Large Carnivore Management

Large Carnivore Section – Wyoming Game and Fish Department
Mountain Lions

- **GOAL**: To sustain mountain lion populations in core habitat at varying densities depending on management objectives

  - Designed to provide umbrella guidelines, with local mgmt direction and decisions made at that level – ADAPTIVE HARVEST approach

  - Hunt Areas (HAs) managed toward SOURCE, STABLE or SINK (and variations thereof).

  - Attempt a mix of source/stable/sink across the state for long-term viability and opportunity
Hunt Areas and Management Units
Evolution of Southeast MLMU
Harvest
Breakdown of Harvest
Impacts to Ungulates

• The extent to which mountain lion predation can reduce prey populations is strongly tied to quality of prey habitat, the level of sport hunting in the area, severe weather events, and parasites/diseases of big game present in the area.

• Predation can work in tandem with resource limitation to slow ungulate population recovery.
Mountain Lions → Ungulates

• Population reduction has been documented in isolated herds of species such as desert bighorn sheep

• Increased mountain lion harvest can have positive benefits on ungulates WHEN:
  – Ungulate populations are suppressed and optimal habitat is available
  – Harvest efforts are localized
  – Predation is a limiting factor

  • Short term benefits
Impacts to Ungulates

• “The limited effects of predator removal from this study (Idaho) and the pervasive effects of enhanced nutrition...lead us to logically conclude that enhanced nutrition will increase mule deer populations more effectively and predictably than predator removal.” (Southeast Idaho Study - 2011)
Lion Harvest → Prey
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Black Bear Management

- We have seen an increase in harvest over the past few decades statewide.
- For bears we closely follow female segment of harvest.
  - Bears do not reproduce every year; slower fecundity.
Harvest effects on dispersal and demographics
Black Bear Mortalities
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BMU 502 Harvest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Harvest</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Increasing Harvest Trend
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Black Bears and Predation

- Omnivores versus carnivores
  - Omnivores the majority of the time
  - Opportunistically prey on big game
  - Appear to have little to no effect on mule deer

- Predation strategy
  - Timing of carnivory coincides with peak ungulate birth
  - Seek out neonates while they can catch them
    - Primarily elk and moose in North America
Management

• The complex inter-relationships among large carnivore predation and other big game mortalities due to effects of weather, habitat conditions, and harvest by hunters make it difficult for the Department to fix particular ungulate herds or population segments.
In Conclusion

• Impacts of predators on prey are very situation specific and dependent on multiple factors
  – Need to determine if predation is the limiting factor

• Knowledge of how systems function will allow us to evaluate and manage proactively to deal with predator/prey dynamics into the future
The End
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