhibiting good productivity after several years of moderate fawn production. The upland habitat is recovering some from drought and pronghorns have moved away from cropland, reducing crop depredation. We slightly decreased the number of licenses compared to 2013, but depending on this summer's fawn ratios, we will have to increase licenses again to keep this herd at objective. We are reviewing the population objective and management goals for this herd unit in 2015, and most likely will keep the current post season population objective of 7000 .


| Population Estimates from Top Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Predicted Prehunt Population (year i) |  |  | Total | Predicted Posthunt Population (year $i$ ) |  |  | Total | Predicted adult End-of-bio-year Pop (year i) |  |  | LT Population Estimate |  | Trend Count | Objective |
| Year | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Juveniles | Total Males | Females |  | Total Males | Females | Total Adults | Field Est | Field SE |  |  |
| 1993 | 926 | 1712 | 3119 | 5758 | 912 | 1230 | 2784 | 4927 | 1362 | 2847 | 4209 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1994 | 1256 | 1335 | 2790 | 5381 | 1238 | 884 | 2590 | 4712 | 1118 | 2755 | 3873 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1995 | 1190 | 1096 | 2699 | 4986 | 1179 | 640 | 2541 | 4361 | 873 | 2698 | 3571 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1996 | 1808 | 856 | 2644 | 5308 | 1808 | 510 | 2465 | 4783 | 1198 | 3064 | 4262 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1997 | 1397 | 1174 | 3003 | 5573 | 1364 | 859 | 2766 | 4989 | 1140 | 2956 | 4097 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1998 | 1508 | 1118 | 2897 | 5522 | 1480 | 768 | 2642 | 4890 | 1305 | 3090 | 4395 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 1999 | 1420 | 1279 | 3028 | 5727 | 1381 | 915 | 2743 | 5040 | 1402 | 3143 | 4545 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2000 | 1263 | 1374 | 3080 | 5717 | 1241 | 1014 | 2905 | 5160 | 1248 | 3055 | 4303 | 4906 | 1090 |  | 7000 |
| 2001 | 827 | 1223 | 2994 | 5044 | 827 | 886 | 2965 | 4679 | 1028 | 3023 | 4051 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2002 | 940 | 1007 | 2963 | 4910 | 940 | 641 | 2932 | 4513 | 822 | 3020 | 3842 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2003 | 1169 | 805 | 2959 | 4934 | 1161 | 508 | 2946 | 4616 | 756 | 3089 | 3844 | 2554 | 681 |  | 7000 |
| 2004 | 1171 | 740 | 3027 | 4939 | 1171 | 548 | 3021 | 4740 | 805 | 3165 | 3970 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2005 | 1528 | 789 | 3101 | 5418 | 1528 | 645 | 3097 | 5270 | 1182 | 3519 | 4700 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2006 | 2058 | 1158 | 3448 | 6664 | 2055 | 1004 | 3409 | 6467 | 1465 | 3755 | 5220 | 9433 | 1889 |  | 7000 |
| 2007 | 1953 | 1436 | 3680 | 7069 | 1949 | 1254 | 3576 | 6779 | 1673 | 3881 | 5555 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2008 | 2096 | 1640 | 3804 | 7540 | 2091 | 1455 | 3719 | 7265 | 1900 | 4055 | 5955 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2009 | 2440 | 1862 | 3974 | 8276 | 2423 | 1639 | 3832 | 7894 | 2153 | 4240 | 6393 | 12008 | 2500 |  | 7000 |
| 2010 | 1790 | 2110 | 4155 | 8055 | 1758 | 1818 | 3977 | 7553 | 2144 | 4201 | 6346 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2011 | 1901 | 2101 | 4117 | 8120 | 1866 | 1741 | 3677 | 7284 | 2107 | 3996 | 6103 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2012 | 1717 | 2065 | 3916 | 7698 | 1693 | 1701 | 3528 | 6921 | 2026 | 3705 | 5731 | 6918 | 877 |  | 7000 |
| 2013 | 1336 | 1986 | 3631 | 6953 | 1316 | 1622 | 3191 | 6129 | 2046 | 3712 | 5758 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2014 | 2435 | 2005 | 3638 | 8078 | 2404 | 1629 | 3365 | 7398 | 2317 | 4035 | 6352 |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2015 | 1817 | 2271 | 3955 | 8042 | 1784 | 1886 | 3735 | 7404 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7000 |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |









2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Pronghorn |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: PR207 - BADGER BASIN |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 80 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ PR207 - Hunter Success \% PR207 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Preseason Animals per 100 Females


## 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary

## for Pronghorn Herd PR207 - BADGER BASIN

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 1,549 | 56 | 122 | 178 | 31\% | 321 | 55\% | 83 | 14\% | 582 | 784 | 17 | 38 | 55 | $\pm 7$ | 26 | $\pm 4$ | 17 |
| 2010 | 1,313 | 58 | 157 | 215 | 28\% | 419 | 55\% | 132 | 17\% | 766 | 617 | 14 | 37 | 51 | $\pm 5$ | 32 | $\pm 3$ | 21 |
| 2011 | 1,118 | 15 | 92 | 107 | 25\% | 236 | 54\% | 92 | 21\% | 435 | 612 | 6 | 39 | 45 | $\pm 7$ | 39 | $\pm 6$ | 27 |
| 2012 | 1,032 | 37 | 73 | 110 | 23\% | 283 | 59\% | 85 | 18\% | 478 | 515 | 13 | 26 | 39 | $\pm 5$ | 30 | $\pm 4$ | 22 |
| 2013 | 944 | 36 | 79 | 115 | 24\% | 286 | 60\% | 76 | 16\% | 477 | 451 | 13 | 28 | 40 | $\pm 5$ | 27 | $\pm 4$ | 19 |
| 2014 | 988 | 27 | 73 | 100 | 26\% | 201 | 52\% | 88 | 23\% | 389 | 515 | 13 | 36 | 50 | $\pm 8$ | 44 | $\pm 7$ | 29 |


| Hunt | Dates of Seasons |  |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Type | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 80 | , | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 | 75 | Limited quota; any antelope |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this |
|  |  |  |  |  | Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 1 | No Changes |
|  | 6 | No Changes |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | No Changes |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | No Changes |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 1,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~900
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~850
Herd Unit Issues. Much of the Badger Basin Herd Unit consists of extremely arid habitats, with low antelope densities that exhibit poor productivity. These areas are interspersed with irrigated lands that are characterized by higher levels of productivity. As a result, damage to irrigated lands is often a problem in this herd unit, especially in drought periods. However, winters are relatively mild and survival is presumably good in most years.

Weather. Weather conditions during the 2014 biological year were characterized by near normal precipitation during the growing season (April-June). Early winter conditions were relatively severe, but moderated dramatically in late winter.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this herd unit. Although growing season precipitation was near normal, damage issues continued to be significant in some locations, and fawn recruitment was extremely poor.

Field Data. Preseason classifications in 2014 yielded a fawn ratio of 44 fawns:100 does, and a total buck ratio of 50 bucks: 100 does. The poor productivity generally exhibited by this herd (especially in drought periods) is reflected in the fact that in the last 20 years, fawn:doe ratios have only exceeded 50:00 3 times (1996, 2005, 2007). The 20-year (1994-2013) average fawn:doe ratio is only 37.3 fawns: 100 does. Buck ratios increased as the population grew from 2002 to 2007 (remaining above 50 bucks:100 does from 2006 to 2010), but have declined as the population has been reduced.

Harvest Data. Permit levels (both doe/fawn and any antelope licenses) were reduced in 2012 as the population declined. Hunter success on Type 1 licenses declined from 2010-2013 in response to the relative abundance of buck antelope, but rebounded in 2014. Lower hunter success on Type 6 doe/fawn licenses in 2014 is probably a reflection of reduced permit levels restricted only to the Shoshone River drainage, even though the area possessed increased hunter access to key irrigated lands with higher antelope densities.

Population. Conservative hunting seasons and good fawn production (for this herd) allowed this population to substantially exceed the objective by 2005. Measures were taken to increase harvest from 2007-2011, and the population declined below the objective in 2011. Recent poor fawn crops (31:100 in 2008, 26:100 in 2009, 32:100 in 2010, 39:100 in 2011, 30 in 2012, 27:100 in 2013), coupled with increased female harvest, have reduced pronghorn numbers in this herd unit. Still, pronghorn damage in agricultural areas continues to be a chronic problem in this herd unit, with some damage prone areas having been addressed, while other new damage situations have arisen.

The "Constant Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (CJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd, as this model had the lowest relative AIC of all the models and the population estimate and trend appears to be reasonable. The postseason population estimate for 2014 is approximately 900 antelope, or $10 \%$ below the population objective.

Type 1 licenses will remain at 75, which were reduced in 2013 to preserve buck ratios. We will also shift to a single doe/fawn license valid area-wide since permit levels are relatively low. The result of the 2015 seasons should be a postseason 2015 population of approximately 850 pronghorn with a preseason buck:doe ratio of approximately 40:100.
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FIGURES

Comments:
盆


| SPECIES: Mule Deer |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: MD207 - PAINTROCK |  | PREPARED BY: LESLIE <br> SCHREIBER |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 41, 46-47 |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 3} \text { Average }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 4}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 ~ P r o p o s e d ~}$ |
| Population: | 9,780 | 8,950 | 9,367 |
| Harvest: | 967 | 674 | 710 |
| Hunters: | 1,691 | 1,370 | 1,400 |
| Hunter Success: | $57 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Active Licenses: | 1,815 | 1,378 | 1,450 |
| Active License Success: | $53 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Recreation Days: | 7,530 | 5,922 | 6,100 |
| Days Per Animal: | 7.8 | 8.8 | 8.6 |
| Males per 100 Females | 27 | 25 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 63 | 71 |  |

Management Strategy:
Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective:
Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend:
Model Date:
Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):

|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Juveniles (< 1 year old): | $.5 \%$ | $.5 \%$ |
| Total: | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | $-2 \%$ | $+4 \%$ |

Population Size - Postseason
$\square$ MD207 - POPULATION - MD207 - OBJECTIVE


Harvest



Harvest Success
$\square$ MD207 - Hunter Success \%
$\square{ }_{\%}^{\text {MD207 - Active License Success }}$


## Active Licenses

$\square$ MD207 - Active Licenses



Postseason Animals per 100 Females
$\square$ MD207 - Males $\quad \square$ MD207 - Juveniles


## 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary

 for Mule Deer Herd MD207 - PAINTROCK|  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop |  | 2+ | 2+ | 2+ | 2+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tot | Cls |  |  |  | Conf |  |  |  |
|  |  | YIg | Cls 1 | Cls 2 | Cls 3 | UnCls | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Cls | Obj | YIng | Adult | Total | Int | 100 Fem | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| 2009 | 10,700 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 267 | 13\% | 1,040 | 52\% | 689 | 35\% | 1,996 | 1,210 | 9 | 17 | 26 | $\pm 2$ | 66 | $\pm 4$ | 53 |
| 2010 | 10,100 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 301 | 14\% | 1,121 | 53\% | 682 | 32\% | 2,104 | 1,058 | 11 | 16 | 27 | $\pm 2$ | 61 | $\pm 3$ | 48 |
| 2011 | 9,400 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 277 | 14\% | 1,078 | 55\% | 612 | 31\% | 1,967 | 1,209 | 8 | 18 | 26 | $\pm 2$ | 57 | $\pm 3$ | 45 |
| 2012 | 9,200 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 234 | 14\% | 877 | 53\% | 542 | 33\% | 1,653 | 1,060 | 10 | 17 | 27 | $\pm 2$ | 62 | $\pm 4$ | 49 |
| 2013 | 9,500 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 239 | 15\% | 789 | 49\% | 570 | 36\% | 1,598 | 904 | 12 | 18 | 30 | $\pm 3$ | 72 | $\pm 5$ | 55 |
| 2014 | 8,950 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 179 | 13\% | 704 | 51\% | 499 | 36\% | 1,382 | 1,167 | 13 | 12 | 25 | $\pm 3$ | 71 | $\pm 5$ | 57 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS <br> Paintrock Mule Deer Herd Unit (MD207)

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Opens | Closes | Quota | Limitations |  |  |

## Region $\mathbf{R}$ nonresident quota $=\mathbf{7 5 0}$

| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | 6 | +25 |
| 41,47 | 8 | -100 |
| 41 | 8 | +50 |
| 47 | 6 | +50 |
| 47 | 8 | +50 |
| HU Total |  | +75 |

## Management Evaluation

## Current Management Objective: 11,000

2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 9,000
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 9,400
Herd Unit Issues. The population objective for the Paintrock mule deer herd was originally set at 13,000 deer in 1995 when the herd unit was created from two pre-existing herd units. After a public review process, the population objective was lowered to 11,000 deer in 2013, because an objective of 13,000 deer was unrealistic due to poor habitat conditions (drought) and low landowner tolerance of deer in crops. Spreadsheet models estimate the herd around 9,000 deer and the management goal for this herd unit is recreational. Bentonite mining and oil/gas development occur on the west side of the herd unit where habitat is marginal and is not a big
factor at this time. Farming has altered riparian habitat on private land and increased available forage, but landowner tolerance of deer on cropland is low so antlerless deer harvest is driven by landowner damage complaints.

Weather. Drought is probably the most important factor influencing survival and productivity of this deer herd with drought occurring in 2000-04 and 2012. Growing season precipitation in 2014 was slightly below average, but excellent vegetation growth was observed overall in the Bighorn Basin.

Habitat. There are 2 sagebrush browse transects in this herd unit and data is insufficient to draw inferences across the entire herd unit. One transect in the Brokenback drainage has been of limited utility in gauging browsing levels since production has been limited, even in non-drought years. Utilization of sagebrush along that transect has ranged from $<1 \%$ to $3 \%$. The second transect, Alkali Creek drainage is in the northern portion of the herd unit and is slightly more productive than Brokenback. Utilization averaged $10.9 \%$, well below levels that should affect plant health. Winter severity and snow depth probably determines how many deer concentrate near this site.

Field Data. This population has had low fawn:doe ratios during the drought of 2000-04 averaging 54 fawns: 100 does, slowing population growth. In years with normal precipitation (2005-14), the average fawn ratio was 63 fawns: 100 does, a level that will barely maintain the population. Currently we have observed fawn ratios (2013-14) $>70: 100$, which may suggest an increasing population (Unsworth et al. 1999). The total number of deer observed during classification surveys declined over the past 20 years. In 1993 and 1994, 3,000 and 3,500 deer were surveyed, respectively. Numbers dropped to 2,500 or below for the remainder of the 1990s and then during the drought of 2000-04, only about 2,000 deer were observed. Number of deer classified has rarely been over 2,000 deer since 2005 with the exception of $2007(n=2,865)$. We survey farmland from the ground and use helicopter aerial surveys for higher elevation winter ranges.

Maintaining buck:doe ratios between 25-29:100 (recreational management) is the goal for of this herd unit. During the mid 1980s, ratios increased from 15:100 to around 30:100 in the early 1990s. A gradual decline in buck:doe ratios occurred through the late 1990s to 16:100 in 2000, followed by an increase to $30: 100$ in the mid-2000s. Between 2009-2014, the buck ratio remained stable at about 27:100. For the 2015 hunting season, we changed from "any deer" to "antlered deer" in an effort to further reduce doe/fawn harvest.

Harvest Data. Harvest decreased since 2009 as a response to fewer licenses offered, a lower nonresident quota, and a decreasing deer population. Total harvest decreased, from about 1000 deer in 2009 to 675 in 2014 and hunter success was also lower in 2014 at $49 \%$ compared to $64 \%$ in 2009 , and the 5 -year-average of $51 \%$. Days per animal harvested increased from about 7 days in 2009 to nearly 9 days in 2014, also indicating deer were more difficult to find in 2014. Despite fewer deer in 2014 compared to 2009, hunter satisfaction remains high with about $71 \%$ satisfied versus $14 \%$ unsatisfied.

Population. The time-specific juvenile constant adult survival (TSJ,CA), model estimates this population at objective ( 13,000 deer) through the late 1990s. Beginning with the extended drought in 2000-04, the model indicated a population decrease, except for a spike in 2007. By 2012, the population estimate dropped to a low of 8,380 deer, but rebounded to 8,950 by post-
season 2014 due to good fawn production. The TSJ,CA model performs fair and the results are biologically defensible, but the model could benefit from a sample-based population estimate with standard errors.

Management Summary. Several indices suggest the Paintrock mule deer population has declined since the early 1990s, and is in agreement with the population model. Total number of deer classified, fawn:doe ratios, buck harvest, doe harvest, and number of doe/fawn licenses needed to address crop depredation have all declined. Buck:doe ratios have recently remained stable and numbers of doe/fawn licenses for the 2015 season are as low as needed to address crop depredation. Many hunters have urged more conservative buck seasons (4-points or better) to increase buck numbers to previous levels and to increase number of trophy ( $>25$ " antler width) bucks available. Placing a point restriction on the general license season and/or reducing the nonresident quota are usually only proposed if buck:doe ratios indicate drastic declines. In this case, buck:doe ratios have been stable for the past five years. In a minor effort to halt the declining number of deer in this herd, we are changing the general license hunting seasons from "any deer" to "antlered deer" and restricting doe/fawn licenses to areas with crop damage.

## Literature Cited

Unsworth, J.W., D.F. Pac, G. C. White, and R.M. Bartman. 1999. Mule deer survival in Colorado, Idaho, and Montana. Journal of Wildlife Management 36:315-326.




Date: November 30, 2014
Observer: Schreiber, Lentsch
Species: Mule Deer
Survey Type: Classification
Air Service: SKY Aviation
Conditions: very cold, high $15^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, small patches fog, mostly calm winds
Flight Duration: 3 hours


Figure 1. Deer classification flight track showing waypoint number.


2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Mule Deer |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: MD208-SOUTHWEST BIGHORNS |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 35-37, 39-40, 164 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |
| 2009-2013 Average | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 13,628 | 12,627 | 12,657 |
| Harvest: 1,409 | 1,096 | 1,100 |
| Hunters: 2,310 | 2,012 | 2,000 |
| Hunter Success: 61\% | 54\% | 55 \% |
| Active Licenses: 2,524 | 2,027 | 2,020 |
| Active License Success: 56\% | 54\% | 54 \% |
| Recreation Days: 10,341 | 9,867 | 10,000 |
| Days Per Animal: 7.3 | 9.0 | 9.1 |
| Males per 100 Females 30 | 30 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 56 | 76 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 28000 (22400-33600) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -54.9\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recenter | rend: | 20 |
| Model Date: |  | 2/24/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 3\% | 3\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 33\% | 29\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | .5\% | .5\% |
| Total: | 8\% | 8\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | 0\% | 0\% |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ MD208 - Hunter Success \% $\square$ MD208 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ MD208 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females
MD208 - Males $\square$ MD208 - Juveniles


2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary
for Mule Deer Herd MD208 - SOUTHWEST BIGHORNS

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2+}{\mathrm{Cls}_{3}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { UnCl } \end{gathered}$ | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conf } \\ \text { Int } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 100 \\ \text { Fem } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 14,997 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 391 | 16\% | 1,315 | 55\% | 682 | 29\% | 2,388 | 914 | 11 | 19 | 30 | $\pm 2$ | 52 | $\pm 3$ | 40 |
| 2010 | 14,701 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 278 | 16\% | 930 | 53\% | 553 | 31\% | 1,761 | 1,111 | 10 | 20 | 30 | $\pm 2$ | 59 | $\pm 4$ | 46 |
| 2011 | 12,811 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 237 | 17\% | 721 | 52\% | 419 | 30\% | 1,377 | 1,094 | 8 | 25 | 33 | $\pm 3$ | 58 | $\pm 4$ | 44 |
| 2012 | 12,901 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 197 | 16\% | 633 | 52\% | 383 | 32\% | 1,213 | 1,152 | 9 | 22 | 31 | $\pm 3$ | 61 | $\pm 5$ | 46 |
| 2013 | 12,731 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 229 | 15\% | 858 | 55\% | 464 | 30\% | 1,551 | 918 | 9 | 18 | 27 | $\pm 2$ | 54 | $\pm 4$ | 43 |
| 2014 | 12,627 | 93 | 40 | 40 | 6 | 83 | 262 | 14\% | 882 | 49\% | 674 | 37\% | 1,818 | 1,584 | 11 | 19 | 30 | $\pm 2$ | 76 | $\pm 5$ | 59 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS <br> SOUTHWEST BIGHORNS MULE DEER HERD (MD208)

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 35 |  | Oct. 15 | Oct. 31 |  | General license; any deer |
| 36 |  | Oct. 15 | Oct. 22 |  | General license; antlered mule deer three (3) points or more on either antler or any white-tailed deer |
|  | 8 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 22 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 37 | 1 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 25 | 150 | Limited quota; Antlered deer |
|  | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 15 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 6 | Sep. 15 | Nov. 15 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within onehalf (1/2) mile of Buffalo Creek |
| 39 |  | Oct. 15 | Oct. 25 |  | General license; antlered deer |
| 40 |  | Oct. 15 | Oct. 31 |  | General license; antlered deer valid on national forest; any deer off national forest |
|  | 6 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on private land |
|  | 8 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 164 |  | Oct. 1 | Oct. 10 |  | General license; any deer |
|  | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| Archery $35,36,37$, $39,40,164$ |  | Sep. 1 | Sept. 30 |  | Refer to Section 2 of this chapter |

Region M Nonresident general license quota - 1000 licenses

| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| HU Total |  |  |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 28,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 12,600
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 12,700
Herd Unit Issues - Since 2009, the population model only simulates a decline of about $16 \%$ in deer numbers. Perceptions of field personnel as well as most landowners and hunters feel this deer herd has declined as much as $30-50 \%$ in recent years. Total harvest has declined by $45 \%$ since 2009. The herd unit is about $70 \%$ public land and $30 \%$ private land. Much of the herd unit is supported by vast areas of cheatgrass, due to large wildfires in 1996. Little to no regeneration
of sagebrush and native herbaceous species has occurred since those fires. Deer densities are typically higher in the mid to upper elevations, while the lower elevation desert areas support fewer deer. Poor habitat conditions, long-term drought, and crop damage continue to be major management concerns for this herd. The herd objective and management strategy was evaluated and approved in 2014.

Weather - The winter of 2010/11 was severe enough to have caused significant mortality in this herd. After this winter event, reduced numbers of deer were apparent throughout the herd unit. Since then, winter conditions have continued to be above normal, with persistent snow and cold temperatures. Overall, annual drought conditions have improved, with periodic moisture events occurring during the year. Spring and summer moisture in 2010, 2011 and 2014 was above normal, but 2012 and 2013 were below normal during the growing season. These cyclic weather events for the most part appear to be having mostly negative effects on this deer herd since overall numbers continue to decline or are at very low densities.

Habitat - Habitat conditions have declined in this herd unit since the onset of drought in the 1990's. With reduced moisture, spring green-up and annual plant growth has been minimal in most years. Lack of precipitation has also affected available water in many stock reservoirs and perennial streams. Two sagebrush transects were established in this herd unit in September 2004 (Appendix A). Overall, annual production (leader growth) for these transects has average around 1.5 cm . Winter utilization remains low at about $10 \%$ for these transects. Until considerable moisture regimes return, and forage quality improves, herd growth and survival will continue to be adversely affected by reduced habitat conditions caused by these long-term drought conditions and cheatgrass invasion.

Field Data - Both aerial and ground surveys are used in obtaining post-season classification data for this deer herd. Adequate sample sizes are typically exceeded, mainly because routine classification routes for each Hunt Area are maintained. The number of deer classified has declined dramatically in recent years. In 2009, nearly 2,400 deer where classified, while in 2014, 1,800 were classified; a decline of $25 \%$. Although buck and fawn ratios have remained favorable, the declines in numbers are of significant concern. Post-season fawn and buck ratios have remained fairly consistent since 2009, with an average of 60 fawns: 100 does and 30 bucks: 100 does. The fawn ratio in 2014 was $76: 100$, the highest in the past 20 years.

Harvest Data - Recent harvest statistics further support declining deer numbers in this herd. Since 2009, overall harvest has decreased by $45 \%$, while hunter numbers have declined by $25 \%$. During this same period, harvest success has dropped by $20 \%$. Hunter effort has increased by 2.3 days since 2009. These harvest trends, along with population trends are reflective of field personnel perceptions that deer numbers have declined significantly and hunting has gotten much tougher in recent years. Hunter satisfaction surveys also reveal this herd unit has had declining satisfaction ratings in recent years.

Population - The semi-constant juvenile \& semi-constant adult survival (SCJ, SCA) spreadsheet model best represents the long-term population trend for this herd. The model had the second lowest AIC value ( $\mathrm{n}=75$ ). Although the models supports a downward trend in deer numbers, field personnel, along with declines in classification sample sizes, and worsening harvest statistics indicate this population has declined more dramatically in recent years compared to model trends. Therefore, the model is only considered a fair representation of the herd. Because
of these declining trends, and that we are below objective by $55 \%$, we will be staying with mostly conservative seasons.

Management Summary - No changes to the general license seasons will be made, along with the license quota in area 37. Hunt Area 37 will have a 6 day shorter season, to coincide with Hunt Area 39. The Region M nonresident quota will remain at 1000 licenses. Damage issues in these areas have mostly subsided; therefore less harvest is warranted. The projected 2015 harvest is about 1100 deer. It's expected this deer may start showing some signs of recovery due to improved fawn ratios. However, the long-term effects of poor habitat conditions, prolonged drought, and several above normal winters will likely off-set any significant herd growth.








2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Mule Deer |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: MD209-BASIN |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 125, 127 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |
| 2009-2013 Average | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 2,946 | 2,883 | 2,801 |
| Harvest: 222 | 129 | 125 |
| Hunters: 373 | 283 | 250 |
| Hunter Success: 60\% | 46\% | 50 \% |
| Active Licenses: 406 | 293 | 250 |
| Active License Success: 55\% | 44\% | 50 \% |
| Recreation Days: 1,735 | 1,141 | 1,100 |
| Days Per Animal: 7.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| Males per 100 Females 32 | 25 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 53 | 70 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 3600 (2880-4320) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -19.9\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recenter | rend: | 8 |
| Model Date: |  | 2/24/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 2\% | 0\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 17\% | 19\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 0\% | 0\% |
| Total: | 4\% | 4\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | +4\% | -2\% |

## Population Size - Postseason



Harvest


Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ MD209 - Hunter Success \% MD209 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses

$\square$ MD209 - Active Licenses


Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ MD209 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females

for Mule Deer Herd MD209 - BASIN

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tot } \\ & \text { Cls } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2+}{\mathrm{Cls}_{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ 3 \mathrm{UnCl} \end{gathered}$ | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 2,858 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 111 | 14\% | 470 | 57\% | 239 | 29\% | 820 | 679 | 6 | 18 | 24 | $\pm 3$ | 51 | $\pm 4$ | 41 |
| 2010 | 3,075 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 156 | 20\% | 435 | 54\% | 208 | 26\% | 799 | 635 | 14 | 22 | 36 | $\pm 4$ | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 35 |
| 2011 | 3,119 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 90 | 17\% | 274 | 53\% | 156 | 30\% | 520 | 811 | 9 | 24 | 33 | $\pm 5$ | 57 | $\pm 7$ | 43 |
| 2012 | 3,015 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 76 | 16\% | 236 | 51\% | 150 | 32\% | 462 | 878 | 11 | 21 | 32 | $\pm 5$ | 64 | $\pm 8$ | 48 |
| 2013 | 2,665 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 88 | 20\% | 236 | 54\% | 116 | 26\% | 440 | 669 | 13 | 25 | 37 | $\pm 5$ | 49 | $\pm 7$ | 36 |
| 2014 | 2,883 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 52 | 13\% | 210 | 51\% | 147 | 36\% | 409 | 998 | 8 | 17 | 25 | $\pm 5$ | 70 | $\pm 9$ | 56 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hunt } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Season Dates |  |  |  | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota |  |
| 125 | 1 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 15 | 100 | Limited quota; antlered deer |
| 127 |  | Oct. 15 | Oct. 24 |  | General license; antlered deer |
|  | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 15 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| Archery $125,127$ |  | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 |  | Refer to Section 2 of this chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127 | 6 | -25 |
| HU Total | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 3,600
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 2900
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 2800
Herd Unit Issues - The 2014 post-season population estimate is $20 \%$ below objective. Longterm model trends are somewhat questionable, but since the late 2000's, the model trend reflects a declining populations which mirrors that of field personnel perceptions. Deer densities in this herd unit are higher on and around private irrigated lands, whereas the dry desert areas support fewer deer. Poor habitat conditions, long-term drought, and recent EHD outbreaks continue to be major management concerns for this herd. Much of the herd unit is arid desert shrubland, thus limiting the options for vegetation treatment because of the potential for cheatgrass invasion. Since 2006, five guzzlers have been installed to provide additional water sources for deer.

Weather - The winters of 2011/12 and 2012/13 were mild with low snowpack resulting in mostly good over winter survival. However, the winters of 2010/11 and 2013/14 along with the dry spring and summer of 2012 appeared to have been severe enough to cause some die-off and reduced survival. Overall, annual drought conditions continue to persist, with periodic moisture events occurring during the year. Spring and early summer moisture in 2010, 2011 and 2014 was above normal, but 2012 and 2013 was below normal. These cyclic weather events for the most part appear to be having mostly negative effects on this deer herd, since overall populations numbers continue to decline.

Habitat - Most of this herd unit lies within a 5-9" precipitation zone, with limited opportunity to increase forage quality and abundance of native plant communities. Both herbaceous and shrub growth has been minimal the past three years, except in 2011 and 2013, when spring precipitation was well above normal. Drought conditions have also affected available water in many stock reservoirs and perennial streams. One sagebrush transect (5-Mile Creek) was established in this herd unit in 2004 (Appendix A). Average sagebrush leader growth since 2008 has average 3 cm , with utilization levels at about $17 \%$. Overall, habitat conditions in this herd
unit are considered poor to fair at best because of past long-term drought. Until normal moisture regimes return, herd growth and survival will be limited by current habitat conditions.

Field Data - Both aerial and ground classifications surveys are used in obtaining post-season buck and fawn ratio for this deer herd. Routine classification routes for each Hunt Area have been maintained in order to reflect general trends in deer numbers over time. The number of deer classified has declined dramatically in recent years. In 2009, nearly 820 deer where classified, while in 2014 only 409 were classified; a decline of $50 \%$. Buck and fawn ratios have remained favorable in recent years, with a 6 -year average of 30 bucks and 58 fawns per 100 does. The 2014 fawn ratio of 70:100 is the highest on record.

Spotlight surveys along Gooseberry Creek in area 125 have also been used to monitor relative trends in deer densities along Gooseberry Creek. Based on these surveys, the number of deer counted has declined by about $75 \%$ since the early 1990 's, $50 \%$ since the late 1990 's, and has stayed fairly stable through the 2000 's, with roughly about 100 deer being observed annually in recent years. These declining trends are also reflective of field personnel perceptions.

Harvest Data - Recent harvest statistics do support a declining deer population. Since 2009, overall buck harvest during the general season has declined by $50 \%$, whereas hunter numbers have only dropped by $25 \%$. Most hunters and landowners continue to report deer numbers are down and hunting is poor to fair. Based on the 2014 hunter satisfaction survey, $50 \%$ of the hunters surveyed in this herd unit indicted they were either satisfied or very satisfied with their overall hunting experience, whereas in 2013, $70 \%$ were either satisfied or very satisfied.

Population - The time-specific juvenile \& constant adult survival (TSJ, CA) spreadsheet model was chosen to represent this herd based on its population trend. This model had the highest AIC value ( $\mathrm{n}=132$ ) of all the models, yet its trends reflect that of field personnel perceptions, along with most hunters and landowners, as well as declining classification sample sizes and harvest statistics. The model is considered to be a fair representative of herd trend and population estimate. Because of these declining trends, and that we are below objective by $20 \%$, we will be staying with mostly conservative seasons until deer numbers appear to be increasing.

Management Summary - Type 6 licenses in area 127 will be eliminated due to very few deer and no damage issues occurring. Damage issues have subsided in this area in recent years, and hunter complaints are heard annually regarding the over-harvest of doe mule deer. Both areas 125 and 127 will change to antlered deer to eliminate any harvest of doe deer. The projected 2015 harvest is roughly 125 buck deer. Despite conservative hunting seasons, it's predicted this deer herd will continue to struggle because of poor habitat and prolonged drought conditions.



| CJ,CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival |
| :--- | :--- |
| SCJ,SCA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival |
| TSJ,CA | Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival |


No







Comments:


SPECIES: Mule Deer
HERD: MD210-GREYBULL RIVER
HUNT AREAS: 124, 165

PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015

PREPARED BY: LESLIE SCHREIBER

|  | 2009 - 2013 <br> $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population: | 4,700 |  |  |
| Harvest: | 809 | 4,023 | 3,632 |
| Hunters: | 1,130 | 512 | 530 |
| Hunter Success: | $72 \%$ | 841 | 860 |
| Active Licenses: | 1,332 | $61 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| Active License Success: | $61 \%$ | 935 | 940 |
| Recreation Days: | 4,882 | $55 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| Days Per Animal: | 6.0 | 3,053 | 3,200 |
| Males per 100 Females | 34 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 70 | 35 |  |

Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) :
4000 (3200-4800)

Management Strategy:
Recreational
Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective:
Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend:
Model Date:
02/26/2015
Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):
JCR Year
Females $\geq 1$ year old: $13 \% \quad 11 \%$
Males $\geq 1$ year old: $32 \% 35 \%$
Juveniles (< 1 year old): 2\%
Total: 12\%
$-1 \%$
\%
Proposed change in post-season population:
Population Size - Postseason
$\square$ MD210-POPULATION - MD210-OBJECTIVE


Harvest


Number of Hunters


## Harvest Success

$\square$ MD210 - Hunter Success \% $\square{ }_{\%}^{\text {MD210 - Active License Success }}$


## Active Licenses

$\square$ MD210 - Active Licenses



Postseason Animals per 100 Females
$\square$ MD210-Males $\quad \square$ MD210 - Juveniles


| 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for Mule Deer Herd MD210-GREYBULL RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
|  | Post Pop | Ylg | 2+ <br> Cls 1 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 2 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { UnCls } \end{gathered}$ | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Tot <br> Cls | Cls <br> Obj | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | 100 Fem | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 5,300 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 280 | 15\% | 873 | 47\% | 704 | 38\% | 1,857 | 1,080 | 11 | 21 | 32 | $\pm 2$ | 81 | $\pm 4$ | 61 |
| 2010 | 5,200 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 226 | 22\% | 465 | 44\% | 357 | 34\% | 1,048 | 985 | 19 | 30 | 49 | $\pm 5$ | 77 | $\pm 6$ | 52 |
| 2011 | 4,500 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 160 | 16\% | 530 | 53\% | 315 | 31\% | 1,005 | 1,054 | 9 | 21 | 30 | $\pm 3$ | 59 | $\pm 5$ | 46 |
| 2012 | 4,200 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 159 | 15\% | 571 | 54\% | 320 | 30\% | 1,050 | 959 | 11 | 16 | 28 | $\pm 3$ | 56 | $\pm 4$ | 44 |
| 2013 | 4,300 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 142 | 17\% | 416 | 48\% | 301 | 35\% | 859 | 915 | 11 | 23 | 34 | $\pm 4$ | 72 | $\pm 6$ | 54 |
| 2014 | 4,023 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 183 | 14\% | 525 | 40\% | 590 | 45\% | 1,298 | 1,331 | 13 | 22 | 35 | $\pm 3$ | 112 | $\pm 7$ | 83 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS

## Greybull River Mule Deer Herd Unit (MD210)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hunt } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 124 |  | Nov. 1 | Nov. 10 |  | General license; any deer |
|  | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 6 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
|  | 7 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid west of Wyoming Highway 30 and Big Horn County Road 8 on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land |
|  | 8 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 165 | 1 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 31 | 125 | Limited quota; any deer |
|  | 3 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on private land |
|  | 8 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| Archery: $124,165$ |  | Sept. 1 | Sept. 30 |  | Refer to Section 2 of this Chapter |

Region X Non-resident deer quota: 300

| Hunt Area | Type | Quota Change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 165 | 6 | +25 |
| 165 | 8 | +50 |
| Total |  | +75 |

## Management Evaluation

## Current Management Objective: 4,000

2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 4,000
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 3,600
Herd Unit Issues. The population objective for the Greybull River mule deer herd was increased from 3,000 to 4,000 deer in 1994 after revisions to the POP-II model. The population objective remained unchanged following reviews in 2002 and 2007, and is currently under review in 2015 with a proposal for no change. The Greybull River deer herd is managed for recreational hunting. This herd has been highly productive and occupies mostly riparian and agricultural lands, and damage to crops drives management. Urban expansion has not been a major concern in the area. Although agriculture has altered riparian areas and farming has increased the amount of forage for deer. Landowner tolerance of deer on cropland is low. Even when the population is below objective, we still offer doe/fawn licenses in areas with crop
damage by deer. This herd unit is now in nonresident region X after being separated from nonresident region F . This change was primarily done to separate management of deer in the lower agricultural lands from deer in public forested lands west of Cody.

Weather. Habitat quality is probably most affected by desert-like conditions ( $<12$ " annual precipitation) and poor soils. Both factors have allowed cheatgrass to invade and dominate some sites. Drought conditions occurred in 2000-04 and 2012. Affects of drought on upland vegetation resulted in a shift of deer to agricultural fields. Growing season precipitation in 2014 was slightly below average, but excellent vegetation growth was observed overall in the Bighorn Basin.

Habitat. There is 1 sagebrush browse transect in this herd unit in Oregon Basin, but it was established in an area of low deer density to evaluate pronghorn antelope winter range, and is insufficient to draw inferences across the entire herd unit. Mortality of individual sagebrush plants and increased precipitation in 2005, 2007, 2009-11, and 2014 allowed for increased growth of herbaceous vegetation and new growth of sagebrush and other shrub species. The resulting decrease in density of older sagebrush and increase in overall plant diversity may have long-term benefits for deer habitat.

Field Data. We use number of deer classified as a general index to population level. The number of deer classified steadily increased from 800 deer in 1995 to 1,850 deer in 2009, but has since decreased to about 1,000 deer during the last few years. In 2014, we classified 1,300 deer, but caution is warranted in interpreting this metric due to the presence of 2 new observers. On the other hand, the high sample size could be accurate, because this herd is typically highly productive (Greybull River irrigated farm ground and riparian habitat). In 2014, this herd unit had the highest fawn ratio in 30 years with 112 fawns: 100 does. The increase in productivity was likely due to increased spring moisture and vegetation growth. Neighboring mule deer herds also experienced record fawn ratios. Buck numbers appear to have increased in this herd over the past 20 years most likely due to the large amount of private land with limited access (provides security for bucks). Private lands and limited quota seasons in Area 165 also protect a lot of bucks ( $<100$ bucks are harvested in Area 165), and have helped maintain high buck ratios. Between 1993 and 2005, buck:doe ratios rarely exceeded 25:100 (range=18-26). After drought conditions subsided, buck ratios increased and rarely drop below 25 bucks: 100 does since 2005 . On average, there were 32 bucks: 100 does observed (range=26-49) between 2005-2014.

Harvest Data. As we reduced the population towards objective, number of active licenses (general and doe/fawn limited quota) decreased from a high of about 1500 in 2011, to 935 in 2014. Hunter numbers matched this trend with about 1293 hunters in 2011 and only 841 in 2014. Harvest decreased as well, from a high of 928 in 2009 to 512 in 2014, all the result of decreased licenses (less crop damage), fewer hunters, and fewer deer. Although fewer deer were harvested in 2014, hunter success remained acceptable at $61 \%$ down from a high of $78 \%$ in 2010 . Days per harvested deer has not changed drastically among years with 5.3 days in 2009, to 7 days in 2011 and then 6 days in 2014. Hunter satisfaction remains high for this herd with about $78 \%$ satisfied, and only $6 \%$ unsatisfied with the current quality of their hunt.

Population. The time-specific juvenile, constant adult survival model (TSJ,CA) is the most applicable for modeling deer populations, and seems to work well for the Greybull herd. This model shows a decline in the population after 2010 possibly due to high doe harvest, or a harsh 2010-11 winter with deep, crusted snow. The population estimate bottoms out at 2,800 deer in
2012. In 2013 the model estimates a slight increase to 3,000 then jumps to 4,000 deer in 2014 . The drastic increase estimated in 2014 is a result of the record fawn ratios observed. The model ranks fair as it is informed by $>20$ years of data and follows the trend highly likely by field personnel, but it would benefit from a sample-based population estimate with standard errors.

Management Summary. The season planned for 2015 should relieve some hunting pressure on bucks and simplify the regulations by standardizing the opening day. The model predicts that the 2015 post-season population estimate will be within $10 \%$ of the objective, but we will still have doe/fawn licenses again in 2015 to address landowner concerns. Hunters commented that fewer deer can be found since the 2010-11 winter and want fewer does harvested to increase the population. Many hunters also have requested more time to harvest bucks, and if buck ratios remain high, some changes may be possible. This herd unit objective is currently under review and we propose to keep the current objective of 4000 deer post season since it is a good compromise between damage concerns and hunter opportunity.





SPECIES: Mule Deer
HERD: MD211-SHOSHONE RIVER
HUNT AREAS: 122-123

PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015

PREPARED BY: LESLIE SCHREIBER

|  | SCHREIBER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{2009-2013}{\text { Average }}$ | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Harvest: | 802 | 813 | 560 |
| Hunters: | 1,430 | 1,369 | 1,170 |
| Hunter Success: | 56\% | 59\% | 48 \% |
| Active Licenses: | 1,538 | 1,533 | 1,280 |
| Active License Success: | 52\% | 53\% | 44 \% |
| Recreation Days: | 5,862 | 6,219 | 6,000 |
| Days Per Animal: | 7.3 | 7.6 | 10.7 |
| Males per 100 Females | 29 | 33 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 78 | 96 |  |

Population Objective $( \pm 20 \%): \quad 0(0-0)$


Harvest

$\square$ MD2 11 - TOT $\square$ MD211 - RES $\quad \square$ MD211 - NONRES


Harvest Success
$\square$ MD211 - Hunter Success \% MD211 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses

$\square$ MD211 - Active Licenses



Postseason Animals per 100 Females
$\square$ MD211 - Males $\quad \square$ MD211 - Juveniles


| 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for Mule Deer Herd MD211-SHOSHONE RIVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2+ \\ \hline \text { Cls } 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2+ \\ \hline \text { Cls } 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2+ \\ \hline \text { Cls } 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \hline \mathrm{UnCls} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Tot <br> Cls | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{Cls} \\ \hline \mathrm{Obj} \end{array}$ | YIng | Adult | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Conf } \\ \hline \text { Int } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 100 Fem | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| 2009 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 71 | 15\% | 231 | 50\% | 163 | 35\% | 465 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 31 | $\pm 0$ | 71 | $\pm 0$ | 54 |
| 2010 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 63 | 15\% | 224 | 52\% | 147 | 34\% | 434 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 28 | $\pm 0$ | 66 | $\pm 0$ | 51 |
| 2011 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 68 | 18\% | 172 | 44\% | 148 | 38\% | 388 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 40 | $\pm 0$ | 86 | $\pm 0$ | 62 |
| 2012 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 71 | 12\% | 293 | 48\% | 251 | 41\% | 615 | 825 | 12 | 13 | 24 | $\pm 0$ | 86 | $\pm 0$ | 69 |
| 2013 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 32 | 12\% | 131 | 47\% | 113 | 41\% | 276 | 810 | 14 | 11 | 24 | $\pm 0$ | 86 | $\pm 0$ | 69 |
| 2014 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 88 | 14\% | 266 | 44\% | 255 | 42\% | 609 | 0 | 17 | 16 | 33 | $\pm 0$ | 96 | $\pm 0$ | 72 |


| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 122 |  | Nov. 1 | Nov. 10 |  | General license; any deer |
|  |  | Nov. 11 | Nov. 30 |  | General license; antlerless deer |
|  | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 6 | Oct 15 | Nov. 30 | 150 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on or within one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) mile of irrigated land within the Shoshone River drainage |
| 123 |  | Oct. 15 | Oct. 31 |  | General license; any deer |
|  | 6 | Oct. 15 | Dec. 31 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on private land south of the Shoshone River |
| Archery |  |  |  |  |  |
| 122, 123 |  | Sept. 1 | Sept. 30 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |

## Region X Non-resident deer quota: 300

| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 | 6 | -250 |
| 122 | 8 | -50 |
| HU Total |  | -300 |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: none
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: none
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: none
Herd Unit Issues. Management of the Shoshone River mule deer herd unit using a population objective was eliminated in 2001 due to insufficient classification sample sizes since adequate sample size is a key assumption to all population models. No management goals (e.g., count objectives, buck ratios) were established for this herd due to lack of data; however, our management emphasis is to reduce crop depredation to a minimum yet provide some recreational hunting. We will review this objective in spring of 2016. Farming is the primary land use along and adjacent to riparian areas on private land and provides quality forage compared to the surrounding desert habitat; however, landowner tolerance is low. Thus, managing deer to decrease crop depredation is a focus.

Weather. Climate, specifically drought, has affected upland vegetation and water availability on public lands. Thus, deer have moved to agricultural areas in search of better forage. Drought during 2000-04 resulted in mortality of some sagebrush and probably affected herbaceous vegetation. Growing season precipitation in 2014 was slightly below average, but excellent vegetation growth was observed overall in the Bighorn Basin.

Habitat. Cheatgrass has established itself on some upland sites, but even before recent droughts, habitat quality is low due to low precipitation and poor soils in most non-agricultural portions of the herd unit. Riparian and agricultural lands make up nearly all of the occupied deer habitat. There are no transects established within the herd unit to measure production and utilization of sagebrush.

Classification. Classification surveys have insufficient sample sizes, which result in highly variable ratio estimates. Since few deer are observed, classification surveys in this herd unit is a lower priority among big game herds in the district. In the late 1990s we classified less than 350 deer most years, but since 2007, more than 400 have been surveyed. Recently, sample sizes have totaled over 600 in 2012 and 2014, provided better ratio data and perhaps suggesting an increasing population trend. Over the past 5 years, fawn:doe ratios have ranged between 66-96 fawns: 100 does (average $=84: 100$ ), which indicates this is a highly productive herd.

Harvest. Harvest statistics are probably the best data we have for this herd unit; however, no clear trends can be discerned to suggest population trends. In 2014, hunters harvested less deer $(\mathrm{n}=813)$ compared to $2012(\mathrm{n}=893)$, and is consistent with active license numbers. Harvest success ranged from a low of $49 \%$ in 2009 to $62 \%$ in 2011, and mirrors license numbers over the last 6 years. Hunter numbers match the fluctuation in number of doe/fawn licenses issued with 2014 hunter numbers closely matching 2012. Days per animal harvested decreased in 2014 to 7.6 days/deer compared to 8.1 days/deer in 2013, which may not be significant.

Population. No population model has been used for the Shoshone deer herd since 2001. However, with more deer classified and hunted in this herd unit than in the past, the time-specific juvenile, constant adult (TSJ,CA) survival model shows promise. But, with decreasing doe/fawn licenses in 2015, we may lose a large portion of our harvest data that drives the model.

Management Summary. Regardless of the population level, we will continue to address deer depredation on agricultural crops since private land has most of the deer and deer habitat. The 2015 hunting seasons will have fewer doe/fawn licenses, because crop damage in 2013-14 has subsided; thus, we are returning to maintenance mode. Some hunters continue to ask for more conservative hunting seasons to increase the population and quality and quantity of bucks. It seems that upland habitat has recovered from drought and deer are dispersing further from cropland; therefore, we may be able to increase the population.

## Literature Cited
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2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Mule Deer |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: MD212-OWL CREEK/MEETEETSE |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 116-120 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |
| 2009-2013 Average | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 3,639 | 3,556 | 3,274 |
| Harvest: 311 | 212 | 210 |
| Hunters: 398 | 302 | 280 |
| Hunter Success: 78\% | 70\% | 75\% |
| Active Licenses: 453 | 312 | 290 |
| Active License Success: 69\% | 68\% | 72\% |
| Recreation Days: $\quad 1,740$ | 1,376 | 1,300 |
| Days Per Animal: 5.6 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Males per 100 Females 39 | 41 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 61 | 86 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 5000 (4000-6000) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Special |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -28.9\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rece | rend: | 20 |
| Model Date: |  | 2/24/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 2\% | 1\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 23\% | 21\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 0\% | 0\% |
| Total: | 6\% | 6\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | +8\% | -8\% |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success

MD212 - Hunter Success \% MD212 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ MD212 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


## 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary

for Mule Deer Herd MD212-OWL CREEK/MEETEETSE

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \mathrm{Cls}_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \mathrm{Cls}_{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2+}{2+}$ | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 3,893 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 237 | 18\% | 681 | 51\% | 417 | 31\% | 1,335 | 957 | 12 | 23 | 35 | $\pm 3$ | 61 | $\pm 4$ | 45 |
| 2010 | 3,980 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 212 | 19\% | 532 | 49\% | 352 | 32\% | 1,096 | 1,080 | 15 | 25 | 40 | $\pm 4$ | 66 | $\pm 5$ | 47 |
| 2011 | 3,596 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 231 | 22\% | 541 | 50\% | 300 | 28\% | 1,072 | 901 | 10 | 32 | 43 | $\pm 4$ | 55 | $\pm 4$ | 39 |
| 2012 | 3,452 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 164 | 20\% | 406 | 50\% | 241 | 30\% | 811 | 910 | 8 | 32 | 40 | $\pm 4$ | 59 | $\pm 5$ | 42 |
| 2013 | 3,276 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 150 | 18\% | 413 | 51\% | 250 | 31\% | 813 | 916 | 9 | 27 | 36 | $\pm 4$ | 61 | $\pm 5$ | 44 |
| 2014 | 3,556 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 108 | 18\% | 265 | 44\% | 228 | 38\% | 601 | 1,428 | 10 | 31 | 41 | $\pm 5$ | 86 | $\pm 9$ | 61 |

OWL CREEK/MEETEETSE MULE DEER HERD (MD212)

| Hunt <br> Area | Season Dates |  |  |  | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota |  |
| 116 | 1 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 31 | 75 | Limited quota; Antlered deer |
| $\begin{aligned} & 116, \\ & 117,118 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 100 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 7 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 14 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer valid on private land in the Wood River drainage |
|  | 8 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 75 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer <br> Hunt Area Hunt Area |
| 117 | 1 | Sep. 15 | Oct. 15 | 50 | Limited quota; antlered mule deer or any white-tailed deer |
| 118 | 1 | Oct. 15 <br> Nov. 1 | Oct. 31 <br> Nov. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; Antlered deer Unused Hunt Area 118 Type 1 licenses valid for any white-tailed deer |
| 119 | 1 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 15 | 100 | Limited quota; Antlered deer |
| 119, 120 | 3 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 119 | 6 | Sep. 15 | Nov. 15 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid on irrigated land |
| 120 | 1 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 15 | 50 | Limited quota; Antlered deer |
| 120 | 8 | Sep. 15 | Dec. 15 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| Archery: $117,118,1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16, \\ & 9,120 \end{aligned}$ | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 |  | Refer to Section 2 of this chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118 | 1 | -15 |
| 120 | 6 | -25 |
| HU Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |

Management Evaluation
Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 5,000
Management Strategy: Special
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 3600
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 3300

Herd Unit Issues - Currently, the management goals of this deer herd is to provide quality buck hunting, allow mule deer populations to increase on public lands, and to address potential damage issues on private lands. The post-season population objective was changed in 2014 from 8,000 to 5,000 . The 2014 post-season population estimate is $29 \%$ below objective. This herd unit went through the Mule Deer Initiative public process in early 2014. Field personnel, landowners and most hunters agree this herd is below desired numbers. Model trends currently indicate a slow decline in the population for the past 15 years, which mirrors that of field personnel and most landowners and hunters, along with classification sample sizes and harvest statistics. Poor habitat conditions, long-term drought, and increased harvest of deer on private lands due to potential damage have kept this population below objective.

Weather - The winters of 2011-12 and 2012-13 were mild with low snowpack resulting in mostly good over winter survival. However, the winter of 2010-11 and 2013-14 along with the dry spring and summer of 2012 and 2013 appeared to have been severe enough to cause some die-off and reduced survival. Both herbaceous and shrub growth has been minimal the past three years, except in 2011 and 2014, when spring precipitation was well above normal. Drought conditions have also affected available water in many stock reservoirs and perennial streams.

Habitat - Numerous prescribed and wild fires have burned through this herd unit, particularly on winter ranges in Hunt Areas 118 and 119. Locally for this herd unit, long-term drought conditions have contributed to fewer deer occurring on native range, and have forced more deer onto private irrigated crop fields. Two sagebrush transects were established in this herd unit in 2004 (Appendix A). Transect locations include Grass Creek and Wagonhound Bench. Sagebrush leader growth in 2014 for both the Grass Creek and Wagonhound transects was 2.5 cm . This growth is down slightly compared to the long-term average. Winter utilization is usually around $15 \%$, but is shared with wintering pronghorn and some elk.

Field Data - Both aerial and ground classifications surveys are used in obtaining post-season buck and fawn ratio for this deer herd. Routine classification routes for each Hunt Area have been maintained in order to reflect general trends in deer numbers over time. The number of deer classified has declined dramatically in recent years. In 2009, 1,335 deer where classified, while in 2014 only 601 were classified; a decline of $55 \%$. Buck and fawn ratios have remained favorable in recent years, with a 6 -year average of 38 bucks and 65 fawns per 100 does. The 2014 fawn ratio was 86:100, the highest on record.

Harvest Data - Recent harvest statistics indicate hunting has gotten a little more difficult in this herd unit. Hunter numbers and harvest have declined the past six years by about $40-45 \%$, while harvest success has dropped by $25 \%$. The drop in hunter numbers and harvest is mostly due to Type 6 and 7 licenses quotas being reduced because of declining deer numbers and reduced damage issues. Type 1 hunter success continues to remain favorable at around 50-75\%.

Population - The semi-constant juvenile \& semi-constant adult survival (SCJ, SCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to represent this herd. This model supported an AIC value of 51, along with a very good fit (17) of the model vs. field male ratios. Population estimate seems reasonable, and reflect field personnel perceptions, harvest and classification sample sizes, which indicate a declining population since about 2007. Because of this, the model is considered a good
representation of the herd. Concerns over the declines in deer numbers are annually heard from hunters and landowners. In fact, the Pitchfork Ranch (HMA) has shut down mule deer hunting the past 6 years in Hunt Area 116 because of very low mule deer numbers, and the LU Ranch (Absaroka Front HMA) annually expresses concerns over declining deer numbers in Hunt Area 118. In Hunt Area 120 in 2014, a total of 71 deer were classified, compared to 340 classified in 2009.

All Hunt Areas (116-120) in the herd unit support limited quota hunting seasons. Type 1 license quotas are typically kept low to allow for higher buck ratios and quality. Overwhelming public support for this type of management is heard annually at public season meetings, and during the recent Mule Deer Initiative public meeting. Doe/fawn licenses have and will continue to be used for damage issues when warranted. Season structures have been designed, and will likely continue to be designed to help increase this deer population, particularly those deer utilizing native ranges.

Management Summary - The only changes for 2015 are to reduce the Type 1 quota in Hunt Area 118 and to eliminate the Type 6 season in Hunt Area 120. Overwhelming public support, during the Mule Deer Initiative public meetings, were to reduce doe/fawn harvest and provide better quality buck hunts. The number of deer classified in Hunt Area 118 has declined by over $90 \%$. The LU Ranch would like to see the season closed in Hunt Area 118. Type 1 license quotas in Hunt Area s 116, 117, 119 and 120 appear adequate, with most of these Hunt Areas having license reductions in recent years. The projected 2014 harvest is roughly 210 deer, similar to 2014. Hopefully this deer herd will start to show improving trends, but it's likely to continue declining into the future because of poor habitat and drought conditions.



| CJ,CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival |
| :--- | :--- |
| SCJ,SCA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival |
| TSJ,CA | Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival |








Comments:


2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Mule Deer |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: MD215 - UPPER SHOSHONE |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 110-115 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Active Licenses



Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ MD215 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ MD215 - Hunter Success \% MD215 - Active License Success


2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary
for Mule Deer Herd MD215- UPPER SHOSHONE

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | YIg | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2+}{2+}$ | otal | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 9,191 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 297 | 15\% | 1,048 | 53\% | 647 | 32\% | 1,992 | 1,140 | 12 | 16 | 28 | $\pm 2$ | 62 | $\pm 4$ | 48 |
| 2010 | 9,589 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 364 | 16\% | 1,145 | 52\% | 707 | 32\% | 2,216 | 1,090 | 15 | 16 | 32 | $\pm 2$ | 62 | $\pm 3$ | 47 |
| 2011 | 8,368 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 323 | 16\% | 1,071 | 53\% | 613 | 31\% | 2,007 | 1,071 | 11 | 19 | 30 | $\pm 2$ | 57 | $\pm 3$ | 44 |
| 2012 | 7,756 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 218 | 10\% | 1,165 | 52\% | 863 | 38\% | 2,246 | 1,148 | 7 | 12 | 19 | $\pm 1$ | 74 | $\pm 4$ | 62 |
| 2013 | 8,400 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 244 | 14\% | 946 | 53\% | 607 | 34\% | 1,797 | 1,148 | 13 | 12 | 26 | $\pm 2$ | 64 | $\pm 4$ | 51 |
| 2014 | 8,700 | 98 | 101 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 223 | 13\% | 945 | 56\% | 512 | 30\% | 1,680 | 1,010 | 10 | 13 | 24 | $\pm 2$ | 54 | $\pm 3$ | 44 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS

 UPPER SHOSHONE MULE DEER HERD (MD215)| Hunt Area | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota |  |
| 110 |  | Oct. 15 | Nov. 10 |  | General license; antlered mule deer or any white-tailed deer |
| 110, 111 | 8 | Oct. 15 | Dec. 31 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn whitetailed deer |
| 111 | 6 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 10 | 25 | General license; antlered mule deer or any white-tailed deer |
|  |  | Oct. 15 | Nov. 10 |  | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid off national forest |
| 112 |  | Oct. 15 | Nov. 10 |  | General license; antlered mule deer or any white-tailed deer valid on national forest |
|  |  | Nov. 1 | Nov. 10 |  | General license; any deer valid off national forest |
| 112, 113 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 6 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 10 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid off national forest |
|  | 8 | Oct. 15 | Dec. 31 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn whitetailed deer |
| 113 |  | Oct. 15 | Nov. 10 |  | General license; antlered mule deer or any white-tailed deer valid on national forest General license; any deer valid off national forest |
|  |  | Nov. 1 | Nov. 10 |  |  |
| 114 |  | Oct. 15 | Nov. 10 |  | General license; antlered deer |
| 115 |  | Sep. 10 | Oct. 22 |  | General license; antlered deer |
| Archery |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 |  | Sep. 1 | Sep. 9 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 7 | -25 |
| Total | 7 | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |
| Reg F NR <br> Quota | $\mathbf{9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 12,000
Management Strategy: Recreational
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~8,700
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~8,900
Herd Unit Issues. The Upper Shoshone Herd Unit is dominated by migratory deer, although some non-migratory deer do exist in the North and South Fork Shoshone River valleys. These deer exhibit mediocre productivity, as evidenced by the 20-year (1994-2013) average fawn:doe ratio of 61.1 fawns:100 does (range 42:100 - 74:100). Buck harvest is dictated by the influence of weather upon the timing of fall migrations and whether or not they arrive on low elevation winter ranges prior to the standard closing date of November 10. This has created a situation where buck harvest and consequently buck:doe ratios vary widely. In response to this variation, periodic 4-point regulations are implemented for 2 years to protect primarily yearling bucks and assist in recovery of buck:doe ratios. This fluctuation is represented in postseason buck:doe ratios, which have averaged 26.3 bucks:100 does over the past 20 years (1994-2013), but have ranged from 14:100 to 35:100.

The migratory nature of this deer herd creates difficulties in managing for stable buck:doe ratios. Low densities of deer on the vast summer ranges of the Absaroka Mountains are reflected in the relatively low harvest of deer early in the season. For example, over the last 25 years buck harvest in Area 115 (which has a September 10 opening date) has averaged 31 bucks/year. This is also reflected in check station records, which show that $75 \%$ of deer harvested each year are taken during the November portion of the season. Intense hunting pressure along restricted migration corridors during this time, particularly on the North Fork of the Shoshone River, has become an increasingly difficult situation to manage.

Weather. Weather conditions during the 2014 biological year were characterized by near normal spring-summer moisture, and severe early winter conditions that moderated dramatically after the first of the year. It is unknown what the overall impact of such a winter will be until spring classifications are conducted in April.

Habitat. Two sagebrush transects are monitored in this herd unit; one in the North Fork of the Shoshone River and one in the South Fork of the Shoshone River, but no data for the 2014 biological year is available.

Field Data. Buck:doe ratios collected in 2014 were 24:100, which is slightly below the longterm average for this herd, but definitely within the range observed over the last 20 years (19942013). As the population will now be allowed to grow by another $35 \%$, the sheer abundance of bucks will increase substantially as well. Fawn ratios in 2014 were well below average for this herd unit, at only 53 fawns:100 does.

Harvest Data. A total of 711 bucks were harvested in 2014, which represents a drop from that seen in 2013 (913), but more closely resembles harvest achieved in 2008-2012 (632-818). Antlerless deer harvest was reduced in 2012-2014, and represents the fewest antlerless deer harvested since 1999-2001.

There were 1,731 hunters in the Upper Shoshone herd unit in 2014 and hunter numbers have remained relatively consistent over the last 10 years (2004-2013 avg. 1,887 hunters), and have traditionally harbored a large proportion of non-resident hunters, averaging $43.6 \%$ over the 20042013 period (range 38.9\%-49.9\%). In 2014, the percentage of non-resident hunters was 39.6\%.

Population. The "Time Specific Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (TSJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd, as the population trend appears to be relatively accurate. The postseason population estimate for 2014 is 8,700 deer, or $28 \%$ below the population objective, which is much lower than previous estimates. Under previous estimates, more conservative antlerless seasons were implemented in 2012 so the new lower estimate only means the deer herd will be allowed to grow further than previously planned.

With the intent of letting the population grow as fast as possible, doe/fawn harvest was restricted as much as possible starting in 2014, and will continue for the foreseeable future. The 2015 seasons and the impacts of the 2014-2015 winter could result in a post-season 2015 population of 8,900 deer, slowly growing toward the objective of 12,000 . Because the population is $30 \%$ below objective, and to prevent buck ratios from falling further, the Region F non-resident quota will be reduced by 300 (to 950). This will be offset by the creation of Region X, with a nonresident quota of 300 .
Check best model

|  | MODELS SUMMARY | Fit | Relative AICc | Check best model to create report | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CJ,CA | Constant Juvenile \& Adult Survival | 142 | 152 | $\square \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{Ca} \mathrm{model}$ |  |
| scJ,ScA | Semi-Constant Juvenile \& Semi-Constant Adult Survival | 186110 | 186119 | $\square$ sq, Sca Mod |  |
| TSJ,CA | Time-Specific Juvenile \& Constant Adult Survival | 6 | 186 | TTS, CA model |  |











2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Mule Deer |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: MD216 - CLARKS FORK |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 105-106, 109, 121 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ MD216 - Hunter Success \% $\square$ MD216 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ MD216 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females
$\square$ MD216 - Males $\square$ MD216 - Juveniles


2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary
for Mule Deer Herd MD216 - CLARKS FORK

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2+ \\ \text { Cls } 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2+}{\text { UnCls }}$ | otal | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 5,000 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 222 | 14\% | 789 | 51\% | 527 | 34\% | 1,538 | 1,219 | 10 | 19 | 28 | $\pm 2$ | 67 | $\pm 4$ | 52 |
| 2010 | 4,500 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 224 | 16\% | 788 | 55\% | 431 | 30\% | 1,443 | 1,043 | 11 | 17 | 28 | $\pm 2$ | 55 | $\pm 3$ | 43 |
| 2011 | 4,000 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 185 | 16\% | 656 | 57\% | 315 | 27\% | 1,156 | 1,051 | 8 | 20 | 28 | $\pm 3$ | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 37 |
| 2012 | 3,750 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 85 | 11\% | 386 | 52\% | 270 | 36\% | 741 | 947 | 6 | 16 | 22 | $\pm 3$ | 70 | $\pm 6$ | 57 |
| 2013 | 3,500 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 166 | 15\% | 576 | 51\% | 390 | 34\% | 1,132 | 1,083 | 12 | 16 | 29 | $\pm 3$ | 68 | $\pm 5$ | 53 |
| 2014 | 3,125 | 48 | 63 | 39 | 11 | 0 | 161 | 16\% | 550 | 55\% | 288 | 29\% | 999 | 893 | 9 | 21 | 29 | $\pm 3$ | 52 | $\pm 4$ | 41 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS <br> CLARKS FORK MULE DEER HERD (MD216)

| Hunt Area | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota |  |
| 105 |  | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 |  | General license; antlered mule deer or any white-tailed deer valid on national forest |
|  |  | Nov. 1 | Nov. 5 |  | General license; any deer valid off national forest |
|  |  | Nov. 6 | Nov. 30 |  | General license; antlerless deer valid off national forest |
|  | 6 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn valid off national forest |
| $\begin{gathered} 105,106 \\ 109 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 15 | 50 | Limited quota; any deer |
| 106 |  | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 |  | General license; antlered mule deer or any white-tailed deer |
| 121 |  | Nov. 1 | Nov. 10 |  | General license; any deer |
|  |  | Nov. 11 | Nov. 30 |  | General license; antlerless deer |
|  | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 6 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 150 | Limited quota; doe or fawn |
| Archery 105, 106, <br> 109, 121 |  | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105 | 6 | -75 |
| 121 | 6 | -250 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{- 3 2 5}$ |
| Reg F NR <br> Quota | $\mathbf{9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 5,000
Management Strategy: Special (HA106, 109) Recreational (HA105, 121)
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~3,100
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~2,750
Herd Unit Issues. Much of the Clarks Fork Herd Unit is characterized by migratory deer (Hunt Areas 105, 106, 109), but substantial numbers of non-migratory deer associated with agricultural areas are found in Area 105 and 121. Migratory deer exhibit relatively poor productivity, while deer associated with agricultural fields have much higher productivity. Consequently, damage situations arise with non-migratory deer in portions of Area 105 and 121, while poor productivity requires conservative management of migratory deer. This situation is further complicated by the skewed classification effort directed at migratory deer and the lack of classification data from Area 121. Deer management in Area 121 is driven almost exclusively by landowner tolerance, and therefore little effort is placed on gathering population data from this segment of the Clarks Fork Herd Unit. This situation was remedied during the Herd Unit Review of the Clarks Fork Herd Unit in 2014 when Hunt Area 121 was removed and placed in the Shoshone River Herd Unit with Hunt Areas 122 and 123. The herd unit objective for the "new" Clarks Fork Herd Unit (Hunt Areas 105, 106, 109) was changed to 5,000 deer.

Weather. Weather conditions during the 2014 biological year were characterized by near normal spring-summer moisture, and quite severe early winter conditions that moderated dramatically after the new year.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this herd unit.
Field Data. Fawn recruitment in 2014 was poor, with only 52 fawns: 100 does. This compares to the most recent 10-year (1994-2013) average fawn:doe ratio of 59.9 fawns: 100 does (range $48: 100-70: 100$ ). Buck ratios were 29:100 in 2014. Buck ratios averaged 25.0 bucks: 100 does over the 1994-2013 period (range 19:100 - 30:100), but recently have trended higher (27.7 bucks:100 does) since removing the General License season in November in Area 106 and portions of Area 105.

Harvest Data. Since removing the General License season in November in Area 106 and portions of Area 105, buck harvest has declined as intended, resulting in higher postseason buck:doe ratios and more older age class bucks in the population. This was accomplished primarily by reducing hunter numbers, especially when bucks are most vulnerable in November. For example, in Area 106, 2008-2013 hunter numbers declined from the previous 5-year (20032007) average of 587 hunters/year to 483 hunters/year, while hunter success remained similar (approximately 37\%) over both periods. Current management in Hunt Areas 105, 106, and 109 is preserving buck:doe ratios at acceptable levels, while encouraging the population of migratory deer to grow. Antlerless deer harvest has not occurred in Hunt Area 109 for over 15 years and for over 30 years in Hunt Area 106.

The 2011-2013 hunting seasons in damage-prone agricultural areas of Areas 105 and 121 resulted in some of the highest doe/fawn harvest on record for either hunt area. Deer numbers
and damage claims have been reduced in these areas and so will antlerless harvest efforts in 2015.

Population. The "Time Specific Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (TSJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd, as the population trend appears to be reasonable. The postseason population estimate for 2014 is 3,125 deer, or $38 \%$ below the population objective of 5,000 deer.

We will continue with the current management structure for migratory deer (which consists of conservative buck seasons, with no antlerless harvest), while continuing to target non-migratory deer in agricultural areas with lengthy general antlerless seasons and abundant doe/fawn permits (as was initiated in 2012). Additional opportunities to harvest white-tailed deer will be provided in Area 106. The 2015 seasons should result in post-season 2015 population near 2,750 deer, while maintaining improved buck ratios in Hunt Areas 105, 106, and 109.




SPECIES: White tailed Deer
HERD: WD201 - BIGHORN BASIN
HUNT AREAS: 35, 37, 39-41, 46-47, 50-53, 105-106, 109-125, 127, 164-165

PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015

PREPARED BY: LESLIE SCHREIBER

|  | 2009-2013 Average | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population: | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Harvest: | 2,235 | 1,638 | 1,740 |
| Hunters: | 4,745 | 3,690 | 3,800 |
| Hunter Success: | 47\% | 44\% | 46 \% |
| Active Licenses: | 5,698 | 4,411 | 4,500 |
| Active License Success: | 39\% | 37\% | 39 \% |
| Recreation Days: | 21,713 | 17,565 | 17,700 |
| Days Per Animal: | 9.7 | 10.7 | 10.2 |
| Males per 100 Females | 36 | 33 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 71 |  | 86 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  |  | $0(0-0)$ |
| Management Strategy: |  |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  |  | N/A\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend: Model Date: |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  | None |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |  |
|  |  | JCR Year | Proposed |
|  | Females $\geq 1$ year old: | na\% | na\% |
|  | Males $\geq 1$ year old: | na\% | na\% |
|  | Juveniles (< 1 year old): | na\% | na\% |
|  | Total: | na\% | na\% |
| Proposed ch | post-season population: | na\% | na\% |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest




Harvest Success
$\square$ WD201 - Hunter Success \% $\square \begin{aligned} & \text { WD201 - Active License Success }\end{aligned}$


## Active Licenses

$\square$ WD201 - Active Licenses

$\square$ WD201 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females
$\square$ WD201-Males $\square$ WD201 - Juveniles


## 2015 Hunting Seasons <br> Bighorn Basin White-tailed Deer (WD201)

| Hunt Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 36 | 8 | Oct. 15 | Oct. 22 | 25 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 37 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 15 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 40 | 8 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 41 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 41 | 8 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 47, 51 | 3 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 47 | 8 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 51 | 8 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 110, 111 | 8 | Oct. 15 | Dec. 31 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 112, 113 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 112, 113 | 8 | Oct 15 | Dec. 31 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| $\begin{gathered} 116,117 \\ 118 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 100 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| $\begin{gathered} 116,117 \\ 118 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 14 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer valid on private land in the Wood River drainage |
| $\begin{gathered} 116,117 \\ 118 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 75 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 119, 120 | 3 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 120 | 8 | Sep. 15 | Dec. 15 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 121 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 122 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 124 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 8 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| 127 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 15 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 164 | 3 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
| 165 | 3 | Oct. 15 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any white-tailed deer |
|  | 8 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 100 | Limited quota; doe or fawn white-tailed deer |
| Archery: |  | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 |  | Refer to Section 2 of this Chapter |
|  |  | Hunt Area | Type |  | Quota change from 2014 |
|  |  | 41,47 | 8 |  | -100 |
|  |  | 41 | 8 |  | +50 |
|  |  | 47 | 8 |  | +50 |
|  |  | 116,117,118 | 3 |  | +25 |
|  |  | 116,117,118 | 7 |  | +100 |
|  |  | 116,117,118 | 8 |  | +25 |
|  |  | 119,120 | 3 |  | +10 |
|  |  | 122 | 8 |  | -50 |
|  |  | 165 | 8 |  | +50 |
|  |  | Total |  |  | +160 |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: none
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: none
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: none

Herd Unit Issues. All white-tailed deer within the Bighorn Basin are managed as one herd unit consisting of 33 Hunt Areas. Hunting seasons for white-tails are typically set in conjunction with mule deer hunting seasons by hunt area. Some opportunity exists for exclusive white-tail type 3 licenses, whereas most are managed to minimize crop depredation using type 8 licenses. Blue tongue and epizootic hemorrhagic disease periodically (occurred in 2001, 2007, 2011) reduces deer numbers; however, white-tail deer have quickly rebounded from disease outbreaks.

Weather. Despite drought conditions occurring across Wyoming in 2000-04 and again in 2012, white-tailed deer in the Bighorn basin are only marginally affected since they occur along riparian areas and irrigated crop lands. The main influence of weather on this herd is probably realized through impacts on gnat populations that carry the EHD virus.

Habitat. White-tailed deer are limited to riparian and agricultural lands along major streams. Some white-tails have been observed in forested and other non-typical habitats. Urban development in riparian areas or on retired farm land, especially along the Shoshone River, may impact the amount of habitat available for white-tails. They have shown to be adaptable to human activity.

Field Data and Harvest Data. Not enough data is collected to draw conclusions from classification data. Harvest data typically follows number of licenses issued and would not provide an index to population level.

Population. Too little data is collected on white-tailed deer in the Bighorn Basin to justify creation of a population model. With no population model, there is no population estimate or objective.

Management Summary. White-tailed deer hunting seasons will continue to be set to address landowner concerns. Licenses specific only for white-tailed deer are needed to obtain enough harvest. Harvest rates probably do not greatly affect the overall population. More licenses for bucks are being proposed for 2015, because department personnel perceive that more can be issued without impacting buck numbers. Furthermore, the Owl Creek/Meeteetse Mule Deer Initiative identified competition with white-tailed deer as a factor in mule deer declines.

## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Elk |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: EL211-MEDICINE LODGE |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 41, 45 |  | PREPARED BY: LESLIE SCHREIBER |
| 2009-2013 Average | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 4,320 | 3,219 | 3,120 |
| Harvest: 676 | 689 | 595 |
| Hunters: 1,643 | 1,878 | 1,850 |
| Hunter Success: 41\% | 37\% | 32 \% |
| Active Licenses: 1,667 | 1,899 | 1,800 |
| Active License Success: 41\% | 36\% | 33 \% |
| Recreation Days: 12,692 | 13,633 | 13,400 |
| Days Per Animal: 18.8 | 19.8 | 22.5 |
| Males per 100 Females 24 | 28 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 45 | 41 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 3000 (2400-3600) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Recreational |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | 7\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent | nd: | 12 |
| Model Date: |  | 05/10/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 17\% | 16\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 29\% | 30\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 6\% | 3\% |
| Total: | 17\% | 16\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | 0\% | -3\% |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ EL211 - Hunter Success \% $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { EL211 - Active License Success }\end{aligned}$


## Active Licenses



Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ EL211 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


| 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for Elk Herd EL211-MEDICINE LODGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | males |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | Tot <br> Cls | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Cls} \\ \hline \text { Obj } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Ylng | Adult | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Conf } \\ \hline \text { Int } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 100 Fem | Conf Int | 100 Adult |
| 2009 | 4,100 | 212 | 207 | 419 | 13\% | 1,914 | 61\% | 798 | 25\% | 3,131 | 543 | 11 | 11 | 22 | $\pm 1$ | 42 | $\pm 1$ | 34 |
| 2010 | 4,200 | 155 | 134 | 289 | 12\% | 1,430 | 60\% | 684 | 28\% | 2,403 | 506 | 11 | 9 | 20 | $\pm 1$ | 48 | $\pm 2$ | 40 |
| 2011 | 4,500 | 245 | 215 | 460 | 18\% | 1,453 | 56\% | 686 | 26\% | 2,599 | 582 | 17 | 15 | 32 | $\pm 1$ | 47 | $\pm 2$ | 36 |
| 2012 | 4,600 | 164 | 177 | 341 | 15\% | 1,251 | 56\% | 634 | 28\% | 2,226 | 753 | 13 | 14 | 27 | $\pm 2$ | 51 | $\pm 2$ | 40 |
| 2013 | 4,200 | 127 | 186 | 313 | 12\% | 1,622 | 63\% | 641 | 25\% | 2,576 | 614 | 8 | 11 | 19 | $\pm 1$ | 40 | $\pm 1$ | 33 |
| 2014 | 3,311 | 200 | 242 | 442 | 17\% | 1,570 | 59\% | 636 | 24\% | 2,648 | 513 | 13 | 15 | 28 | $\pm 1$ | 41 | $\pm 1$ | 32 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS Medicine Lodge Elk Herd Unit (EL211)

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Opens | Closes | Quota | Limitations |  |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 5 | -75 |
| 45 | 6 | +75 |
| Total |  | 0 |

## Management Evaluation

## Current Management Objective: 3,000

2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 3,200
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 3,100
Herd Unit Issues. Following a marking study in the early 1980s, this herd unit was formed by combining two pre-existing herds, Trapper-Medicine Lodge and Paintrock-Ten Sleep, due to interchange of elk. The herd unit continues to be managed with hunting licenses valid for either the northern Hunt Area 41 or the southern Hunt Area 45. The current population objective of 3,000 elk was first adopted in 1983. Formal internal reviews of the population objective and management goals were conducted in 1997, 2001 and 2007. A public herd unit review is scheduled for 2016.

Human activities in this herd unit are rarely severe enough to affect elk survival and productivity. Bentonite mining and oil/gas development occur on the west side of the herd unit where habitats are not suitable for elk. Farming occurs near elk habitats and elk often forage on irrigated crops or pastures. Antlerless elk hunting seasons are often driven by landowner complaints. Conversely, some landowners lease hunting to outfitters and allow no public access to even hunt cow elk. During the past 10 years, lack of access to large groups of elk on private land has allowed this population to increase. Three hunter-harvested brucellosis seropositive elk were found in the Bighorn Mountains in 2014: a bull in Hunt Area 41 and a cow each in Hunt Areas 40 and 39. Due to the possible presence of brucellosis, management of this herd unit is focused on bringing elk numbers at or below objective. Education for hunters and field personnel collecting brucellosis blood samples has resulted in more testable samples each year. Between 2011-14, the vet lab tested 77, 68, 141, and 156 samples, respectively, from the Medicine Lodge herd.

Weather. Climatic factors affect this elk herd more than human-caused factors (besides hunter harvest) and good spring moisture has produced good forage in most areas of the herd unit. Survival and productivity are driven by drought and severe winters, however, high calf:cow ratios indicate climate has been moderate in the Bighorns since 2006. There has not been a severe winter in the Bighorn Basin since the early 1980s so calf survival has been high. There are no transects in this area to monitor vegetative production or utilization.

Habitat. The herd unit contains approximately $1,500 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ with most high-elevation summer ranges consisting mainly of sagebrush-grassland and alpine meadows interspersed with aspen, lodgepole pine, and spruce/fir timber stands. The majority of the summer range is public land managed by the U.S.D.A. Forest Service. Steep foothills and drainages that serve as winter and spring ranges are covered with juniper, sagebrush, and grasslands. Winter ranges are mainly public land managed by the Bureau of Land Management, interspersed with private land.

Field Data. During the driest years of the most recent extended drought (2001-04), calf numbers averaged 34 calves:100 cows. In years with "normal" precipitation (2009-14), 45 calves:100 cows have been observed on average, and in 2014, calf:cow ratios were 41 calves:100. High calf:cow ratios grow this population quickly if harvest does not keep up with production.

Bull:cow ratios can vary depending on if bull groups are located during classification surveys. For example, 19 bulls: 100 cows were observed in 2013 then jumped to 28 bulls: 100 cows in 2014 demonstrating the variability in bull ratios. Annual bull ratios averaged over 3-5 years probably give a better indication in bull trends. We attempt to fly consistent surveys ( $\sim 4$ helicopter hours) so that bull ratios can accurately reflect actual population parameters.

Management of hunting seasons allowed bull:cow ratios to increase, and hunt areas changed from general license hunting to limited quota in 1979 and 1983, for the northern and southern Hunt Areas, respectively. From 1975 to 1984, an average of 9 bulls: 100 cows was observed during classification surveys with most of those being yearling bulls. Bull ratios began to increase under limited quota hunting (average $=13: 100$ between 1985-1997). Bull ratios have increased recently, except during drought years, averaging 21:100 (1998-2014). Branched antlered bulls have been observed in similar proportions compared to yearling bulls.

Harvest Data. Following changes to Type 1 licenses, harvest statistics indicated harvesting an elk became easier. Effects of limited quota hunting began to be noticed in increased hunter
success and decreased days per harvested animal by the late 1980s-early 1990s. Since the change to "any elk" Type 1 licenses, those statistics have shown less variability (range between $35-45 \%$ hunter success and 15-23 days/harvest). The number of antlerless/cow licenses can mask harvest rates of bulls when overall herd unit results are analyzed for success and effort. The number of antlerless/cow licenses being issued in the herd unit has increased over the past 15 years.

More recently, the number of total licenses offered and number of hunters have increased. The number of elk harvested and hunter effort (days/harvested elk) are dependent upon weather and hunter access to elk herds. In 2014, number of hunters and hunter effort increased, but success and total harvest declined when compared to 2013, suggesting hunters had a harder time to find and harvest an elk. Weather was warm in October causing elk to stay inaccessible at higher elevations, but late November and December were cold and snowy creating an optimal lateseason cow harvest.

Population. This population was monitored using trend surveys until 2008. Classification survey totals were often higher than trend totals, so trend surveys were discontinued. Classification and trend survey totals suggest an increasing population since the early 1990s, except for a decline during extended drought (2000-04). Classification totals may not be accurate representation of the population, since flight budgets have not increased at an equal rate with cost per hour, resulting in decreased effort. On the other hand, field personnel may be more efficient at locating groups of elk using institutional knowledge. Elk wintering on the west side of the Bighorn Mountains are typically found along a band of elevation between deep snows at higher elevations and saltbush shrub desert at lower elevations.

The Medicine Lodge elk herd spreadsheet model incorporates 30 years of data, but we will consider truncating the dataset next year. The time-specific juvenile, constant adult (TSJ, CA) model shows a declining population which matches the perceptions of field personnel and harvest data, unlike the male survival coefficient (TSJ, CA, MSC) model which shows an increasing population. According to the user manual, the TSJ, CA model is appropriate when the modeler has access to a long-term dataset and adult survival is relatively constant, which is the case for this herd unit. The TSJ, CA, MSC model is appropriate for herd units that have high natural predation creating differing adult male and adult female survival, which is not the case in the Medicine Lodge herd. The TSJ, CA model estimates a 2014 post-hunt population of 3,200 elk. This model ranks as "fair" and would benefit from sample-based population estimates with standard errors.

Management Summary. Hunting seasons proposed for 2015 should continue moving this population toward objective. Elevated antlerless/cow license numbers and long seasons should enable hunters to harvest female elk to address brucellosis concerns. Few landowners have complained about too many elk; usually only if elk concentrate on irrigated crops or pasture. We will continue to targeted antlerless/cow elk with antlerless/caw-calf licenses to manage this herd and bring it to objective. We will continue to increase antlerless/cow-calf licenses to ensure the population does not grow and stays at objective.





Comments:


ұuəubəs łunчə.d $\ddagger 0$ \%

Date: January 2, 2015
Observer: Schreiber, DeSomber, Lentsch
Species: Elk
Survey Type: Classification
Air Service: SKY Aviation
Conditions: clear, 20-30 F , calm
Flight Duration: 6 hours survey, 25 minutes ferry (Medicine Lodge Herd only)


Hunt Area 41 north


Hunt Area 41 south


Hunt Area 45


## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Elk |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: EL214-GOOSEBERRY |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 62-64 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |  |
|  | 2009-2013 Average | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| Trend Count: | 2,760 | 2,481 | 2,300 |
| Harvest: | 702 | 922 | 950 |
| Hunters: | 1,233 | 1,556 | 1,500 |
| Hunter Success: | 57\% | 59\% | 63\% |
| Active Licenses: | 1,277 | 1,627 | 1,600 |
| Active License Success | 55\% | 57\% | 59\% |
| Recreation Days: | 7,560 | 10,176 | 10,000 |
| Days Per Animal: | 10.8 | 11.0 | 10.5 |
| Males per 100 Females: | 21 | 15 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 28 | 26 |  |
| Trend Based Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) |  |  | 2,000 (1600-2400) |
| Management Strategy: |  |  | Special |
| Percent population is above (+) or (-) objective: |  |  | 24\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend: |  |  | 15 |

Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ EL214 - Hunter Success \%
$\square$ EL214 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ EL214 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females
EL214-Males $\square$ EL214 - Juveniles

for Elk Herd EL214-GOOSEBERRY

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cls } \\ & \text { Obj } \end{aligned}$ | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | Ylng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 4,100 | 176 | 120 | 296 | 14\% | 1,404 | 66\% | 421 | 20\% | 2,121 | 357 | 13 | 9 | 21 | $\pm 1$ | 30 | $\pm 1$ | 25 |
| 2010 | 3,900 | 184 | 160 | 344 | 16\% | 1,461 | 67\% | 388 | 18\% | 2,193 | 315 | 13 | 11 | 24 | $\pm 1$ | 27 | $\pm 1$ | 21 |
| 2011 | 3,400 | 187 | 196 | 383 | 16\% | 1,611 | 66\% | 440 | 18\% | 2,434 | 309 | 12 | 12 | 24 | $\pm 1$ | 27 | $\pm 1$ | 22 |
| 2012 | 0 | 221 | 255 | 476 | 15\% | 1,944 | 62\% | 724 | 23\% | 3,144 | 468 | 11 | 13 | 24 | $\pm 0$ | 37 | $\pm 0$ | 30 |
| 2013 | 0 | 177 | 127 | 304 | 11\% | 2,022 | 74\% | 422 | 15\% | 2,748 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 15 | $\pm 0$ | 21 | $\pm 0$ | 18 |
| 2014 | 0 | 138 | 124 | 262 | 11\% | 1,758 | 71\% | 461 | 19\% | 2,481 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 15 | $\pm 0$ | 26 | $\pm 0$ | 23 |


|  | Flight Time |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Count Dates | Hours | Minutes | Number Counted |
| 2009 | JANUARY 2010 | 3 | 30 | 2,671 |
| 2010 | FEBRUARY 2011 | 4 | 35 | 2,801 |
| 2011 | JANUARY 2012 | 4 | 0 | 2,434 |
| 2012 | JANUARY 2013 | 4 | 50 | 3,144 |
| 2013 | JANUARY 2014 | 6 | 40 | 2,748 |
| 2014 | JANUARY 2015 | 5 | 50 | 2,481 |

# 2015 HUNTING SEASONS <br> GOOSEBERRY ELK HERD (EL214) 

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Opens | Closes | Quota | Limitations |

Management Evaluation
Current Mid-Winter Trend Count Objective: 2,000
Management Strategy: Special
2014 Mid-Winter Count: 2481
Most Recent 3-year Running Average Trend Count: 2791

Herd Unit Issues - This population appears to have remained fairly stable the past 8 years, with only a slight upward trend in the late 2000's. This trend reflects field personnel and landowner perceptions of elk densities and trends, as well as when calf ratios began to increase. Hunter access to private lands, potential damage issues, brucellosis and large predator influences will continue to be major issues in managing this elk herd. The herd objective and management strategy were last revised in 2012. Efforts to develop and implement management ideas that result in more harvest and improved hunter success have and will continue to be major concerns with this elk herd. Currently, this herd unit supports three Hunter Management Areas (Pitchfork, Absaroka Front \& Owl Creek HMA’s), and one large Walk-in-Area. The Pitchfork and Absaroka Front HMA's have been in place for over 15 years, and continue to provide hunter access in areas 62,63 and 64. Hunting season structures, particularly antlerless and cow/calf seasons have become very liberal over the past 10 years. License quotas and season lengths have increased dramatically, with most antlerless and cow/calf hunting seasons being 3-4 months long. Because this herd is being managed under special management, Type $1 \& 2$ seasons are managed conservatively to maintain good bull quality and hunter satisfaction.

Weather - Winter conditions the past 3 years have been mild, with mostly low snowpack and normal temperatures, resulting in good over winter survival. However, the dry summer conditions in 2012 and 2013 appeared to influence elk distribution due to decreased forage production. Because of this, some damage issues on private land were reported. Overall, forage production increased significantly in 2014 as a result of increased moisture throughout the year. Fall and winter precipitation in 2014 was well above normal throughout this herd unit, which should result in good spring green up.

Habitat - Numerous prescribed and wild fires have burned throughout this herd unit over the past 2 decades, particularly in areas 62 and 63. These fires have certainly improved forage quality and quantity for the herd. However, with long-term drought conditions persisting, more elk are being forced to private irrigated crop fields. Two sagebrush transects were established in this herd unit in 2004 (Appendix A). Transect locations include Grass Creek and Wagonhound Bench. Sagebrush leader growth in 2014 for both the Grass Creek and Wagonhound transects was about 2.5 cm . This growth is down about $10 \%$ compared to the long-term average. Winter utilization is usually around $16 \%$, but is shared with wintering pronghorn and deer.

Field Data - Based on mid-winter trend counts, this elk herd increased from about 2400 elk to 2800 elk between the years 2005 and 2010. Since 2010, this trend has remained mostly stable with a 2014 3-year average of 2791 elk. The previous 3 -year annual trend counts have shown a dramatic decline in elk; with 3100 counted in 2012 down to 2500 in 2014. If this trend continues, we will be reaching our winter count goal by 2016. Calf ratios have fluctuated in recent years, but on average have remained at about 28:100 cows.

Harvest Data - Overall, total harvest of elk in this herd unit has increased by $100 \%$ since 2009, with 2013 and 2014 the highest harvest on record. For the most part, hunter success has improved slightly and is averaging about $57 \%$. Hunter numbers have increased by $50 \%$ since 2009. Hunter effort (10-11 days/harvest) has remained mostly stable despite increased hunter numbers. These improving harvest trends along with winter counts also reflect field personnel and landowner perceptions of declining elk densities.

Population - Current trends for this elk herd appear to be declining. Mid-winter trend counts have varied in recent years, from a high of 3100 elk in 2012 to a low of 2500 elk in 2014, with a 3 -year running average of 2800 elk for 2014. For the most part, field personnel feel elk numbers
are starting to show some decline, given harvest has increased by nearly $100 \%$ in recent years. With current declining trend counts, it's predicted the herd may be reaching objective levels by 2016.

Management Summary - Currently for the herd unit, hunter densities appear adequate, and landowner tolerance for hunter densities is mostly acceptable. Bull harvest and quality, along with hunter satisfaction remains favorable. Season lengths will continue to run until late December in all hunt areas to allow for optimum hunter opportunity. The early Type 6 season in area 64 will address potential damage concerns on hay meadows and native rangeland along Grass Creek if needed. With a 2015 projected harvest of about 900-1000 elk, we expect further declines in this population to occur, which should help push this elk herd further toward objective.


## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Elk |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: EL216-CODY |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 55-56, 58-61, 66 | 2009-2013 Average | PREPARED BY: DOUG MCWHIRTER |  |
|  |  | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| Trend Count: | 5,667 | 5,110 | 5,000 |
| Harvest: | 1,467 | 1,663 | 1,500 |
| Hunters: | 2,833 | 3,215 | 3,000 |
| Hunter Success: | 52\% | 52\% | 50\% |
| Active Licenses: | 2,983 | 3,362 | 3,100 |
| Active License Success | 49\% | 49\% | 48\% |
| Recreation Days: | 18,117 | 21,247 | 20,000 |
| Days Per Animal: | 12.3 | 12.8 | 13.3 |
| Males per 100 Females: | 27 | 21 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 30 | 24 |  |
| Trend Based Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) |  |  | 4,400 (3520-5280) |
| Management Strategy: |  |  | Special |
| Percent population is above (+) or (-) objective: |  |  | 16\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend: |  |  | 1 |

Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ EL216 - Hunter Success \%
$\square$ EL216 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses

$\square$ EL216 - Active Licenses


Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ EL216 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females
EL216 - Males $\square$ EL216 - Juveniles


## 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary

| for Elk Herd EL216-CODY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| Year | Post Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 8,100 | 213 | 253 | 466 | 13\% | 2,400 | 66\% | 749 | 21\% | 3,615 | 284 | 9 | 11 | 19 | $\pm 1$ | 31 | $\pm 1$ | 26 |
| 2010 | 8,000 | 375 | 335 | 710 | 12\% | 3,878 | 68\% | 1,135 | 20\% | 5,723 | 372 | 10 | 9 | 18 | $\pm 1$ | 29 | $\pm 1$ | 25 |
| 2011 | 8,000 | 582 | 755 | 1,337 | 18\% | 4,490 | 61\% | 1,519 | 21\% | 7,346 | 370 | 13 | 17 | 30 | $\pm 0$ | 34 | $\pm 0$ | 26 |
| 2012 | 0 | 262 | 397 | 659 | 16\% | 2,561 | 63\% | 815 | 20\% | 4,035 | 388 | 10 | 16 | 26 | $\pm 0$ | 32 | $\pm 0$ | 25 |
| 2013 | 0 | 333 | 860 | 1,193 | 24\% | 3,130 | 62\% | 740 | 15\% | 5,063 | 377 | 11 | 27 | 38 | $\pm 0$ | 24 | $\pm 0$ | 17 |
| 2014 | 0 | 176 | 155 | 331 | 14\% | 1,604 | 69\% | 384 | 17\% | 2,319 | 293 | 11 | 10 | 21 | $\pm 0$ | 24 | $\pm 0$ | 20 |

# 2015 HUNTING SEASONS <br> CODY ELK HERD (EL216) 

| Hunt Area | Dates of Seasons |  |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 55 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 21 | 50 | Limited quota; any elk, spikes excluded |
|  | 9 | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 | 25 | Limited quota; any elk, archery only, spikes excluded |
| 56 |  | Oct. 1 | Oct. 14 |  | General license; antlered elk, spikes excluded |
|  |  | Oct. 15 | Oct. 21 |  | General license; antlered elk valid within the Washakie Wilderness and North Absaroka Wilderness, spikes excluded |
|  | 1 | Dec. 1 | Dec. 20 | 10 | Limited quota; any elk |
|  | 4 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 15 | 100 | Limited quota; antlerless elk valid in the South Fork of the Shoshone River drainage |
|  |  | Nov. 16 | Dec. 21 |  | Unused Area 56 Type 4 licenses valid in the entire area |
|  | 5 | Nov. 1 | Dec. 21 | 50 | Limited quota; antlerless elk valid off national forest |
|  | 6 | Nov. 16 | Dec. 21 | 100 | Limited quota; cow or calf valid in the South Fork of the Shoshone River drainage |
|  | 9 | Sep. 1 | Sep. 30 | 30 | Limited quota; any elk, archery only, spikes excluded |
| 58 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 30 | 35 | Limited quota; any elk |
|  | 4 | Oct. 1 | Dec. 21 | 100 | Limited quota; antlerless elk |
|  | 6 | Oct. 1 | Dec. 21 | 300 | Limited quota; cow or calf |
| 59 |  | Oct. 1 | Oct. 14 |  | General license; any elk, spikes excluded |
|  |  | Oct. 15 | Oct. 21 |  | General licenses; any elk within the Washakie Wilderness, spikes excluded |
|  | 1 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 15 | 10 | Limited quota; any elk |
|  | 6 | Nov. 1 | Dec. 21 | 375 | Limited quota; cow or calf |
|  | 7 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 25 | Limited quota; cow or calf valid in the Boulder Creek drainage upstream from and including the Castle |

$9 \quad$ Sep. 1
$9 \quad$ Sep. 1

Sep. 30

Sep. 20
Oct. 22
Sep. 30

Oct. 15

Oct. 15

Sep. 1

Nov. 1

Nov. 15
Dec. 21

Aug 15.
Sept. 30

Oct. 1
Dec. 21

Dec. 21
Jan. 31
Nov. 14

Dec. 22

Limited quota; any elk, archery only, spikes excluded

General license; any elk, spikes excluded
Limited quota; any elk, archery only, spikes excluded

Limited quota; any elk valid within the Washakie Wilderness, also valid in that portion of Area 62 within the Washakie Wilderness south of Avalanche Creek.

Limited quota; any elk
Limited quota; antlerless elk
Limited quota; cow or calf valid north of and including the Rawhide Creek drainage Unused Area 61 Type 6 licenses also valid within the Washakie Wilderness
Unused Area 61 Type 6 licenses valid in the entire area, also valid in Area 66, and that portion of Area 58 within the Dry Creek drainage

General license; any elk

General license; antlerless elk

Limited quota; cow or calf elk
Unused Area 66 Type 6 licenses valid on private land south of Park County Road 3LE

55, 58, 61
56, 59

60

Sep. 30
Sep. 30

Sep. 1
Sep. 19

Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter
General license; any elk, spikes excluded, limited quota license refer to Section 3 of this Chapter

General license; any elk, spikes excluded, limited quota licenses refer to Section 3 of this Chapter

| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 6 | -400 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 0 0}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Mid-Winter Trend Count Objective: 4,400
Management Strategy: Special
2014 Mid-Winter Trend Count: 5,110
Most Recent 3-year Running Average Trend Count: 5,013
Herd Unit Issues. Most of the Cody Herd Unit is characterized by migratory elk, but substantial numbers of non-migratory elk are found in all areas. Calf productivity varies across this herd unit, but not as dramatically as that seen in the Clarks Fork Herd Unit. Damage situations do exist where overabundant elk overlap with private lands. Elk in areas with good productivity that reside at least seasonally on mixed ownership require liberal management, while those herd segments with poor productivity requires conservative management.

Weather. Weather conditions during the 2014 biological year were characterized by good spring-summer moisture, severe early-winter conditions, and very mild mid-late winter conditions.

Habitat. One herbaceous vegetation transect is monitored on Carter Mountain. Herbaceous production in 2013 at this site on the southeast face of Carter Mountain was near the long-term average of $363 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{acre}$. Herbaceous utilization at this site during the 2012/2013 winter was slightly higher than average at $57 \%$.

Field Data. Classification surveys in 2014 yielded a calf:cow ratio of 24:100 (range 13:100-76:100), while the most recent 10-year (1994-2013) average calf:cow ratio is 25.5 calves: 100 cows (range $15: 100-34: 100$ ). The 2014 surveys produced a yearling bull:cow ratio of 11:100 (range 2:100-16:100), while the average yearling bull ratio is 9.2 yearling bulls:100 cows over the 1994-2013 period (range 7:100-13:100).

Harvest. Bull harvest in 2014 (556) was down slightly from the previous 10-year average of 615 bulls per year, and largely a result of season alterations. Antlerless elk harvest in $2014(1,107)$ was the second highest recorded in this herd unit behind that achieved in $2013(1,115)$. Antlerless harvest in $2012(1,071)$ was the fourth highest recorded.

Population. Because past efforts to create population reliable simulation models have not proved successful, in 2012 the Cody Elk Herd Unit switched to a Mid-Winter Trend Count based population objective. Trend count objectives are based on 3-year running averages on a hunt area, multiple hunt area basis (Table 1). The Trend

Count Objective for Hunt Areas $55 \& 56$ is 1,150 elk, while the actual trend count average in this area is 1,282. Management efforts will be directed at maintaining or slightly reducing elk numbers. The Trend Count Objective for Hunt Areas $58 \& 59$ is also 1,150 elk, while the actual average trend count here is 1,355 elk. Management direction for this area is to continue to reduce elk numbers. The Trend Count Objective for Hunt Area 61 is $2,100 \mathrm{elk}$, while the actual average trend count here is $2,249 \mathrm{elk}$. Management direction for this area is to maintain elk numbers. Hunt Area 66 has no Trend Count Objective and management efforts here are to minimize elk numbers as much as possible. In total, the Trend Count Objective for the entire Cody Elk Herd Unit is 4,400 elk, while the average 3-year trend count average is 5,013 . Management efforts will continue to slightly reduce elk numbers to meet this objective, with the exception of Hunt Area 66 where emphasis will continue to be placed on minimizing elk numbers.

Cody Elk Winter Range Hunt Area Count Goals - 2014

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { HA 55/HA } 56 \\ (1,150) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HA 58/HA } 59 \\ (1,150) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HA } 61 \\ (2,100) \end{gathered}$ | HA 66 <br> (0) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (4,400) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 |  | - | 1,066 |  |  |
| 1978 |  | - | - |  |  |
| 1980 |  | - | - |  |  |
| 1982 |  | 668 | 1,367 |  |  |
| 1985 |  | 775 | 1,350 |  |  |
| 1986 |  | 754 | - |  |  |
| 1987 |  | - | 1,075 |  |  |
| 1988 |  | 848 | 1,459 |  |  |
| 1991 |  | - | - |  |  |
| 1992 |  | - | 1,446 |  |  |
| 1994 | 1,877 | 971 | 1,990 |  | 4,838 |
| 1996 | 2,236 | 970 | 1,953 |  | 5,159 |
| 1997 |  | 1,103 | 2,800 |  |  |
| 1999 | 1,303 | 1,027 | 3,000 |  | 5,330 |
| 2001 | 800 | 934 | 2,631 |  | 4,365 |
| 2003 | 1,300 | 1,094 | 2,391 |  | 4,785 |
| 2004 | 1,363 | 955 | 1,973 |  | 4,291 |
| 2005 | 1,804 | 1,377 | 2,034 |  | 5,215 |
| 2006 | 1,509 | 1,144 | 1,606 |  | 4,259 |
| 2007 | 1,158 | 1,714 | 2,862 |  | 5,734 |
| 2008 | 1,039 | 1,281 | 2,101 |  | 4,421 |
| 2009 | 1,045 | 1,490 | 2,675 | 127 | 5,337 |
| 2010 | 857 | 1,273 | 3,431 | 162 | 5,723 |
| 2011 | 1,242 | 2,094 | 4,010 |  | 7,346 |
| 2012 | 1,235 | 760 | 2,093 | 116 | 4,204 |
| 2013 | 1,401 | 1,726 | 2,431 | 168 | 5,726 |
| 2014 | 1,211 | 1,580 | 2,223 | 96 | 5,110 |


| $3-y r$ <br> Avg | HA 55/HA 56 <br> $(\mathbf{1 , 1 5 0 )}$ | HA 58/HA 59 <br> $(1,150)$ | HA 61 <br> $(2,100)$ | HA 66 <br> $\mathbf{( 0 )}$ | Total <br> $(4,400)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12-14$ | 1,282 | 1,355 | 2,249 | 127 | 5,013 |



## 2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Elk |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: EL217-CLARKS FORK |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 50-54, 65, 121 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG MCWHIRTER |  |
|  | 2009-2013 Average | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| Trend Count: | 3,503 | 4,058 | 3,700 |
| Harvest: | 502 | 540 | 550 |
| Hunters: | 1,158 | 974 | 1,000 |
| Hunter Success: | 43\% | 55\% | 55\% |
| Active Licenses: | 1,236 | 1,020 | 1,025 |
| Active License Success | 41\% | 53\% | 54\% |
| Recreation Days: | 8,976 | 7,013 | 7,000 |
| Days Per Animal: | 17.9 | 13.0 | 12.7 |
| Males per 100 Females: | 19 | 20 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females | 25 | 23 |  |
| Trend Based Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) |  |  | 3,300 (2640-3960) |
| Management Strategy: |  |  | Special |
| Percent population is above (+) or (-) objective: |  |  | 23\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent trend: |  |  | 7 |

Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group):


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ EL217 - Hunter Success \% EL217 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses

$\square$ EL217 - Active Licenses


Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ EL217 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


## 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary

for Elk Herd EL217-CLARKS FORK

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 4,388 | 205 | 224 | 429 | 11\% | 2,738 | 71\% | 673 | 18\% | 3,840 | 283 | 7 | 8 | 16 | $\pm 0$ | 25 | $\pm 0$ | 21 |
| 2010 | 4,238 | 153 | 97 | 250 | 10\% | 1,782 | 71\% | 476 | 19\% | 2,508 | 369 | 9 | 5 | 14 | $\pm 1$ | 27 | $\pm 1$ | 23 |
| 2011 | 3,931 | 204 | 376 | 580 | 17\% | 2,379 | 68\% | 524 | 15\% | 3,483 | 283 | 9 | 16 | 24 | $\pm 0$ | 22 | $\pm 0$ | 18 |
| 2012 | 3,896 | 127 | 355 | 482 | 14\% | 2,331 | 69\% | 541 | 16\% | 3,354 | 287 | 5 | 15 | 21 | $\pm 0$ | 23 | $\pm 1$ | 19 |
| 2013 | 0 | 149 | 307 | 456 | 14\% | 2,252 | 68\% | 607 | 18\% | 3,315 | 366 | 7 | 14 | 20 | $\pm 0$ | 27 | $\pm 0$ | 22 |
| 2014 | 0 | 188 | 358 | 546 | 14\% | 2,670 | 70\% | 603 | 16\% | 3,819 | 288 | 7 | 13 | 20 | $\pm 0$ | 23 | $\pm 0$ | 19 |


| Hunt Area | Dates of Seasons |  |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 51 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 140 | Limited quota; any elk |
|  | 4 | Nov. 16 | Dec. 15 | 150 | Limited quota; antlerless elk |
|  | 9 | Sept. 1 | Sept. 30 | 70 | Limited quota; any elk, archery only |
| 53 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 10 | Limited quota; any elk |
|  | 2 | Nov. 1 | Nov. 30 | 75 | Limited quota; any elk valid in the Shoshone River drainage |
|  | 4 | Oct. 1 | Dec. 15 | 50 | Limited quota; antlerless elk |
|  | 6 | Nov. 1 | Dec. 21 | 200 | Limited quota; cow or calf elk valid in the North Fork Shoshone drainage |
|  | 9 | Sept. 1 | Sept. 30 | 10 | Limited quota; any elk, archery only |
| 54 | 1 | Oct. 1 | Nov. 30 | 50 | Limited quota; any elk south of the Clarks Fork River |
|  | 2 | Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 | 25 | Limited quota; any elk north of the Clarks Fork River |
|  | 6 | Sep. 1 | Oct 31 | 100 | Limited quota; cow or calf elk |
|  | 7 | Nov 1 | Dec. 21 | 250 | Limited quota; cow or calf elk |
|  | 9 | Aug. 15 | Sept. 30 | 35 | Limited quota; any elk; archery only |
| Archery |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 |  | Sept. 1 | Sept. 30 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | -15 |
|  | 4 | -25 |
|  | 6 | -100 |
|  | 7 | +50 |
|  | 9 | -15 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4 - 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6 - 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ |

## Management Evaluation

Current Mid-Winter Trend Count Objective: 3,300
Management Strategy: Special
2014 Mid-Winter Trend Count: 4,058

## Most Recent 3-year Running Average Trend Count: 3,709

Herd Unit Issues. Much of the Clarks Fork Herd Unit is characterized by migratory elk in the Sunlight Basin and Crandall Areas, while substantial numbers of non-migratory elk are found in along the Absaroka Front and Beartooth Face. Migratory elk exhibit poor productivity, while non-migratory elk have much higher productivity. Consequently, damage situations arise with non-migratory elk and require liberal management, while poor productivity requires conservative management of migratory elk.

To better manage migratory and non-migratory elk and simplify hunting regulations, hunt area boundaries were re-configured in 2014. To encompass migratory elk, the western portion of Area 50 and Area 52 were added to Area 51. Similarly, to encompass non-migratory elk the eastern portion of Area 50, the eastern portion of Area 12, and Area 65 were added to Area 54. To better define the semi-migratory elk in the Rattlesnake Creek, Trout Creek, and Dead Indian Creek drainages, the western portion of Area 121 and the Elk Creek drainage of Area 52 were added to Area 53. This change allows for more direct management of migratory and non-migratory elk and reduces complexity by eliminating 4 hunt areas and 4 license types.

Weather. Weather conditions during the 2014 biological year were characterized by good spring-summer moisture, severe early winter conditions, and very mild mid-late winter conditions.

Habitat. Herbaceous vegetation transects are monitored on upland vegetation types in Sunlight Basin, both on the Sunlight Wildlife Habitat Management Area (WHMA) and on adjacent US.S Forest Service lands. Herbaceous production on most sites during 2013 in Sunlight Basin was generally near the most recent 8-year average, although two of five sites were substantially below average (range $175 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}-500 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ). Herbaceous utilization at these sites during the 2012-2013 winter was variable, with Little Bald Ridge receiving lighter use than normal (only $50 \%$ utilization) and Sunlight Basin receiving more or less average use. Use levels continue to be high on Riddle Flat and Teepee Gulch, with utilization exceeding $80 \%$.

Field Data. Classifications in 2014 yielded a calf:cow ratios of 14:100 for migratory elk and 33:100 for nonmigratory elk. The most recent 10-year (1994-2013) average calf:cow ratio of migratory elk is 14.5 calves:100 cows (range 11:100-21:100), while the average calf:cow ratio of non-migratory elk is 35.0 calves: 100 cows (range 26:100-43:100). Yearling bull:cow ratios in 2014 were 5:100 for migratory elk, and 9:100 for nonmigratory elk. The most recent 10 -year (1994-2013) average yearling bull:cow ratios were 4.4 yearling bulls:100 cows for migratory elk (range 3:100-6:100), while non-migratory elk averaged 11.1 yearling bulls:100 cows (range 7:100-14:100). Hence the need for conservative management of migratory elk and liberal management of non-migratory elk.

Harvest Data. Bull harvest in 2014 (192) was the highest seen since 2009 and the highest since the herd unit has been entirely limited quota. Much of this increase is a result of a higher harvest of non-migratory bulls (121), similar to that seen in 2003 (130), 2005 (111), and 2009 (112). The average harvest of non-migratory bulls from 2003-2013 was 92. All of the bulls killed in 2014 were branch-antlered, continuing a trend seen since 2011.

Harvest of antlerless elk increased herd unit wide, primarily in response to very high success rate (82\%) of antlerless elk hunters in Hunt Area 51 compared to the preceding two years. The harvest of antlerless elk in Hunt Areas 53 and 54 was steady from 2013 to 2104 due to increased harvest in Hunt Area 54 and decreased antlerless harvest in Hunt Area 53. Leftover cow-calf licenses in Hunt Area 53 were at the bottom of the online leftover list and not in numerical order, leaving many people to think there were no leftover licenses.

Population. Hunt Area 51 has a Mid-Winter Trend Count Objective of 1,800 elk. The 3-year running average trend count for this area is 1,789 (Table 1.). An incomplete count in 2013, and movement of elk out of Hunt Area 51 and into Hunt Area 54 in both 2013 and 2014 lead to the assessment there are probably more than the objective of 1,800 elk currently in Hunt Area 51. Hence, this segment of the herd unit is at or slightly over the management objective.

Hunt Area 53 has a Mid-Winter Trend Count Objective of 600 elk, while the 3 -year running average trend count is 660 elk. Similar to Hunt Area 51, this segment of the herd unit is also at or slightly over the management objective.

Hunt Area 54 has a Mid-Winter Trend Count Objective of 900 elk, while the 3 -year running average trend count is 1,260 elk. This figure may be slightly inflated due to movement of elk out of Hunt Area 51 and into Hunt Area 54 prior to completion of trend count surveys. Still, current elk numbers exceed management objectives by perhaps $15 \%$. With high levels of productivity, management of this segment of the herd unit requires continued hunting pressure.

Overall, elk numbers in this herd unit exceed management objectives by $12 \%$. We will continue with the current management structure for migratory elk (which consists of conservative bull seasons, with little antlerless harvest), while continuing to target non-migratory elk with abundant and lengthy antlerless licenses. The 2015 seasons should result in post-season 2015 population closer to the objective of 3,300 observed elk on winter range.

Clarks Fork Elk Winter Range Hunt Area Count Goals - 2014

|  | NEW HA51 | NEW HA53 | NEW HA54 | HERD UNIT TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 1254 | 7 | 1316 | 2577 |
| 1988 | 1394 | 149 | 1055 | 2598 |
| 1989 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 1767 | 300 | 1158 | 3225 |
| 1993 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 1729 | 366 | 1314 | 3409 |
| 1995 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 2405 | 218 | 1498 | 4121 |
| 1999 | 1902 | 388 | 1510 | 3800 |
| 2000 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 1514 | 330 | 1366 | 3210 |
| 2003 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | 1570 | 379 | 1546 | 3495 |
| 2005 | 1533 | 496 | 1785 | 3814 |
| 2006 | 1953 | 616 | 1853 | 4422 |
| 2007 | 1839 | 525 | 1897 | 4261 |
| 2008 | 1770 | 474 | 1279 | 3523 |
| 2009 | 2079 | 553 | 1210 | 3842 |
| 2010 | 1741 | 647 | 999 | 3387 |
| 2011 | 1746 | 772 | 1140 | 3658 |
| 2012 | 2041 | 731 | 926 | 3698 |
| 2013* | 1,414 | 610 | 1348 | 3372 |
| 2014 | 1,914 | 638 | 1506 | 4058 |


| 3-yr Avg | HA51 (1800) | HA53 (600) | HA54 (900) | Total (3300) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12-14$ | 1789 | 660 | 1260 | 3709 |

[^0]

2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Moose |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: MO201 - ABSAROKA |  |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 8-9, 11 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MCWHIRTER |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest

$\square$ MO201-MALES $\square$ MO201-FEMALES $\square$ MO201-JUV $\square$ MO201 - TOTAL


Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ MO201 - Hunter Success \% MO201 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses


$\square$ MO201 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons <br> Opens |  | Closes | Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | Limitations | CLOSED |
| :---: |
| 8 |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No Change |
| Total |  | No Change |

## Management Evaluation

Current Median Age Objective: $\geq 4.5$ years
Current Hunter Effort Objective: $\leq 12$ days
Current Secondary Median Age Objective: $\mathbf{4 0 \%} \geq 5$ years
Management Strategy: Special
Most Recent 5-Year Running Average Median Age: 5.5 years
Most Recent 5-Year Running Average Hunter Effort: 10.2 days
Most Recent 5-Year Running Average $\% \geq 5$ Years: 60\%
Herd Unit Issues. Due to very low moose densities and the resulting lack of population data, there is no postseason population estimate for this herd unit. Six previously existing moose herd units (Thorofare, Crandall, Sunlight, North Fork, South Fork, Greybull/Gooseberry) were combined in 2003 to create the Absaroka Moose Herd Unit. In 2008 Hunt Areas 11, 12, 13, and 31 were combined to form the current Hunt Area 11. Hunt Area 9 (Greybull River and Gooseberry Creek drainages) and Hunt Area 8 (Thorofare, which has been closed since 2006) represent the remaining hunt areas in this herd unit. Management direction at the current time is to allow some moose hunting opportunity while encouraging moose numbers to grow, or at least be maintained.

Weather. The influence of weather on moose population dynamics in the Absaroka and Beartooth Mountains is unknown. Most areas occupied by moose in this herd unit do not experience significant snow depths, and when and where that does occur, movement to more favorable areas is possible. On the other hand, because good moose habitats are so limited in this herd unit, weather conditions that negatively impact these habitats may have a significant role.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this herd unit. Moose habitats throughout the Absaroka Mountains vary widely from expansive, willow-covered flood plains and remote wilderness setting of the Thorofare, to rather narrow ribbons of riparian habitats along the Absaroka Front. Lack of expansive willow-
riparian habitats along most of this herd unit has made increased use of spruce-fir forest types a necessity for moose compared to other areas. Major portions of this herd unit burned in 1988 and effects of significant habitat changes from these fires on this habitat type specifically have generally been detrimental to moose. Recent drought has presumably had a negative effect on moose survival and recruitment, as have increasing numbers of large predators. It is suspected that the combination of habitat loss, drought, and predation has negatively influenced moose in most portions of this herd unit.

Field Data. None exists for this herd unit. Because moose exist at such low densities in this herd unit, collection of classification and trend information is essentially impossible. The last comprehensive effort was in 2004, when 9.3 hours of helicopter survey time was spent to survey the entire herd unit and only 32 moose were observed.

Harvest Data. Management of moose in the Absaroka Moose Herd Unit since its creation in 2003 has remained similar, with 5 permits issued in Hunt Area 9 and 5 permits issued in Area 11. An average of 8-10 bulls/year are taken by hunters, and hunter effort usually ranges from 8-10 days per moose harvested. Moose hunters generally observe an average of 8-12 moose during their hunt.

In 2014, hunter success was $83 \%(5 / 6)$ in Area 9 and $100 \%$ (5/5) in Area 11. There were 6 hunters in Area 9 due to a medical carry-over from 2013. Aged animals from Area 9 included a 6.5 bull and a 7.5 year bull, while aged animals from Area 11 included a 3.5, a 4.5, and a 5.5 year old bull. Hunter effort was 11.2 days/moose harvested in Area 9 and 9.2 days/harvested moose in Area 11. Hunter in 2014 saw an average of 11.0 moose during their hunt.

Population. Because the collection of survey data is difficult, if not impossible to collect, both population estimate and trend count based objectives are not possible. Therefore, herd unit objectives based on median age of harvested bulls and a running average of hunter effort were adopted in 2014. The objective for median age of harvested bulls is $\geq 4.5$ years, while the 5 -year running average (2009-2014) is 5.5 years of age. A secondary median age objective is to have $\geq 40 \%$ of harvested bulls be at least 5 year old, while the 5 -year running average (2009-2014) is $60 \%$. The hunter effort objective is to have less than 12 days per moose harvested, while the 5 -year running average (2009-2014) is 10.2 days.

The current season structures in Hunt Areas 9 and 11 are addressing moose management goals. Therefore, 5 permits will be issued for Hunt Area 9 and 5 permits for Hunt Area 11 for 2015, which should result in the harvest of 9-10 bull moose.


2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Bighorn Sheep |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: BS201 - CLARKS FORK |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 1 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Population Size - Postseason



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ BS201 - Hunter Success \% BS201 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses


$\square$ BS201 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary
for Bighorn Sheep Herd BS201-CLARKS FORK

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls <br> Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 456 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 |
| 2010 | 512 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 16\% | 29 | 66\% | 8 | 18\% | 44 | 274 | 0 | 24 | 24 | $\pm 12$ | 28 | $\pm 14$ | 22 |
| 2011 | 536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 |
| 2012 | 542 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 19\% | 77 | 57\% | 31 | 23\% | 134 | 274 | 0 | 34 | 34 | $\pm 9$ | 40 | $\pm 10$ | 30 |
| 2013 | 550 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8\% | 30 | 61\% | 15 | 31\% | 49 | 289 | 0 | 13 | 13 | $\pm 9$ | 50 | $\pm 19$ | 44 |
| 2014 | 500 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 18\% | 91 | 67\% | 20 | 15\% | 136 | 274 | 0 | 27 | 27 | $\pm 7$ | 22 | $\pm 6$ | 17 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS CLARKS FORK BIGHORN SHEEP SUB-HERD

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons <br> Opens |  | Closes | Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Limitations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 20 | Limited quota; any ram |
|  |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Refer to Section 4 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No Change |
| Total |  | No Change |

## Management Evaluation <br> Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 500 <br> Management Strategy: Special <br> 2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~500 <br> 2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~450

Herd Unit Issues. Most sheep in this herd unit are found in the Absaroka Mountains, although a small number (currently less than 50) occupy the Beartooth Mountains year-round. Some Absaroka Mountains sheep from the northern portion of the sub-herd migrate into Montana, where they are subjected to hunting seasons there (currently an unlimited season with a harvest quota of 2). These sheep often end up wintering in the Wyoming portion of the Beartooth Mountains. In addition, perhaps $10 \%-15 \%$ of the sheep in this sub-herd reside (some seasonally, some year-round) in Yellowstone National Park (YNP). Both of these factors (Montana harvest and sheep unavailable for harvest in YNP) must be taken into account when managing this herd.

Periodic fixed-wing trend counts (and more recently helicopter classification/trend surveys) during summer have been used to assess population performance. Summer surveys are done because many sheep migrate into Montana to winter, and surveys were designed to more closely monitor sheep while on Wyoming summer ranges. Classifications collected mid-summer are useful in tracking ram:ewe ratios, but allow little understanding of lamb survival as they are conducted so early in the year.

Weather. Weather conditions during the summer of 2014 were favorable throughout the Absaroka Mountains, with good precipitation to promote forage growth. However, lamb survival could be adversely affected by the above average snow accumulations of the 2013-2014 winter. The 2014-2015 winter was relatively severe to begin with, but moderated dramatically by mid-January.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this sub-herd.

Field Data. Attempts to classify sheep on summer range while conducting mountain goat surveys in 2013 were not successful. Preseason classification samples from recent surveys however reflect good lamb:ewe ( $51: 100-65: 100$ ) and ram:ewe ( $42: 100-56: 100$ ) ratios in most years surveyed ( 6 surveys over the last 10 years). Poor lamb:ewe ratios as seen in 2009 (32:100) do occasionally occur and can affect ram
recruitment. Recent trend counts (401 sheep in 2006, 409 in 2009, 390 in 2011) also provide support that this herd is probably near the objective of 500 sheep.

Harvest Data. In 2014, 21 hunters took 18 rams for a success rate of $86.6 \%$, which is among the better years seen since permits were increased to 20 in 2007. The average age of rams killed in 2014 was 7.7 years old, with $55.6 \%$ of the rams killed being 8 years old and older. One ram less than $3 / 4$ curl was killed in 2014, representing $5.5 \%$ of the harvested rams.

Population. The "Time Specific Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (TSJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd. Although this model did not have the lowest relative AIC, the population estimate appears to be the most reasonable. The earlier trend projected by the model (early 1990s - early 2000s) is not felt to be entirely accurate, but estimates in the recent past appear reasonable. The postseason 2014 population is estimated to be approximately 500 sheep. Efforts will continue to improve this model and improve reliability.

All indicators show good population performance, and an acceptable presence of mature rams. Therefore license numbers will remain at 20 for the 2015 season. This should result in a postseason 2015 population of approximately 450-500 sheep.

Harvest parameters for the Clarks Fork Bighorn Sheep Herd Unit, 1968-2014 (Wyoming portion only).

|  | $1968-$ <br> 72 | $1973-$ <br> 91 | $1992-$ <br> 97 | $1998-$ <br> 2002 | $2003-$ <br> $2006^{*}$ | $2007-$ <br> $2013^{*}$ | $2014^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permits | 20 | 24 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 21 |
| Harvest | 7.4 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 14.3 | 13.4 | 18 |
| \% Success | $49.0 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ | $90.3 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $85.6 \quad \%$ |
| Effort (days/ram) | 6.8 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 10.3 | 18.2 | 8.7 |
| Avg. Age | - | 6.6 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 7.7 |
| \% Rams $\geq 8$ Yrs | - | $31.7 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ |
| \% Rams $\leq 3$ Curl | - | - | - | - | $15.9 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |

* "any ram" regulation in place






2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Bighorn Sheep |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: BS202 - TROUT PEAK |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 2 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Active Licenses


$\square$ BS202 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females



Number of Hunters
$\square$ BS202 - TOT $\square$ BS202-RES $\square$ BS202 - NONRES


Harvest Success
$\square$ BS202 - Hunter Success \% BS202 - Active License Success


## 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary

for Bighorn Sheep Herd BS202 - TROUT PEAK

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 618 | 9 | 54 | 63 | 20\% | 192 | 62\% | 55 | 18\% | 310 | 311 | 5 | 28 | 33 | $\pm 4$ | 29 | $\pm 4$ | 22 |
| 2010 | 643 | 0 | 111 | 111 | 24\% | 273 | 60\% | 71 | 16\% | 455 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 41 | $\pm 3$ | 26 | $\pm 2$ | 18 |
| 2011 | 657 | 1 | 110 | 111 | 24\% | 273 | 60\% | 71 | 16\% | 455 | 338 | 0 | 40 | 41 | $\pm 3$ | 26 | $\pm 2$ | 18 |
| 2012 | 674 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 |
| 2013 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 |
| 2014 | 750 | 3 | 63 | 66 | 19\% | 216 | 62\% | 66 | 19\% | 348 | 325 | 1 | 29 | 31 | $\pm 4$ | 31 | $\pm 4$ | 23 |

# 2015 HUNTING SEASONS <br> TROUT PEAK BIGHORN SHEEP SUB-HERD 

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons <br> Opens |  | Closes | Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Limitations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 24 | Limited quota; any ram |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Refer to Section 4 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | -1 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{- 1}$ |

## Management Evaluation <br> Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 750 <br> Management Strategy: Special <br> 2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~750 <br> 2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~750

Herd Unit Issues. The Trout Peak Herd Unit possesses some of the most rugged terrain in Wyoming, which is partially responsible for the wide variation in hunter statistics for which this herd is famous. A small percentage of sheep (presumably less than 10\%) reside within Yellowstone National Park. Sheep can be found on low elevation winter ranges along the North Fork of the Shoshone River, but also occupy high elevation ranges throughout the hunt area.

Weather. Weather conditions during the summer of 2014 were favorable throughout the Absaroka Mountains, with normal to near normal precipitation to promote forage growth. However, lamb survival could be adversely affected by the above average snow accumulations of the 2013-2014 winter. The 2014-2015 winter was relatively severe to begin with, but moderated dramatically by mid-January.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this herd unit.

Field Data. Eight surveys have been conducted over the last 11 years, resulted in samples ranging from 117 to 480 classified sheep. Lamb:ewe ratios have ranged from 15:100 to 31:100 over this time, while ram:ewe ratios have varied from 30:100 to 67:100. The most recent survey in 2014 resulted in 348 sheep observed, even though the western portion of the hunt area was not surveyed. The lamb:ewe ratio for this sample was 31:100, which is above average for this sub-herd (25.8:100), and the ram:ewe ratio was 31:100, which is below the previous seven survey average of 43.5:100.

Harvest Data. In 2014, 27 hunters took 21 rams for a success rate of $78 \%$, which is not unusual for this sub-herd. The average age of rams killed in 2014 was 7.9 years old, with $52.0 \%$ of the rams killed being 8 years old and older. No rams less than $3 / 4$ curl was killed in 2014. All of these indicators, plus good lamb:ewe and ram:ewe ratios from recent surveys, indicate good population performance, and an acceptable presence of mature rams.

Population. The "Time Specific Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (TSJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd. Although this model did not have the lowest relative AIC, the population estimate and trend appears to be very reasonable. The postseason 2014 population is estimated to be 750 sheep. Efforts will continue to improve this model and improve reliability.

Since adopting the any ram regulation in 2004, this herd unit has exhibited some of the variation in harvest parameters for which it has always been famous. When averaged over the last 8 years, however, harvest parameters are within desirable ranges. Therefore permit levels will remain at 24 licenses for the 2015 season. With average reproduction and survival, the postseason 2015 population is estimated to remain at approximately 750 sheep.

Harvest parameters for the Trout Peak Bighorn Sheep Herd, 1978-2014.

|  | $1978-96$ | $1997-2002$ | 2003 | $2004-2013^{*}$ | $2014^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permits | 32 | 24 | 28 | 24 | 27 |
| Harvest | 18.8 | 15.2 | 16 | 18.9 | 21 |
| \% Success | $61.0 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Effort (days/ram) | 18.2 | 16.0 | 25.1 | 12.7 | 12.0 |
| Avg. Age | 5.9 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.9 |
| \% Rams $\geq 8$ Yrs | $19.5 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ |
| \% Rams $\leq 3$ Curl | - | - | - | $4.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

*any ram regulation in place




2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Bighorn Sheep |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: BS203 - WAPITI RIDGE |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 3 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Population Size - Postseason


## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ BS203 - Hunter Success \% BS203 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ BS203 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


## 2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary

for Bighorn Sheep Herd BS203 - WAPITI RIDGE

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls <br> Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Fem } \end{gathered}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 1,176 | 49 | 126 | 175 | 19\% | 544 | 60\% | 195 | 21\% | 914 | 392 | 9 | 23 | 32 | $\pm 2$ | 36 | $\pm 2$ | 27 |
| 2010 | 1,120 | 8 | 33 | 41 | 21\% | 130 | 65\% | 28 | 14\% | 199 | 392 | 6 | 25 | 32 | $\pm 7$ | 22 | $\pm 5$ | 16 |
| 2011 | 1,023 | 12 | 148 | 160 | 24\% | 446 | 67\% | 55 | 8\% | 661 | 415 | 3 | 33 | 36 | $\pm 3$ | 12 | $\pm 1$ | 9 |
| 2012 | 1,027 | 7 | 32 | 39 | 20\% | 111 | 58\% | 41 | 21\% | 191 | 392 | 6 | 29 | 35 | $\pm 8$ | 37 | $\pm 8$ | 27 |
| 2013 | 1,000 | 9 | 41 | 50 | 14\% | 246 | 70\% | 56 | 16\% | 352 | 378 | 4 | 17 | 20 | $\pm 3$ | 23 | $\pm 3$ | 19 |
| 2014 | 950 | 6 | 109 | 115 | 16\% | 487 | 67\% | 124 | 17\% | 726 | 363 | 1 | 22 | 24 | $\pm 2$ | 25 | $\pm 2$ | 21 |

# 2015 HUNTING SEASONS <br> WAPITI RIDGE BIGHORN SHEEP SUB-HERD 

| Hunt <br> Area | Dates of Seasons |  |  | Quota | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 40 | Limited quota; any ram |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Refer to Section 4 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | -1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1}$ |

## Management Evaluation <br> Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 1,000 <br> Management Strategy: Special <br> 2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~950 <br> 2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~900

Herd Unit Issues. The Wapiti Ridge Herd Unit consists of sheep that occupy low elevation winter ranges along the North and South Forks of the Shoshone River, but also occupy high elevation ranges throughout the hunt area. A small percentage of sheep (presumably less than 10\%) reside within Yellowstone National Park.

Weather. Weather conditions during the summer of 2014 were favorable throughout the Absaroka Mountains, with normal to near normal precipitation to promote forage growth. However, lamb survival could have been adversely affected by the above average snow accumulations of the 2013-2014 winter. The 2014-2015 winter was relatively severe to begin with, but moderated dramatically by mid-January.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this herd unit.
Field Data. Nine surveys have been conducted over the last 11 years, resulted in samples ranging from 315 to 914 classified sheep. Lamb:ewe ratios have ranged from $12: 100$ to $37: 100$ over this time, while ram:ewe ratios have varied from 24:100 to 46:100. The most recent survey in 2014 resulted in 737 sheep observed, a lamb:ewe ratio of 26:100 (which is below the recent average), and a ram:ewe ratio of 24:100, which is below average for this herd unit.

Harvest Data. In 2014, 38 hunters took 33 rams for a success rate of $87 \%$, which is above average for this sub-herd. The average age of rams killed in 2014 was 7.8 years old, with $55 \%$ of the rams killed being 8 years old and older. Two rams less than $3 / 4$ curl were killed in 2014, representing $6 \%$ of the total harvest. Hunter effort was 9.2 days per ram harvested in 2014, which is near normal for this sub-herd.

Population. The "Time Specific Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (TSJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd. Although this model did not have the lowest relative AIC, the population estimate appears to be reasonable. The rather steep decline produced by the model however, is not believed to entirely realistic. The postseason 2014 population is
estimated to be approximately 950 sheep. Efforts will continue to improve this model and improve reliability.

A worrisome factor is the number of pickup heads registered in 2011 ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) and $2012(\mathrm{n}=24)$. These numbers represent an increase of $69 \%$ and $94 \%$ over the previous 10 -year average number of pickup heads per year. The 2010-2011 winter obviously had impacts on this population, as evidenced by the lamb:ewe ratio of 12:100 seen in postseason 2011 surveys. A total of 16 pick-up heads were registered from Area 3 in 2013, and 14 were registered in 2014.

With the extremely poor lamb production experienced recently, it is likely that the availability of rams will decline in this herd unit in coming years as lambs from these cohorts enter mature ram age classes. Impacts from the 2010-2011 winter had localized impacts on this population as well. Further permit reductions may be necessary in the near future to preserve or improve ram hunting opportunities. Harvest statistics should be monitored closely to determine if such a situation is developing. License numbers were reduced to 40 for the 2013 and 2014 seasons, and should remain so for the 2015 season. The postseason 2015 population is estimated to be approximately 900 sheep.

Harvest parameters for the Wapiti Ridge Bighorn Sheep Herd Unit, 1978-2014.

|  |  |  | $1993-$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1978-83$ | $1984-85$ | $1986-92$ | 1999 | $2000-04^{*}$ | $2005-13^{*}$ | $2014^{*}$ |
| Permits | 32 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 48 | 43.8 | 38 |
| Harvest | 22.5 | 29.5 | 36.1 | 36.9 | 38.0 | 36.6 | 33 |
| \% Success | $69.3 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ |
| Effort (days/ram) | 11.3 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 9.2 |
| Avg. Age | 5.9 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 7.8 |
| \% Rams $\geq 8$ Yrs | $12.8 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ |
| \% Rams $\leq 3 / 4$ Curl | - | - | - | - | $8.4 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |

[^1]
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2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Bighorn Sheep |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: BS204 - YOUNTS PEAK |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 4 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ BS204 - Hunter Success \% BS204 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses


$\square$ BS204 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary
for Bighorn Sheep Herd BS204 - YOUNTS PEAK

|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Post Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 1,099 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 376 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 0 |
| 2010 | 970 | 0 | 46 | 46 | 20\% | 155 | 67\% | 32 | 14\% | 233 | 409 | 0 | 30 | 30 | $\pm 6$ | 21 | $\pm 4$ | 16 |
| 2011 | 917 | 21 | 126 | 147 | 29\% | 305 | 60\% | 53 | 10\% | 505 | 386 | 7 | 41 | 48 | $\pm 4$ | 17 | $\pm 2$ | 12 |
| 2012 | 865 | 0 | 46 | 46 | 20\% | 155 | 67\% | 32 | 14\% | 233 | 345 | 0 | 30 | 30 | $\pm 5$ | 21 | $\pm 4$ | 16 |
| 2013 | 828 | 4 | 115 | 119 | 26\% | 269 | 60\% | 63 | 14\% | 451 | 345 | 1 | 43 | 44 | $\pm 4$ | 23 | $\pm 3$ | 16 |
| 2014 | 900 | 10 | 100 | 110 | 24\% | 252 | 56\% | 91 | 20\% | 453 | 355 | 4 | 40 | 44 | $\pm 5$ | 36 | $\pm 4$ | 25 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS <br> YOUNTS PEAK BIGHORN SHEEP SUB-HERD (BS204)

| Hunt <br> Area | Type | Dates of Seasons <br> Opens |  | Closes | Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Limitations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 20 | Limited quota; any ram |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Refer to Section 4 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1 | +8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $+\mathbf{8}$ |

## Management Evaluation <br> Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 900 <br> Management Strategy: Special <br> 2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~900 <br> 2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~900

Herd Unit Issues. The Younts Peak Herd Unit is characterized by sheep that live at extremely high elevation year-round. This subjects many of them to occasionally heavy winter losses, which occurred in 1995, 1996, and 2010.

Weather. Weather conditions during the summer of 2014 were favorable throughout the Absaroka Mountains, with normal to near normal precipitation to promote forage growth. However, adult and lamb survival could be adversely affected by the above average snow accumulations of the 2013-2014 winter.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this herd unit.

Field Data. Eleven surveys have been conducted over the last 15 years, resulted in samples ranging from 132 to 567 classified sheep. Lamb:ewe ratios have ranged from 17:100 to 36:100 over this time, and averaged 27.6 lambs:100 ewes. Ram:ewe ratios have varied from 28:100 to 54:100, and averaged 44.1 rams:100 ewes. The most recent complete survey in 2014 resulted in 461 sheep observed, a lamb:ewe ratio of $36: 100$ (which is much higher than it has been recently), and a ram:ewe ratio of $44: 100$, which is slightly below average for this herd unit.

Harvest Data. Due to the Hardluck Fire in the South Fork of the Shoshone River, the opportunity to carry-over sheep licenses to the 2014 was given to hunters in 2013. Nine hunters took advantage of this, and with 2 medical carry-overs from 2013 to 2014, there were only 11 hunters in 2013. These 11 hunters hunted in 2014 (although 1 took a medical carry-over), and with the 12 licenses issued in 2014 there were a total of 22 hunters in 2014. These 22 hunters took 15 rams for a success rate of $68 \%$. The average age of rams killed in 2014 was 7.6 years old, with $53.3 \%$ of the rams killed being 8 years old and older. Two rams less than $3 / 4$ curl were killed in 2014, representing $13.3 \%$ of the total harvest. Hunter effort was 12.2 days per ram harvested in 2014. With the exception of the age of harvested rams, these figures represent difficult hunting conditions and a return to levels previously seen in this sub-herd in 2011-2012, immediately following a population decline.

Population. The "Time Specific Juvenile - Constant Adult Mortality Rate" (TSJCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to use for the post season population estimate of this herd. Although this model did not have the lowest relative AIC, the population trend is much more reasonable than other models. The postseason 2014 population is estimated to be 900 sheep. Efforts will continue to improve this model.

The 2010-2011 winter was essentially normal for most of the winter, but quickly began to accumulate and retain above far above average levels of snow in April, May, and June. Snow (snow depth only measured since 1998) is usually gone by June, but in June 2011 there was still 20 inches at the Younts Peak SnoTel site. The 2010-2011 winter obviously had impacts on this population, as evidenced by the lamb:ewe ratio of 12:100 seen in postseason 2011 surveys.

With the extremely poor lamb production experienced recently, it is likely that the availability of rams will not recover rapidly in this herd unit in coming years as lambs from these cohorts enter mature ram age classes. Maintenance of reduced ram hunting opportunities may be necessary in the near future to preserve or improve ram hunting opportunities. Ram:ewe ratios, average age of harvested rams, and the percentage of rams at least 8 years of age and older should be monitored closely to determine if such a situation is developing. License numbers were reduced to 20 for the 2013 and 2014 seasons and will remain there for the 2015 season. The postseason 2015 population is estimated to remain at approximately 900 sheep.

Harvest parameters for the Younts Peak Bighorn Sheep Herd Unit, 1984-2014.

|  | $1984-$ <br> 91 | $1992-$ <br> 95 | $1996-$ <br> $00^{*}$ | $2001-$ <br> $04^{*}$ | $2005-$ <br> $0 *^{*}$ | $2009-$ <br> $11^{*}$ | $2012^{*}$ | $2013^{*}$ | $2014^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permits | 60 | 48 | 32 | 36 | 40 | $44^{+}$ | 28 | 20 | 22 |
| Harvest | 33.1 | 28.3 | 22.6 | 32.3 | 34.0 | 32.7 | 18 | 10 | 15 |
| \% Success | $59 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Effort <br> (days/ram) | 18.6 | 15.0 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 10.5 | 12.4 | 7.4 | 12.2 |
| Avg. Age | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 7.6 |
| \% Rams $\geq 8$ <br> Yrs | $24.1 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $70 \%$ | 53.3 |
| \% Rams $\leq 3 / 4$ <br> Curl | - | - | $11.9 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |

* "any ram" regulation in place
Population Estimates from Top Model
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2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Bighorn Sheep |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: BS205-FRANCS PEAK |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 5, 22, 999 |  | PREPARED BY: BART KROGER |
| 2009-2013 Average | 2014 | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 1,700 | 800 | 600 |
| Harvest: 76 | 44 | 40 |
| Hunters: 90 | 61 | 45 |
| Hunter Success: 84\% | 72\% | 89 \% |
| Active Licenses: 90 | 61 | 45 |
| Active License Success: 84\% | 72\% | 89 \% |
| Recreation Days: 546 | 601 | 500 |
| Days Per Animal: 7.2 | 13.7 | 12.5 |
| Males per 100 Females 55 | 68 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 27 | 20 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 1350 (1080-1620) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Special |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | -40.7\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in recent | rend: | 2 |
| Model Date: |  | 2/23/2015 |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | 0.4\% | 0\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | 21\% | 29\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | 0\% | 0\% |
| Total: | 5\% | 7\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | -29\% | -16\% |

Population Size - Postseason



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ BS205 - Hunter Success \% BS205 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses



Days per Animal Harvested
$\square$ BS205 - Days


Postseason Animals per 100 Females


2009-2014 Postseason Classification Summary
for Bighorn Sheep Herd BS205 - FRANCS PEAK

| Year | Post Pop | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 2,300 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 28\% | 425 | 55\% | 131 | 17\% | 777 | 566 | 0 | 0 | 52 | $\pm 4$ | 31 | $\pm 3$ | 20 |
| 2010 | 2,000 | 0 | 153 | 153 | 34\% | 225 | 50\% | 76 | 17\% | 454 | 727 | 0 | 68 | 68 | $\pm 8$ | 34 | $\pm 5$ | 20 |
| 2011 | 1,700 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 27\% | 400 | 62\% | 68 | 11\% | 640 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 43 | $\pm 4$ | 17 | $\pm 2$ | 12 |
| 2012 | 1,400 | 0 | 140 | 140 | 32\% | 228 | 52\% | 68 | 16\% | 436 | 802 | 0 | 61 | 61 | $\pm 7$ | 30 | $\pm 4$ | 18 |
| 2013 | 1,100 | 0 | 144 | 144 | 33\% | 230 | 52\% | 66 | 15\% | 440 | 584 | 0 | 63 | 63 | $\pm 7$ | 29 | $\pm 4$ | 18 |
| 2014 | 800 | 0 | 135 | 135 | 36\% | 200 | 53\% | 41 | 11\% | 376 | 490 | 0 | 68 | 68 | $\pm 7$ | 20 | $\pm 3$ | 12 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS FRANCS PEAK BIGHORN SHEEP HERD (BS205)

| Hunt Area | Dates of Seasons |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Opens | Closes | Quota | Limitations |
| 5 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 32 | Limited quota; any ram (24 residents, 8 nonresidents) |
| 22 | 1 | Sep. 1 <br> Oct. 1 | Oct. 31 <br> Oct. 31 | 4 | Limited quota; any ram Unused Area 22 Type 1 licenses also valid in Area 5 |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Refer to Section 3 |
|  |  | Hunt Area | Type | Quota ch | ange from 2014 |
|  |  | 5 | 1 |  | -17 |
|  |  |  | 6 |  | -4 |
|  |  | HU Total | 1 |  | -17 |
|  |  |  | 6 |  | -4 |

## Management Evaluation

Current Postseason Population Management Objective: 1,350
Management Strategy: Special
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: 800
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 600
Herd Unit Issues - The management strategy for this sheep herd is to maintain an average age of harvested rams between 6-8 years old, along with a hunter success of $>80 \%$. The herd objective and management strategy was revised and approved in 2013. Lamb ratios are also monitored closely to anticipate potential changes in age classes of rams. In Hunt Area 5, much of the occupied habitat occurs at alpine elevations, whereas in Hunt Area 22 a number of sheep occupy the badlands north of the Wind River, with some sheep spending time on irrigated meadows on the Fish Ranch. In the Owl Creek Mountain's of the Wind River Reservation (WRR), bighorn sheep are found year round above 9,500'. After the 2010/11 winter, this population started showing declines, and has continued to decline the past four years. It's likely disease issues have caused these declines. Since January 2011, 163 ram pickup heads have been registered from area 5. Hunter success dropped to $72 \%$ in 2014, the lowest since 2000. As of May 2015, no final harvest results had been received from the WRR.

Weather - The winter of 2010/11 appeared to have been severe enough to cause some die-off as well as reduced lamb production. The extreme dry conditions of 2012 resulted is some changes to the distribution of sheep on their summer range, likely because of reduced forage production and condition. The winter of 2013/14 was more severe than normal, with mainly deep snow at higher elevations. The summer of 2014 was exceptional for moisture, and so far the 2014/15 winter is appearing to be mostly normal.

Habitat - Habitat conditions for the most part are considered good to excellent in this herd unit. The Little Venus fire in 2006 and the Norton Point fire in 2011 improved overall forage
availability and production in Hunt Area 5. The drought conditions in 2012 did cause lower than normal forage production. Higher than normal precipitation in 2013 and 2014 were favorable for spring green up and winter forage.

Field Data - Aerial classifications surveys are used in obtaining post-season lamb and ram ratio for this sheep herd. On average about 600-700 sheep are classified annually, except for the past two years where the average has been about 400 sheep. Lamb:ewe ratios for the herd have remained favorable, with an average ratio of 27:100. Ram:ewe ratios typically exceed 50:100. An early spring flight in May 2014 resulted in 380 sheep being observed. Since 2005, a commonly flown flight path has been used during classification surveys within the Greybull River drainage. The number of sheep observed on these annual flights has been used to track population trends. Over the past 10 years the number of sheep observed on average has declined by $42 \%$ (Graph 1 ).

Graph 1. Number of bighorn sheep classified within the Greybull River drainage of Hunt Area 5, 2005-2014.


Harvest Data - Annual harvest since 2008 has been about 70 rams for the herd unit, with roughly 60 from area 5, 1-2 from area 22, and about $6-8$ from the WRIR. Hunter success is typically about 85-90\%, with hunter effort at about 6-8 days/animal harvested. However, in 2014 hunter success dropped to $72 \%$ and hunter days increased to 13.7 . In Hunt Area 5 since 2008, the age of harvested rams has averaged about 7.8 years. The percent of harvested rams $\geq 8$ years of age has averaged about $45 \%$. The 2014 ewe harvest in area 5 showed 2 ewes being harvested for a hunter success of $50 \%$. Of 12 hunters on the WRIR in 2014, only 4 rams were reported harvested.

Population - The semi-constant juvenile \& semi-constant adult survival (SCJ, SCA) spreadsheet model was chosen to represent this herd because it reflects a good recent year trend (2010-2014) in the population. The model supports the lowest AIC value at 134. Because of this, the overall model is considered mostly reliable, at least for the last 4 year trend. The model also reflects trends in past year observations of sheep numbers during classification surveys. On average for the herd unit, the number of sheep classified has declined by about $40 \%$ in recent years.

Management Summary - The low lamb ratios in 2011 (17:100) and 2014 (20:100), the number of ram pickup heads ( $\mathrm{n}=>200$ ) since 2009, a drop in hunter success, an increase in days/animal, and the overall declines in observed sheep during classification flights ( $>40 \%$ ) warrants some
concern for this sheep herd. We feel there has been a significant mortality event in Hunt Area 5, specifically on the northern portion of the herd unit, based on these data as well as hunter and field personnel observations. Because of these declines the Type 1 quota in Area 5 will be reduced by 17 licenses, and the Type 6 season in Area 5 will be closed. The Type 6 hunters in 2014 only experienced a $50 \%$ hunter success. No season change will occur in Hunt Area 22. As of May 2015, no season proposal had been received from the WRR. The projected 2015 harvest for the herd unit is roughly 40 rams . The 2015 post-season population estimate will be around 600 sheep.





2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Bighorn Sheep |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HERD: BS212-DEVIL'S CANYON |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 12 |  | PREPARED BY: LESLIE SCHREIBER |
| 2009-2013 Average | $\underline{2014}$ | 2015 Proposed |
| Population: 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Harvest: 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Hunters: 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Hunter Success: 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Active Licenses: 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Active License Success: 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Recreation Days: 12 | 8 | 10 |
| Days Per Animal: 6 | 4 | 2.5 |
| Males per 100 Females 44 | 83 |  |
| Juveniles per 100 Females 63 | 48 |  |
| Population Objective ( $\pm 20 \%$ ) : |  | 200 (160-240) |
| Management Strategy: |  | Special |
| Percent population is above (+) or below (-) objective: |  | N/A\% |
| Number of years population has been + or - objective in rece | rend: | 0 |
| Model Date: |  | None |
| Proposed harvest rates (percent of pre-season estimate for each sex/age group): |  |  |
|  | JCR Year | Proposed |
| Females $\geq 1$ year old: | na\% | na\% |
| Males $\geq 1$ year old: | na\% | na\% |
| Juveniles (<1 year old): | na\% | na\% |
| Total: | na\% | na\% |
| Proposed change in post-season population: | na\% | na\% |




## Active Licenses



## Days Per Animal Harvested

$\square$ BS212 - Days


## Preseason Animals per 100 Females



| 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for Bighorn Sheep Herd BS212- DEVIL'S CANYON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  |  |  | Males to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| Year | Pre Pop | YIg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Tot } \\ \hline \text { Cls } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Cls } \\ \hline \text { Obj } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | YIng | Adult | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Conf } \\ \hline \text { Int } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 100 Fem | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conf } \\ & \text { Int } \end{aligned}$ | 100 Adult |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 21\% | 67 | 52\% | 34 | 27\% | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | $\pm 0$ | 51 | $\pm 0$ | 36 |
| 2010 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 27 | 21\% | 64 | 50\% | 37 | 29\% | 128 | 142 | 9 | 28 | 42 | $\pm 0$ | 58 | $\pm 0$ | 41 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 29\% | 69 | 48\% | 33 | 23\% | 143 | 141 | 0 | 59 | 59 | $\pm 0$ | 48 | $\pm 0$ | 30 |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 18\% | 49 | 52\% | 29 | 31\% | 95 | 142 | 0 | 24 | 35 | $\pm 0$ | 59 | $\pm 0$ | 44 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 23\% | 74 | 52\% | 35 | 25\% | 141 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 43 | $\pm 0$ | 47 | $\pm 0$ | 33 |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 76 | 36\% | 92 | 43\% | 44 | 21\% | 212 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 83 | $\pm 0$ | 48 | $\pm 0$ | 26 |

## 2015 Hunting Seasons <br> Devil's Canyon Bighorn Sheep Herd Unit (BS212)

| Hunt <br> Area |  | Dype |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Opens | Closes | Quota | Limitations |  |
| 12 | 1 | Sept. 1 | Oct. 15 | 4 | Limited quota; any ram |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Refer to Section 3 of this Chapter |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1 | +2 |
| Total |  | +2 |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: 200 (trend)
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: none
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: 175
Herd Unit Issues. Prior to the first transplant (1973) into the Devil's Canyon area, a goal of 200 bighorn sheep was informally established. That population objective was carried over following the most recent transplants in 2004 and 2006 and no population model/estimate has been developed for this small herd. This herd is currently undergoing a public herd unit review where we are proposing an aerial summer trend count objective of 175 sheep based on a 3 year running average.

Weather. Climatic conditions probably have the most influence on productivity and survival of this population. Cheatgrass has become established on some sites. There is limited farming (irrigated pastures) on a small portion of private land and bighorn sheep are attracted to those pastures especially during drought years. Although drought conditions were documented during summer 2012 and 2013 across most of Wyoming, effects on this bighorn sheep herd appear to have been minimal. Distribution of ewes to irrigated pastures probably negated any adverse effects.

Habitat. There are no habitat transects in this herd unit for monitoring bighorn habitat. In conjunction with the BLM, a prescribed burn, water development and pipeline was completed south of Devil's Canyon for bighorns.

Field Data/Population. Total number of sheep observed during pre-season classification surveys provides the most consistent estimate of the trend in the population (Figure 1); however, some surveys were not conducted across all areas used by bighorns and effort (flight time, aerial vs. ground) has not been consistent across years. During the July 2014 classification survey, personnel counted a total of 212 bighorn sheep; the highest count ever recorded for this herd. We observed 76 rams ( 22 class I rams, 28 class II rams, 19 class III rams, and 7 class IV rams)
for a ratio of 83 rams: 100 ewes. We observed 44 lambs for a ratio of 48 lambs: 100 ewes. Flight time and area surveyed did not differ greatly from previous years.

Figure 1. Total number of bighorn sheep observed during pre-season classification surveys of the Devil's Canyon herd unit, 2009-2014.


Harvest Data. Harvest statistics provide little information about this population's trend. Only 1-2 licenses were issued each year since 2008 with $100 \%$ hunter success. Recreation days and days per harvested animal vary depending on the amount of time each hunter allocated to his/her hunt. Similarly, average age of harvested rams does not indicate a trend, because only 1-2 rams were harvested each year. It is possible that the ram harvested in 2010 was incorrectly aged to 10 years, based on the hunter's comments and the count of annual rings shown in photos. Also, ram genetics from the recent transplants allowed for more growth of young rams. For example, one ram from Missouri River breaks (Montana) was harvested as a 6 -year old (scored $>180$ ). Thus, average age of harvested rams could decrease even though larger rams are being harvested.

One landowner, a family corporation, controls access to the area where most bighorn sheep are observed, but own only $\sim 10 \%$ of the area. Typically, the landowner did not want to deal with more than two bighorn sheep hunters each year. The landowner felt that more hunters would result in conflicts between hunters, because these rams are highly visible and apparently not afraid of human activity, making them quite vulnerable. Department personnel met with the landowner and explained the high number of sheep observed during the pre-season classification survey. The landowner agreed to 4 bighorn sheep hunters, with the stipulation that all 4 hunters are not in the area at the same time. Department personnel are calling the 4 hunters who drew a license for the 2015 hunt to explain the timing situation. For the 2016 hunt, we are tentatively planning on having a split season with 2 licenses per license type.

Management Summary. Through previous disease surveillance efforts, this herd has been found to be free of known disease pathogens, making them the best source for in-state transplant efforts. In March 2015, 25 bighorn sheep ( $3 \mathrm{rams}, 1$ ram lamb, 21 ewes) were captured,
sampled, fitted with radio-collars and released in the Seminoe Mountains. This transplant will assist in bringing the Devil's Canyon herd back down to objective. Depending on the number of sheep observed during the 2015 summer classification survey, another transplant may take place in spring 2016.

Date: July 15, 2014
Observer: Hobbs, Kroger
Species: Bighorn Sheep
Survey Type: Classification/trend
Air Service: SKY Aviation
Aircraft: Jet Ranger Helicopter
Conditions: High thin clouds, mostly calm, 45-65
Flight duration: 1.3 hours ferry, 4.0 hours survey
Below are the classification/trend survey results flown for bighorn sheep hunt area 12, on July 15,2014 . Total number of sheep observed and classified was 212 . Locations of these observations can be viewed on the attached Google Earth map. There were a total of 14 groups of sheep that were found. Of these 14 groups, 10 were located on BLM, 2 on private and 2 across the border in Montana. The highest concentrations of ewe/lamb groups were found along the first ledges below the canyon edge in both Trout Creek and Porcupine Creek. The majority of rams were found on the benches between Deer Creek and Porcupine Creek, with the largest group of 48 rams at the very head of Spring Creek. Rams were classified based on horn curl/mass. There were some very impressive rams seen, with at least a few pushing the 180 class.

| ewes | lambs | C1 ram <br> Yrl $-1 / 2$ curl | C2 ram <br> $1 / 2-3 / 4$ curl | C3 ram <br> $3 / 4-$ full curl | C4 rams <br> $\geq$ full | Total <br> rams | Total <br> sheep | Lamb <br> ratio | Ram <br> ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | 44 | 22 | 28 | 19 | 7 | 76 | 212 | $48: 100$ | $83: 100$ |



2014 - JCR Evaluation Form

| SPECIES: Mountain Goat |  | PERIOD: 6/1/2014-5/31/2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| HERD: MG201 - BEARTOOTH |  |  |
| HUNT AREAS: 1, 3, 514 |  | PREPARED BY: DOUG |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Population Size - Postseason



## Harvest



Number of Hunters


Harvest Success
$\square$ MG201 - Hunter Success \% MG201 - Active License Success


## Active Licenses


$\square$ MG201 - Days


Preseason Animals per 100 Females


## 2009-2014 Preseason Classification Summary

for Mountain Goat Herd MG201-BEARTOOTH

| Year | Pre Pop | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  | JUVENILES |  | Tot Cls | Cls Obj | Males to 100 Females |  |  |  | Young to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ylg | Adult | Total | \% | Total | \% | Total | \% |  |  | YIng | Adult | Total | Conf Int | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \text { Fem } \end{aligned}$ | Conf Int | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { Adult } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2009 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 86 | 72\% | 33 | 28\% | 119 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 38 | $\pm 0$ | 38 |
| 2010 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 37 | 79\% | 10 | 21\% | 47 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 27 | $\pm 0$ | 27 |
| 2011 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 76 | 78\% | 21 | 22\% | 97 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 28 | $\pm 0$ | 28 |
| 2012 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 60 | 77\% | 18 | 23\% | 78 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 30 | $\pm 0$ | 30 |
| 2013 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 125 | 71\% | 50 | 29\% | 175 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 40 | $\pm 0$ | 40 |
| 2014 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 56 | 78\% | 16 | 22\% | 72 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 0$ | 29 | $\pm 0$ | 29 |

## 2015 HUNTING SEASONS BEARTOOTH MOUNTAIN GOAT HERD (MG201)

| Hunt <br> Area | Dates of Seasons <br> Type <br> Opens |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | Sep. 1 | Oct. 31 | 12 | Limited quota; any goat |
| Quota | Limitations |  |  |  |  |
| Archery |  | Aug. 15 | Aug. 31 |  | Limited quota; any goat |


| Hunt Area | Type | Quota change from 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | -2 |
| 3 | 1 | +2 |
| Total |  | No Change |

## Management Evaluation

Current Management Objective: 200
2014 Postseason Population Estimate: ~225
2015 Proposed Postseason Population Estimate: ~225
Herd Unit Issues. Mountain goats were introduced into the Rock Creek drainage of the Beartooth Mountains of Montana, adjacent to the Wyoming border, in 1942. The first hunting season in Wyoming was in 1969. Since then mountain goats populated all available habitat in the Beartooth Mountains of Wyoming, and have colonized portions of the Absaroka Mountains as well, presumably from the Absaroka Mountains in Montana. To accommodate this expansion, Hunt Area 1 was enlarged in 1996 (to include the Sunlight Creek drainage) and again in 2009 (to include the area south to the North Fork of the Shoshone River). In 2011, Hunt Area 3 was carved out of Hunt Area 1 to direct hunting pressure at goats that inhabited the more remote areas of the Absaroka Mountains. In addition, some expansion has taken place in Yellowstone National Park, where currently about 10\% of the goats in this herd unit reside. Hunting of this population of goats also occurs in Montana (Hunt District 514) adjacent to the Wyoming portion of the Beartooth Mountains. Both of these factors (Montana harvest and goats unavailable for harvest in YNP) must be taken into account when managing this herd.

Weather. Weather conditions during the summer of 2014 were favorable throughout the Absaroka and Beartooth Mountains, with normal to near normal precipitation to promote forage growth. However, kid survival could have been adversely affected by the above average snow accumulations of the 2013-2014 winter. Winter conditions during the 2014-2015 winter have been considerably more mild.

Habitat. No habitat monitoring data is collected in this herd unit. More severe winter conditions during the 2013-2014 winter may have resulted in higher than normal mortality of both kids and adults, but this is not expected to have happened in response to the 2014-2015 winter.

Field Data. Incidental observations of mountain goats seen while conducting aerial grizzly bear observation flights in summer 2014 yielded 56 adults and 16 kids ( 29 kids: 100 adults). A National Park Service classification/trend survey flown on August 18, 2014 along the eastern boundary of Yellowstone National Park, produced 67 goats ( 50 adults, 17 kids), and a kid:adult ratio of 34:100.

The most recent comprehensive aerial survey in Hunt Areas 1 and 3 was conducted in August 2013 and yielded a total of 175 mountain goats. A total of 124 (71\%) of these were seen in Area 1, while 34 (19\%) were seen in Area 3. Another 10 were seen in Yellowstone National Park, and 1 goat was seen in Montana Hunt District 514. The kid:adult ratio was 40:100, which is higher than the long-term (1986-2013) average of 34.9 kids: 100 adults.

Harvest Data. A total of 19 goats were harvested by 20 hunters in the Beartooth Goat Herd Unit in 2014, including 16 males and 3 females ( $95 \%$ success). One hunter in Area 3 was not successful. Hunter effort for Wyoming goat hunters in 2014 was 6.1 days per goat harvested, which is slightly greater than the average for goat hunters in this area, as the long-term average (1970-2013) average is 4.5 days per goat taken.

The average age of all harvested goats in 2014 was 5.7 years for billies and 4.5 years for nannies, compared to the long-term average of 4.7 years for billies and 4.6 years for nannies since age records were first kept in 1998. The total number of goats seen by hunters in 2014 (avg - 23.5) was less than the most recent 10-year average of 31.1 goats seen.

Various studies have shown that goat populations are sensitive to female harvest. Although nannie harvest was substantial in 2008 (55\%), 2009 (38.5\%), 2010 (45.5\%), 2011 (36.4\%), and 2013 (35\%), it was only $15.8 \%$ in 2014.

Population. Based on an evaluation of recent trend counts and productivity estimates, the Beartooth Mountain Goat Herd is currently estimated to be near or slightly above the postseason population objective of 200 goats. Hunt Area 1 has been relatively stable since managed with 12 licenses and it is felt that additional hunting pressure can be directed to Hunt Area 3. Therefore, 12 licenses will be issued in Area 1 and 8 licenses issued in Area 3 for the 2015 season, which should result in the harvest of 18 goats.
$514$


## APPENDIX A

## PRODUCTION AND UTILIZATION OF SHRUB AND HERBACEOUS SPECIES ON KEY AREAS

## Sagebrush Production and Utilization

Production and utilization data for sagebrush (Artemesia tridentata wyomingensis) are collected at ten sites in the Cody Region (Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 1 and 2). Sites were selected using a "key area" concept, whereby if utilization levels are within acceptable limits at these areas, there is reasonable assurance that utilization levels are acceptable over the entire herd unit area. Production is measured in September/October using the leader length method described in WGFD Wildlife Division Vegetation/Habitat Monitoring Protocol (August 1, 2004). Utilization is measured in April/May using a modified Cole browse method described in WGFD Wildlife Division Vegetation/Habitat Monitoring Protocol (August 1, 2004).

Table 1. Production expressed as average annual leader length in centimeters for sagebrush transects in the Cody Region.

| Transect | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Long-term <br> Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Breteche | 1.46 | 3.58 |  |  | 3.56 | 2.48 |
| Aldrich | 0.46 | 0.27 |  |  | 2.75 | 1.23 |
| Grass Creek | 3.70 | 3.42 | 0.29 | 1.94 | 2.57 | 2.67 |
| Wagonhound | 1.68 | 3.71 | 1.75 | 2.72 | 2.72 | 2.27 |
| Dry Creek Basin | 2.20 | 4.83 | 0.55 | 2.42 | 4.37 | 2.57 |
| Five-mile | 1.93 | 5.71 | 0.74 | 2.46 | 3.57 | 3.10 |
| Denver Jake | 3.18 | 1.95 | 0.84 | 1.40 | 1.36 | 1.62 |
| Lightning Ridge | 1.60 | 1.90 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 1.56 | 1.39 |
| Alkali | 3.43 | 4.13 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 1.80 | 2.57 |
| Renner |  |  |  | 2.73 | 2.76 | 2.19 |
| Average of Transects | 2.26 | 3.25 | 1.08 | 1.93 | 2.70 | 2.24 |

Table 2. Utilization expressed as percent leaders browsed for sagebrush transects in the Cody Region.

| Transect |  |  |  |  |  | Long-term <br> Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Breteche | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 21.86 |
| Aldrich | 2.00 | 5.80 | 4.60 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 5.67 |
| Grass Creek | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.91 |
| Wagonhound | 31.40 | 26.20 | 25.40 | 17.60 | 8.20 | 16.09 |
| Dry Creek Basin | 37.80 | 44.20 | 37.40 | 20.60 | 35.20 | 23.64 |
| Five-mile | 9.50 | 0.20 | 23.50 | 20.20 | 21.20 | 17.07 |
| Denver Jake | 13.30 | 26.20 | 18.80 | 1.60 | 2.40 | 13.23 |
| Lightning Ridge | 2.00 | 5.00 | 3.80 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 4.20 |
| Alkali | 4.60 | 17.60 | 21.60 | 4.80 | 10.20 | 11.29 |
| Renner |  |  |  | 13.40 | 1.00 | 13.40 |
| Average of Transects | 11.29 | 13.54 | 16.12 | 8.62 | 8.91 | 11.81 |



Figure 1. Average annual leader length for sagebrush transects in the Cody Region


Figure 2. Percent utilization for sagebrush transects in the Cody Region

## Curlleaf Mountain Mahogany Production and Utilization

Production and utilization data for curlleaf mountain mahogany (Cercocarpus ledifolias) are collected at two sites in the Cody Region (Table 3 and Figures 3 and 4). Sites were selected using a "key area" concept, whereby if utilization levels are within acceptable limits at these areas, there is reasonable assurance that utilization levels are acceptable over the entire herd unit area. Production and utilization
are measured in September/October and April/May, respectively, using the twig length measurement method described in Utilization Studies and Residual Measurements, BLM Technical Reference 1734-3 (1996).

Table 3. Production expressed as average annual leader length in centimeters for curlleaf mountain mahogany transects in the Cody Region.

| Transect | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Long-term <br> Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Red Canyon | 6.35 | 5.47 | 4.73 | 3.28 | 4.13 | 4.62 |
| Davis Draw | 7.16 | 6.43 | 5.12 | 4.10 | 4.77 | 5.02 |
| Average of <br> Transects | 5.84 | 5.84 | 5.84 | 3.69 | 4.45 | 4.82 |

Table 4. Utilization expressed as average annual leader length in centimeters and percent of total leader length removed for curlleaf mountain mahogany transects in the Cody Region.

| Transect | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Long-term <br> Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Red Canyon | 48 | 63 | 66 | 44 | 61 | 45 |
| Davis Draw | 59 | 43 | 63 | 70 | 63 | 59 |
| Average of <br> Transects | 54 | 53 | 65 | 57 | 62 | 53 |



Figure 3. Average annual leader length for curleaf mountain mahogany transects in the Cody Region.


Figure 4. Average percent utilization for curlleaf mountain mahogany transects in the Cody Region.

## Herbaceous Production and Utilization

Production and utilization data for herbaceous forage (grasses and forbs) are collected at seven sites in the Cody Region (Tables 4 and 5 and Figures 5 and 6). Sites were selected using a "key area" concept, whereby if utilization levels are within acceptable limits at these areas, there is reasonable assurance that utilization levels are acceptable over the entire herd unit area. Production is measured after peak seed ripe of key grass species by clipping and weighing samples. Utilization is measured by clipping and weighing samples inside and outside of a range cage just prior to green-up in the spring. Utilization is assumed to be primarily by elk unless noted. Methods can be found in WGFD Wildlife Division Vegetation/Habitat Monitoring Protocol (August 1, 2004).

Table 5. Production in pounds per acre for herbaceous transects in the Cody Region.

| Transect | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Long-term <br> Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trail Creek | 625 | 740 | 350 | 350 | 563 | 486 |
| Riddle Flat | 500 | 400 | 412 | 500 | 525 | 451 |
| Bald Ridge | 500 | 400 | 380 |  | 1588 | 632 |
| Painter Gulch | 583 | 460 | 260 | 175 | 375 | 371 |
| Little Bald Ridge | 470 | 380 | 270 | 430 | 650 | 440 |
| Teepee Gulch | 460 | 280 | 260 | 320 | 638 | 392 |
| Rose Creek | 700 | 383 | 166 | 350 | 567 | 382 |

Table 6. Percent utilization for herbaceous transects in the Cody Region.

| Transect | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Long-term <br> Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trail Creek | 47 | 23 | 61 |  |  | 42 |
| Riddle Flat | 78 | 91 | 82 | 75 | 81 | 73 |
| Bald Ridge | 43 | 4 |  |  |  | 33 |
| Painter Gulch | 31 | 49 | 65 | 0 | 47 | 38 |
| Lt Bald Ridge | 89 | 81 | 50 | 67 | 58 | 69 |
| Teepee Gulch | 85 | 82 | 81 | 79 | 73 | 80 |
| Rose Creek | 64 | 50 | 57 |  | 0 | 35 |

Figure 5. Production for herbaceous transects in the Cody Region.


Figure 6. Percent utilization for herbaceous transects in the Cody Region.



[^0]:    * partial count of Area 51 in 2013

[^1]:    * "any ram" regulation in place

