1. Property Name:
2. Contact Information:
3. General location map with minimum 10-mile buffer around property proposed for inclusion in the proposed project.\*
4. Current map (minimum of 7.5 minute USGS) showing boundaries of property, including the 10-mile buffer, proposed for inclusion in the project and land ownership(surface)\*
5. Current map (minimum of 7.5 minute USGS) showing mineral ownership within the boundaries of the property, including the 10-mile buffer, proposed for inclusion in the project.\*
6. Color aerial photos that reflect current conditions of the property\* including the 10-mile buffer.
7. Map of proposed credit service area\*
8. Site/property conditions description: habitat and species present, past and present land uses(BRIEF):
9. History of property YES NO
	1. Has it been used to provide credits before? ☐ ☐
	2. Is the property designated for purposes inconsistent

with habitat conservation? ☐ ☐

* 1. Has the property been acquired by a public entity or

provided to a jurisdiction? (excludes lands purchased by

state and local agencies specifically for mitigation purposes) ☐ ☐

* 1. Has all or a portion of the property ever benefitted from public funding for habitat or open space restoration, conservation easement acquisition or other similar purposes? ☐ ☐

*(If YES, attach a detailed summary including information when the funding was received and for what purpose.)*

1. Revised Greater Sage-Grouse Compensatory Mitigation Framework – Mandatory Components Worksheet (pg. 2).\*

***Revised Greater Sage-Grouse Compensatory Mitigation Framework – Mandatory Components Worksheet***

*A “no” answer to any of the questions below does not necessarily disqualify the proposal*

1. Occupancy: \_ YES NO
	1. Is the property occupied by greater sage-grouse? ☐ ☐
	2. Is the property within 5.3 miles of an active lek? ☐ ☐
2. Disturbance:
	1. Is disturbance within the property being proposed <10%

and ≤1 per 640 as measured by a modified DDCT?

Link: (http://ddct.wygisc.org) \* ☐ ☐

1. Durability:
	1. Will the proposed credits be in place in perpetuity? ☐ ☐
	2. Will the proposed credits be in place for <50 years? ☐ ☐
	3. Will the proposed credits be in place for >50 years? ☐ ☐
	4. Are measures to ensure long-term durability of credits

being developed (minimum life of project)? ☐ ☐

1. Financial Assurances/Replacement:
	1. Will the proposed property be secured by adequate, committed financial

assurances and/or replacement credits to guarantee

effectiveness? ☐ ☐

1. Habitat:
	1. Is habitat within the property “suitable” per

Executive Order 2015-4 as supplemented by Executive Order 2017-2,

Supplement to Greater Sage-Grouse Suitable Habitat Definitions? ☐ ☐

* 1. Does the property provide access to all seasonal habitats? ☐ ☐
	2. Is a monitoring program in place or being developed? ☐ ☐
	3. Does the property include rare or unique habitat

(e.g., winter concentration areas, wet meadows, etc.)? ☐ ☐

1. Additionality:
	1. Is habitat conservation within the property otherwise required by law,

regulation, permit, or other condition? ☐ ☐

1. Risk Reduction:
	1. Will resources be maintained, restored, established, enhanced,

and/or preserved in a way that contributes to species

conservation at a landscape scale? ☐ ☐

* 1. Is a management plan, with adaptability and indicators

(triggers), in place or being developed? ☐ ☐

* 1. Is an accounting process for tracking measures, funds,

and credits in place or being developed? ☐ ☐