1. Property Name:

2. Contact Information:

3. General location map with minimum 4-mile buffer around property.*

4. Current map showing boundaries of property, including the 4-mile buffer, proposed for inclusion in the project and land ownership (surface).*

5. Current map showing mineral ownership within the boundaries of the property, including the 4-mile buffer, proposed for inclusion in the project.*

6. Color photos including aerial photos that reflect current conditions of the property, including the 4-mile buffer.*

7. Map of proposed credit service area.*

8. Site/property conditions description: sage-grouse habitat, vegetation and wildlife species present, past and present land uses (BRIEF):

9. History of property
   a. Has it been used to provide credits before? YES ☐ NO ☐
   b. Is the property designated for purposes inconsistent with habitat conservation? ☐ ☐
   c. Has the property been acquired by a public entity or provided to a jurisdiction? (excludes lands purchased by state and local agencies specifically for mitigation purposes) ☐ ☐
   d. List any portion(s) of the property that is subject to Land restrictions or have benefited from public funding (e.g. Farm Bill Programs, WWNRT, etc.) ☐ ☐

10. Revised Greater Sage-Grouse Compensatory Mitigation Framework – Mandatory Components Worksheet (pg. 2)*

Revised 12/27/2018
Proposed Mitigation Credit Provider: Pre-Screening Worksheet  
*indicates attachments to be provided by proponent

Revised Greater Sage-Grouse Compensatory Mitigation Framework – Mandatory Components Worksheet  
A “no” answer to any of the questions below does not necessarily disqualify the proposal.

1. Occupancy: YES NO  
   a. Is the property occupied by greater sage-grouse?  
   b. Is the property within 5.3 miles of an active lek?  

2. Disturbance:  
   a. Is disturbance within the area being proposed <10% and ≤1 per 640 as measured by a modified DDCT?  
      Link: (http://ddct.wygisc.org) *  

3. Durability:  
   a. Will the proposed credits be in place in perpetuity?  
   b. Will the proposed credits be in place for >50 years?  
   c. Describe what measures will be in place to ensure long-term availability of credits being developed (minimum life of project).  

4. Financial Assurances/Replacement:  
   a. Describe how the proposed property and enabling agreement will be secured by adequate, committed financial assurances and/or replacement credits to guarantee effectiveness.  

5. Habitat:  
   a. Is habitat within the property “suitable” per Executive Order 2015-4 as supplemented by Executive Order 2017-2, Supplement to Greater Sage-Grouse Suitable Habitat Definitions?  
   b. Does the property provide access to all seasonal habitats?  
   c. Is a monitoring program in place or being developed?  
   d. Does the property include rare or unique habitat (e.g., winter concentration areas, wet meadows, etc.)?  

6. Additionality:  
   a. Is habitat conservation within the property otherwise required by law, regulation, permit, or other condition?  

7. Risk Reduction:  
   a. Will resources be maintained, restored, established, enhanced, and/or preserved in a way that contributes to species conservation at a landscape scale?  
   b. Is a management plan, with adaptability and indicators (triggers), in place or being developed?  
   c. Is an implementation plan for the property in place or being developed?  
   d. Is an accounting process for tracking measures, funds, and credits in place or being developed?  
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